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93% Funds research, education and related activities

$8.8B FY 2022 Enacted

43,600 Proposals evaluated

2,000 NSF-funded institutions

11,300 Number of awards NSF funds each year
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$1.5B STEM education
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253 NSF-funded Nobel Prize winners

Data represents FY 2021 Actuals unless otherwise indicated.
*Corresponds to NSF investments initiated in FY 2021 and spanning multiple years.
Advancing Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Accessibility in STEM Academic Professions

- 8% of senior faculty with STEM doctorates are members of racial and ethnic groups historically underrepresented in STEM.
- Members of racial and ethnic groups historically underrepresented in STEM hold fewer tenured and tenure-track positions than their non-underrepresented peers.
- While there has been an increase in the diversity of role models in the academy for students, those increases are primarily found in ad-hoc and limited-term instructors.
- There are significant inequities in STEM the hiring, retention and promotion process for postdoctoral researchers and faculty who are members of racial and ethnic groups historically underrepresented in STEM.
Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate Program (AGEP)

AGEP Goal: To increase the number of African American, Hispanic American, Native American Indian, Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian and Native Pacific Islander faculty in STEM
NSF Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate (AGEP) Active Awards
AGEP Catalyst Alliance (ACA)
Institutional Transformation Alliance (ITA)

**ACA**
- Up to $400,000
- 2-year collaboration
- 3-4 IHEs
- Every March/August
- Organizational data
- Pilot strategies
- Develop 5-year plan
- Project description: 15-page limit

**ITA Preliminary**
- At least one IHE already received an ACA award
- Same 3-4 IHEs as full ITA proposal
- Describe the ITA full proposal
- Every February
- Project description: 8-page limit

**ITA Full**
- Up to $4.4 Million
- 5-year collaboration
- Must be invited to submit after preliminary
- Same 3-4 IHEs as preliminary proposal
- Every August
- Systemic and institutional equity strategies
- Affect permanent policy changes
- Project description: 20-page limit
• Similar institutions submit a collaborative project
• Includes change agents with leadership expertise
• Targets systemic & institutional change to advance AGEP populations toward tenure & promotion in STEM
  - Organizational self-assessments
  - Change initiatives to promote equity
  - Build on piloted strategies
  - 5-year equity strategy plan
  - Permanent policy and practice changes
  - Intersectionality integration

AGEP Alliances Solicitation
NSF 21-576
Intersectional Language in AGEP Solicitation
(AGEP Alliances Solicitation NSF 21-576)

Solicitation Language

- The AGEP focus on intersectional perspectives is important for identifying equity issues and solutions for AGEP populations.
- Intersectional approaches are considered throughout project design.
- Proposers should recognize that gender, race, and ethnicity do not exist in isolation or from other categories of social identity such as disability, sexual orientation, economic background, first-generation status, faculty appointment type, and additional identity variables.

Additional Solicitation Specific Review Criterion

How well does the proposal use an intersectional lens and perspective to address systemic and institutional changes in practices and policies that advance AGEP populations?
Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Access that Focus on One Identity Need to be Intentional to Ensure No One is Left Behind

• Breaking the “Bronze Barrier”
• The first statue featuring women in New York City’s Central Park.
• The demand to include Sojourner Truth on a statue with other prominent leaders in the nationwide fight for the women’s right to vote.

The AGEP Catalyst Alliance (ACA)

- Design and implement one or more organizational self-assessment(s) to collect and analyze data identifying inequities affecting AGEP populations;
- Pilot equity strategies as appropriate; and
- Develop a five-year equity strategic plan for the AGEP populations.

- A facilitator or planning grant to generate the foundational work necessary to initiate an AGEP Institutional Transformation Alliance (ITA) preliminary proposal.

