

## **Academic Preparation and Education Programs (APEP) Committee**

*Attendees: David J. Barsky (Chair), Mark Van Selst (Vice Chair), Sandra B. Chong, Kate Esposito (by zoom), Denise Fleming, Sue Holl, Barry Pasternack, Jeffrey Reeder, Eric Forbes (Chancellor's Office Liaison), Catherine Nelson (Executive Committee Liaison), Rob Ford (ASCSU guest)*

*Absent: Marquita Grenot-Scheyer (Chancellor's Office Liaison) [Marquita was available to APEP but the committee was occupied through our otherwise-available time with her].*

### **MINUTES (Final)**

Wednesday, March 14, 2018

11:00am-5:00pm

Chancellor's Office, Conference Room 410

<http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Committees/a pep/index.shtml>

1. Approval of Agenda
  - a. Passed as amended
2. Approval of Minutes
  - a. February 2018 meeting minutes – approved pending updates from today
3. Chair's Report
  - a. Note Chancellor White (Plenary) at 2PM Friday
  - b. AA/APEP joint meeting at 1PM Wednesday
  - c. FA: outside influences on faculty (resolution), Innovation Awards (selection of evaluators), control of mode of instruction
  - d. AA: Student Success (resolution), Full Funding (resolution), data-based decision making / quality of data (resolution), basic needs (resolution). The CSU CO response to the resolution on prison-interventions seemed reserved.
  - e. FGA: Will largely be occupied with a review of legislative action
  - f. Shared Governance w.r.t. ASCSU/CSU CO: progress appears positive. Action continues to unfold. There are some areas where faculty voices should have primacy (e.g., curriculum/many areas of academic

policy) and others where the faculty voice is one of many (e.g., tuition). A document is being developed (language from AAUP, BoT, etc.). **ACTION: At April interim meeting (Friday April 13<sup>th</sup>) at 11:30 to 12:30 APEP will discuss the content of the document as shared at that time.** Presumption is that the document will be presented to the full senate in May.

4. Old Business:
  - a. Academic Preparation Evaluation and Outcome Study
  - b. Joint meeting with the Academic Affairs Committee (1:00 Coronado Room time and place certain)
  
5. New Business: Discussion and Possible Resolution Items
  - a. Draft Resolution: ***Equity and Responsibility in Admissions to the Distinctive Universities and Campuses of the California State University System***
  - b. Board of Trustees Agenda Items (related to APEP)
    - i. Title 5 Changes pursuant to SB 68
    - ii. Admissions policies
      1. Redirection and impaction to local area
    - iii. Academic Preparation
  - c. AAUP letter (Feb, 2017) and ASCSU response (March, 2017)
    - i. ASCSU autonomy