Title: Collaborative Research: AGEP ACA to Engage Leaders to Improve Diversity among STEM Faculty

NSF Award IDs: 2149204, 2149214 & 2149256

NSF Alliances for Graduate Education and the Professoriate (AGEP) Program Team

Carrie Hall  
Program Director  
carhall@nsf.gov  
703-292-4641

Maurice Dues  
Program Specialist  
mdues@nsf.gov  
703-292-7311

Mark Leddy  
Lead Program Director  
mleddy@nsf.gov  
703-292-4655

Keri Sather-Wagstaff  
Program Director  
ssatherw@nsf.gov

NSF AGEP Website:  https://beta.nsf.gov/funding/opportunities/alliances-graduate-education-and-professoriate-agep
The Diversity and Equity in Promotion, Tenure, and Hiring (DEPTH) ADVANCE Adaptation Initiative at CSUSB
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Project Overview

• Funding:
  • NSF ADVANCE, Adaptations grant ($995,876.00)
  • Chancellor Office Diversifying the Faculty ($125,000) and Faculty Retention ($28,000) Grants

• Institutional context:
  1. There were clear gender and racial/ethnic disparities in STEM representation.
  2. Women STEM faculty reported less positive experiences within their department, during hiring and the promotion/tenure (PT) processes relative to their male and non-STEM counterparts
  3. CSUSB lacked objective and standardized processes needed to avoid biases in recruitment, hiring, and PT
  4. Faculty DEI data tracking systems (e.g., recruitment pool demographics and exit data) were outdated or insufficient
Project Overview

- Overall Goal:
  - Broaden faculty representation and improve the experiences of women and URM faculty, with a focus on STEM departments

- Primary Objectives:
  1. Track faculty DEI challenges and progress
  2. Increase faculty DEI in recruitment and hiring
  3. Promote DEI in promotion and tenure and increase faculty retention
Activities

• Strategies and Tactics

• **Objective 1: Track faculty DEI challenges and progress.**
  • Application number and demographics
  • Equity of compensation packages
  • Demographics of tenure-line hires
  • Faculty evaluation committee survey
  • Search committee composition
Activities

• Strategies and Tactics

• **Objective 2: Increase faculty DEI in recruitment and hiring**
  • DEPTH consultant (diversity advocate) training
  • Best practices in faculty hiring toolkit/checklists
  • Search committee training/consultation
  • Prospective faculty survey
  • Faculty hiring committee survey
  • Policy changes
  • Handouts for finalists
  • Faculty Affairs and Development website and forms revisions (increased inclusiveness)
Activities

- Strategies and Tactics
- **Objective 3: Promote DEI in promotion and tenure and increase faculty retention.**
  - Faculty learning communities
  - Faculty focus groups
  - Faculty evaluation committee survey
  - Faculty survey
  - Faculty exit interviews/dean and chair surveys
  - Policy change
### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Specific Goals</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application number and demographics</td>
<td>Increase the average number of applications at CSUSB from 27 (baseline: 2017-2018) per search to 50</td>
<td>Year 1: 53; Year 2: 29* Year 3: 32*; Year 4: 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Achieve correspondence between applicant pools and national demographics of PhDs within those fields</td>
<td>Years 1, 2, 3, 4 √</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Institutionalized by FAD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity of compensation packages</td>
<td>Identify and reduce gender and ethnic disparities</td>
<td>Baseline; Years 1, 2, 3, &amp; 4 No pattern of disparities (Institutionalized by AA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics of tenure-line hires</td>
<td>Increase the percentage of STEM women assistant professors from 29% to 40% by Year 4</td>
<td>Year 4: 39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Move towards reducing demographic gap between faculty and students</td>
<td>(Institutionalized by FAD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

ADVANCE-DEPTH at CSUSB

WR = Asian and White
URM = Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino/a, American Indian, Alaskan Native, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander

2017-2018

2021-2022

WR = Asian and White
URM = Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino/a, American Indian, Alaskan Native, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Specific Goals</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prospective faculty survey</td>
<td>Improve prospective faculty experiences</td>
<td>Years 1, 2, 3, &amp; 4 Improvement each Year Institutionalized by FAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handouts for finalists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Surveys</td>
<td>Establish baseline; identify areas of need; assess progress; summative evaluation</td>
<td>2016-2017 results shared with FAD, and Senate; informed search committee trainings/consultations 2021-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search committee survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search committee composition</td>
<td>Representative of faculty body</td>
<td>Baseline; Years, 1, 2, 3, &amp; 4 Reduce gap between committee composition and faculty Institutionalized by FAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search committee composition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>URM/WR</td>
<td>2020-2021 Faculty Demographics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>URM</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WR</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>URM</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WR</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WR = Asian and White
URM = Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino/a, American Indian, Alaskan Native, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Specific Goals</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEPTH consultant (diversity advocate) training</td>
<td>Prepare DEPTH consultants to serve as a resource for hiring</td>
<td>Intense training 2018-2019 Utilization as DAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deliver Prejudice Habit Breaking Workshops and best practice consultations to 40% of faculty</td>
<td>Year 1: N = 39; 9% of faculty; 8 workshops Year 3: N = 78; 17% of faculty; 17 searches; 93% attendance in consultations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best practices in faculty hiring toolkit and checklists</td>
<td>Increase use of best practices</td>
<td>DEI statement evaluation criteria Years 3 &amp; 4: 100% created rubrics Search &amp; advertisement plan involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create and disseminate best practices in faculty hiring toolkit</td>
<td>Year 2: Guide &amp; resources completed FAD now uses in hiring training sessions Checklists in progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Specific Goals</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promotion and tenure tracking</td>
<td>Identify gender/ethnic disparities in RPT decisions and salary increases</td>
<td>Decrease in pattern of disparities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase STEM URM women assistant professors from <strong>2.5% to 6%</strong></td>
<td>Waiting for Year 4 data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase the representation of STEM women at the rank of full professor from <strong>28% to 40%</strong></td>
<td>Year 4: 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Year 3: 41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit interviews and dean/chair surveys</td>
<td>Identify preventable reasons for faculty departures and gauge awareness of reasons</td>
<td>Year 3 Report in folder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Year 4 exit interviews &amp; dean/chair surveys – FAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Learning Communities (ISSUES-X DEPTH collaboration)</td>
<td>Creation of department faculty evaluation criteria grounded in best practices</td>
<td>1 primary facilitators; 4 cofacilitators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create a repository of information for best practices in faculty evaluation</td>
<td>Regular training/planning meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase use of best practices in applying guidelines/criteria and writing reports</td>
<td>6 department FLCs from CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 learning 6 working meetings (90 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Selected readings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Created or revised department RPT guidelines based on best practices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for leaving CSUSB for another position</th>
<th>Exiting Faculty Member Interview</th>
<th>College Dean/Dept Chair</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfaction with work-life balance</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfaction with campus or departmental culture</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received a better job offer from another academic institution</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal &amp; family reasons (i.e., geographic relocation)</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfaction with teaching load</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfaction with pay</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiences of inequity</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of support for personal academic goals</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfaction with research resources (time, support, PhD students)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needing a spousal accommodation</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaving academia</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N = 28; 3-year response rate: 58% (resignations alone: 78%)*

ADVANCE-DEPTH at CSUSB
Results

• Focus group (7 participants; at least 1 from each of the 6 departments)
  • Sample comments
    • Addressed concerns on faculty minds
    • Appreciated time and space
    • Wanted seat at table to participate in process
    • Useful to share guidelines with other department groups for feedback
    • Positive reception when sharing guideline drafts with department
    • Departments appreciated coworkers taking the time
    • Needed more time to develop guidelines

• Survey
  • Analyses in process
Lessons Learned

• Stakeholder support and collaboration are essential
  • Directly interface with Administration and Faculty Senate
  • Be transparent, give voice, respect time, incorporate input when feasible
• Important to back up suggested best practices with “best” evidence
• Simplify practice changes and processes
Next Steps/Long-Term Plans

- Institutionalization of initiatives
- Focus on retention issues
Summary

• Sustained DEI progress is possible
• Continual assessment and adjustment
• Faculty learning communities is a useful and effective approach
• Focus on practice, policy, and process rather than individual change
Questions?

Contact Information:

Donna Garcia
Cal State San Bernardino/Psychology
csusb.edu/DEPTH
909-537-3893
dmgarcia@csusb.edu
DESAFIAR: Discovery Enabling Systemic Advancement and Faculty Inclusion Across Ranks

Dr. Ahmed Awad – Cal State University Channel Islands

Collaborators: Dr. Selenne Banuelos (Co-PI), Provost Mitch Avila (PI), Dr. Cynthia Wyels (Internal Evaluator), Dr. Lindsey O’Connor and Dr. Melissa Soenke (Faculty Associates)

Ahmed Awad, Associate Professor
CSU Channel Islands, Department of Chemistry
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CSU Channel Islands is committed to improving representation and equity among its STEM faculty.

While our student body is 65% female and 57% students are from Historically Underserved Groups (HUGs), only 43% of our STEM faculty are women; 38% of faculty in the natural and physical sciences are women, three disciplines have no women amongst tenure-track faculty, and only 18.5% of our STEM faculty are HUGs.

CSUCI will identify systemic factors leading to under-representation in STEM faculty and to conduct discovery regarding the nature of STEM faculty experiences, with a goal of enhancing equity.

Activities proposed enable significant learning towards changing systemic factors that create inequities in the STEM academic profession, and to ensure equitable experiences for current and newly hired faculty.
Activities

A) Gather Data to conduct evidence-based organizational self-assessment using an equity lens.
   • We focus on (quantitative data) faculty hiring; Retention, Tenure and Promotion (RTP); and (qualitative data) investigating teaching and service loads.
     ➢ Implement robust data tracking around each stage of faculty recruitment and hiring practices and analyze this data to better understand inequities in STEM faculty recruitment and hiring process.
     ➢ RTP data will be disaggregated by gender, race/ethnicity, disability status, and STEM discipline.
     ➢ Explore perceptions and realities of equity and transparency in faculty teaching and service loads.

B) Review Policies and Practices to develop and implement analytical tools that will uncover shortcomings that allow implicit bias and unintentional consequences to impact outcomes.
   ➢ identify changes that eliminate systemic conditions contributing to inequities in STEM faculty experiences.

C) Develop a 5-Year Plan to enhance faculty equity in STEM.
   ➢ Our plan will incorporate lessons learned from our first two activity areas, from a thorough literature review of ADVANCE and faculty-equity related works, and from consulting with CSUCI leadership and others in the ADVANCE network through site visits to ADVANCE awardees and participation in the ARC Network’s conferences and webinars.
Results

- The team obtained faculty search and hiring data for searches conducted from academic year 2012-2013 through 2019-2020. The team has also been provided the faculty retention, tenure and promotion (RTP) data for Fall 2013 - Fall 2020. The Leadership Team is currently performing the analysis on the faculty search and hiring data.

  - Search and hiring includes data on all individuals who apply for STEM faculty searches, all applicants invited for on-campus interviews, all applicants who receive an offer of a faculty position, and all applicants who accept a position, starting salaries, start-up packages, and which faculty are awarded reassigned time (reductions in teaching load).
Data obtained from Computer Science search and hiring results for academic year 2012-2013 through academic year 2018-2019.

**Conclusion:** Computer Science program needs to make a stronger effort in their recruitment strategies in order to diversify their applicant pool.
Project activities shifted due to COVID pandemic:

1. Investigating Teaching & Service Loads:

   • This work consists of identifying inequitable conditions among STEM faculty by answering questions such as: do faculty perceive that the distribution of teaching work is fair overall? Do faculty believe that the distribution of service work is fair overall? Do faculty perceive that there is a commitment to equity in terms of teaching and service loads in their departments and university-wide? Do faculty believe they can request re-distribution of teaching or service work within their departments? Do faculty believe that departments use democratic, transparent, and/or equity-minded practices in determining teaching and service loads?

   • We were concerned that the data we collect during the 2021-2022 academic year will not accurately reflect faculty’s typical workloads, particularly if faculty work and personal lives continue to be disrupted by the pandemic (a highly unusual time).
This last Summer 2022, Drs. O’Connor and Soenke conducted an exhaustive search of the literature on faculty workload inequalities and perceptions of workload equity, and their impact on faculty diversity in STEM. O’Connor and Soenke used these resources to create the DESAFAIR faculty survey materials that will be implemented this Fall. This study will build on:

- Hidden teaching taxes: CSUCI has a high teaching load (4-4) and an expectation that faculty engage in student-centered and high-impact practices (CSUCI Mission Statement, 2022), making it an ideal location for uncovering additional teaching taxes.
- Comparing STEM and non-STEM faculty: workload and perceptions of workload equity on burnout, turnover, and turnover intentions among STEM and non-STEM faculty
- Department culture: investigate the role that cultural norms and interactions have in shaping workloads and perceptions of workloads
- Impact of COVID-19: provide a snapshot of faculty workload and perceptions of workload equity as faculty emerge from the COVID-19 pandemic.
Results

Project activities shifted due to COVID pandemic:

2. Examining hiring policies and practices: The Center for Urban Education, CUE, (hired as external consultant by CI to develop a toolkit, webinars, …) tenure-track faculty hiring toolkit pilot analysis has been shifted due to faculty hiring freezes. The investigation and examination of Hiring Practices will be conducted starting this Fall 2022.

- Two faculty who served on the chemistry hiring committee in spring 2022 will be hired to conduct a pilot analysis using the CUE toolkit.
- These analyses include: examining the job announcement from an equity perspective, a survey of the advertisement and recruitment activities, and a reflection on the application review and interview stages (for instance, did they provide equity-minded interview questions)? The faculty will use the CUE Equity in Faculty Hiring toolkit to assess their practices and provide suggestions for improvements on future searches.
Results

The Team has collected CSUCI policies that affect inequities among STEM faculty. The following are initial recommendations and actions for CSUCI administration:

- Commit to identifying, correcting and updating Policies or Practices that may have helped to create inequalities among STEM faculty.
- Continue to implement approaches to address gaps and enhance equity for STEM faculty.
- Help promote a robust CSU system wide commitment to support Policies or Practices focused on the effects of structural racism and discrimination on inequities and provide opportunities that allow diverse perspectives.
- Implement policy changes that promote anti-racism and remove barriers to professional growth for STEM faculty from diverse backgrounds, including underrepresented groups.
- Continue to develop a performance expectation for CSUCI Leadership to be accountable for equity, diversity, and inclusion efforts and actively participate in the CSU system-wide DEI efforts.
- Continue to develop programs to promote institutional culture change in support of inclusivity and equity.
Results

• Provost Avila (PI) has committed to funding a faculty equity study to address salary inequities that may have resulted from past practices including some variation in salaries offered to incoming new probationary faculty. In Fall 2021, the University hired an external consultant to conduct the study. A primary purpose was to advise the University on whether some faculty salaries were lower than others (significant differences) without reasonable justification. Special attention was paid to whether gender, ethnicity, or race was a factor in any salary discrepancies. Anonymized data were provided to the consultant who performed regression and other statistical analyses to review salaries that were lower than expected.
Next Steps/Long-Term Plans

A. Gather Data

A1. Search/Hiring Data and RTP Data

1. Search Process Data: Searches were conducted in AY 21-22, thus updates on data will be requested.

2. Hiring Process Data: continue to analyze 2013-2020 hiring data, reassigned time, mini-grant, sabbaticals, etc.; update data on offers, salaries, etc. from AY 21-22; analyze updated AY 21-22 data; interview admin regarding approved requests/how people get reassigned time.


A2. Investigating Teaching & Service Loads:

In the Fall of 2022, we will implement/administer TSL survey and analyze results, and in Winter of 2023, we will use the results from the survey to develop protocols and finalize topics/questions for focus groups. In Spring of 2023, we will facilitate focus groups, analyze results, and write up results for inclusion in the grant report.
Next Steps/Long-Term Plans

B. Examine Policies and Practices


CUE Hiring Toolkit Pilot Analysis: All DSCs implementing CUE-related changes to hiring practices; Pilot analysis of AY21-22 hiring in STEM by 1-2 people from one program (using CUE guidelines); Faculty must be from the Discipline-specific search, no outside-department faculty nor Equity Advocates (EAs).

Other Hiring Practices: (FA vs Dept Roles; Faculty Equity Advocate embedded program; Lecturers)

Other Campus Policies and Practices:

• are the RTP expectations aligned with the California Faculty Association Collective Bargaining Agreement?
• are RTP reviewers at all levels required to continue their professional development around understanding implicit bias and engaging in practices promoting equity?
• what accountability structures are in place for professional development/training to serve on faculty and administrative search committees?
• how effective is the campus discrimination complaint process and for whom is it working?

C) Develop a 5-Year Plan to enhance faculty equity in STEM.
Summary

DESAFIAR represents excellent potential to advance positive social outcomes. Enhanced understanding of systemic factors that contribute to inequities experienced by women, HUGs, LGBTQ, and persons with disabilities allows organizations to change these factors and create systems that promote equity. The analysis of recent STEM faculty hiring and recommendations to improve the outcomes of future hiring, leads to better participation of a diverse faculty in STEM. The engagement of current STEM faculty in both the hiring analyses and the “Investigating Teaching and Service Loads” aspects of DESAFIAR results in educating a larger contingent of STEM faculty regarding issues that have impacts on equity for STEM faculty. DESAFIAR is a strong initiative moving our faculty recruitment practices from traditional (with few to no gains in diversity) to transformative, through an equity-minded lens, with hopes of a significant increase in the diversity of faculty applicant pools, candidates making it to phone and campus interview, and a significantly larger number of minoritized faculty hires in future academic years to develop a diverse STEM workforce.
Questions?

Contact Information:

Name: Ahmed Awad
Campus/Department: CSU Channel Islands/Chemistry
Phone #: 805-607-5963
Email: ahmed.awad@csuci.edu
Culturally-Informed Strengths-Based Approach to Advance Early Career Faculty Success and Retention

Danny Almeida – Cal Poly San Luis Obispo

Collaborators: Jane Lehr, Camille O’Bryant, Sonia Ramrakhiani, Andrew Byrne, Victoria Bhavsar, April Dawn, Bryan Berrett, Joy Goto, Rudy Sanchez, Kara Dellacioppa, Kirti Celly, Philip Vieira

Danny Almeida, Associate Professor
Cal Poly San Luis Obispo, School of Education
dalmeida@calpoly.edu
Questions?

Contact Information:

Danny Almeida
School of Education, Cal Poly San Luis Obispo
Alliance Website: https://www.calstate.edu/impact-of-the-csu/research/csu-agep-alliance-for-diversity-and-strengths-of-stem-faculty
Phone #: 805-756-1502
Email: dalmeida@calpoly.edu
Kindling Inter-university Network for Diverse (KIND) Engineering Faculty Advancement in the California State University System

Lalita Oka – California State University, Fresno

Lalita Oka, Associate Professor
Fresno State, Department of Civil and Geomatics Engineering
loka@csufresno.edu
## Project Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why?</th>
<th>What?</th>
<th>How?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Percentage of Women faculty in Engineering  
• Inequity for URM  
• Help for foreign-born / foreign-trained (FB/FT) faculty  
• Currently no system-wide data is available | • Self-study to identify challenges  
• Support women and URM faculty  
• FB / FT faculty issues  
• Systemic issues for PUI (Predominantly Undergraduate Institutions) | • Create Dashboards  
• Undergo IChange  
• Research Alliance  
• Mentoring and Networking |
Background

- Geotechnical Women Faculty (GTWF) grant
- Study highlighted disparities between
  - Women and Men
  - Tenured and tenure-track

What about CSU system?

No Data! 😞
Background

Intersectionality in KIND

- CSU has < 15% women (national average ~ 20%)
- Most campuses are ‘Minority Serving Institutions’

What about Engineering Faculty Demographics?

- FB/FT – Predominant group (> 60%) (nationally ~ 56%)

No reliable data
Activities

Broad Themes of KIND

- Faculty dashboard
  - Hiring Dashboard
  - Retention and Promotion Dashboard
- IChange – Institutional Change
- Research Alliance
- Mentoring and Networking
Activities

Dashboard - Data Collection
- Ethnic demographics - Collect via Qualtrics
- Retention, Advancement Data - collect from Faculty Affairs
- Blinding data -
- IRB

Typical CSU Student Success Dashboard
NSF - ADVANCE Partnership Grant: KIND

Activities

IChange Team Process

1. Identify and Recruit IChange Team
   - Pull together a diverse coalition from across campus to work on self-assessment and action planning

2. Complete Institutional Self-Assessment
   - Evaluate current policies, practices, and data related to the recruitment, hiring, and retention of URG STEM faculty & STEM faculty who employ inclusive practices

3. Develop an Action Plan
   - Using self-assessment results, develop an action plan to address most critical areas for strengthening

4. Implement
   - Implement action plan elements (potentially piloting strategies within colleges, schools, or departments)

5. Review Progress and Iterate
   - Review progress on action plan, revise, iterate, implement

Year 1

Years 2 & 3 & Beyond
Activities

Research Alliance

Goal: Establish collaborative relationships between engineering faculty across CSU

How?

Research Alliance

- Diverse teams - 4 teams/yr
- Annual conference
- Faculty Learning Community
- Grant writing workshops
- Early career support
- Publications

Engineering Research Directory

- Discipline
- Equipment / facilities
- Industry-partnership
NSF - ADVANCE Partnership Grant: KIND

Activities

Inter-Campus Networking and Mentoring Program

Goals:

• Provide networking and mentoring opportunities (for the entire CSU system)
• Provide resources for overcoming bias and other career obstacles (for the entire CSU system)

• Virtual Mentoring Sessions – For existing faculty
• Annual Mentoring Session – Graduate students and Post-Docs
• Annual Faculty Success Seminars

Image credit: CWRU Venture Mentoring Program website
NSF - ADVANCE Partnership Grant: KIND

Results

- 8 campuses – Dashboard and Ichange
- 13 campuses participating in at least one activity
- 61 faculty in Research Alliance cohort
- 3 teams – 8 faculty received Research Alliance mini grants
- Speed mentoring sessions – 2 sessions
  - 10 campuses
  - 30 faculty participants
Next Steps/Long-Term Plans

- Dashboard – Permanent tool for hiring and retention
- Ichange - Self study – policy changes
- Research Alliance – Inter-campus collaborative research
- Mentoring and Networking – Establish inter-campus network
NSF - ADVANCE Partnership Grant: KIND

Questions?

Contact Information:
Name: Lalita Oka
Campus/Department: Civil Engineering
Phone #: 559-278-5837
Email: loka@csufresno.edu
ADVANCEGeo: Transforming workplace climate in the geosciences in partnership with professional societies

Allison Mattheis, Cal State LA
Collaborators: Erika Marín-Spiotta, Asmeret Asefaw Berhe, Rebecca Barnes, Meredith Hastings, Blair Schneider, Billy Williams, Melissa Burt, Sora Kim, Jessica Blois

Allison Mattheis, Associate Professor
Cal State LA, Division of Applied & Advanced Studies in Education
amatthe5@calstatela.edu
Why the geosciences?

Project Overview

1. Develop and deliver bystander intervention and workplace climate training with discipline-specific scenarios and that incorporate intersectionality.

2. Collect data.

3. Develop teaching modules that identify harassment as research misconduct.

4. Develop a sustainable model that can be transferred to other disciplines in partnership with professional societies.
From “leaky pipeline” to “hostile obstacle course”

Berhe et al. 2021 *Nature Geoscience.*
Marin-Spiotta et al. 2020. *Advances in Geosciences*

Figure 1. The vicious obstacle course women and BIPOC have to endure in STEMM—illustration inspired (with permission) by Emanu’s Equity hurdles.
ADVANCEGeo: Transforming Workplace Climate in the Geosciences in Partnership with Professional Societies

Activities

• Developed trainings and led > 140 workshops/presentations
• Built relationships with campus admin and programs
• Generated online resources on relevant research and tested strategies
• Developed workplace climate survey
• Hosted first Train-the-Trainers workshop
• Expanded to other fields: ecology, animal behavior, evolutionary biology, neuroscience, chemistry, sociology, psychology, political science
Changing culture and climate at all levels
ADVANCEGeo Intervention Approach:

• All members of community are bystanders, and *There is no such thing as a neutral bystander*

• Acknowledge power dynamics

• Provide a range of tools

• Address individual and collective behavior: workplace climate

• In-person interactive training with real-world scenarios

• Tailored to the discipline and audience

• Facilitated by scientists for scientists

• Data-driven approach

• Leverage role of professional societies
Lessons Learned

Reimagining the Scientific Enterprise:

• Redesign science education

• Interrogate the history of our disciplines, institutions, practices and beliefs

• Reinvent mentoring

• Prioritize safety and people over data and disincentivize misconduct

• Redefine scientific success

• Recognize and compensate labor

• Be courageous
Next Steps/Long-Term Plans

New grant building on this work (begins 9/1/22): **Collaborative Research: ADVANCE Partnership: Empowering scientists to transform workplace climate through the ADVANCEGeo community-based intervention program**

- (1) Develop and test a workplace climate intervention program for academic departments and future faculty training programs;
- (2) Develop and test a train-the-trainers certification and capacity-building program to engage and activate STEM leaders;
- (3) Develop and disseminate scaffolded online resources related to improving workplace climate for broad STEM audiences; and
- (4) Implement a plan for sustained national impact in partnership with professional associations.
Practice intentionality

- Acknowledge racism and intersectionality
- Be transparent and accountable
- Remove barriers to opportunities
- Embrace and accommodate all members
- Address racist and discriminatory history
- Tackle colonial and parachute science

The ADVANCEGeo program for equity in STEM is informed by critical feminist approaches that seek to disrupt unequal power dynamics in strongly gendered and racialized disciplines. The main organizational change intervention is a community-based model for bystander intervention education, informed by a feminist ethics of care. The program aims to enact interventions at the individual and collective level through behavior change education and organizationally through implementation of ethical codes of conduct that frame harassment, bullying and discrimination as scientific misconduct (Marin-Spiotta 2018; Marin-Spiotta et al. in review). Originally proposed to address sexual harassment, centering intersectionality expanded ADVANCEGeo’s capacity to address a range of exclusionary and discriminatory behaviors to better address observed demographic trends in STEM.
ADVANCEGeo: Transforming Workplace Climate in the Geosciences in Partnership with Professional Societies

Questions?

Contact Information:
Name: Allison Mattheis
Campus/Department: Cal State LA
Applied & Advanced Studies in Education
Email: amatthe5@calstatela.edu
Project website: serc.carleton.edu/advancegeo

Follow me on Twitter @profallimatt
Diversification in the Professoriate

Speaker Contacts

Mark Leddy, National Science Foundation
mleddy@nsf.gov

Donna Garcia, Cal State San Bernardino
dmgarcia@csusb.edu

Ahmed Awad, CSU Channel Islands
ahmed.awad@csuci.edu

Daniel Almeida, Cal Poly SLO
dalmeida@calpoly.edu

Lalita Oka, Fresno State
loka@csufresno.edu

Allison Mattheis, Cal State LA
amatthe5@calstatela.edu
Next Steps/Closing Remarks

Dr. Frank A. Gomez
Executive Director, STEM-NET
Office of the Chancellor

https://www2.calstate.edu/impact-of-the-csu/research/stem-net
Webcast Feedback Survey

Please take a few moments to tell us about your webcast experience.

Use the QR Scan Code to download it
Virtual Research Café 10.0
Date: Wednesday, September 21, 2022
Time: 11am-12pm

2022 STEM-NET SoCalGas Student Research Fellowship Virtual Research Café
Date: Friday, September 16, 2022
Time: 11am-12PM

Register Here
STEM-NET October Webcast
Topic: CSU Exemplars in Physics
Date: Thursday, October 6, 2022
Time: 10am- 11:30AM

Register Here
Join our CSU STEM-NET Community listserv

csustemnet@lists.calstate.edu

Begin a Conversation with Colleagues and Join our Private CSU STEM-NET Facebook Group

https://www.facebook.com/groups/2629611737269292
THANK YOU FOR JOINING US TODAY!
For more information about STEM-NET visit our website: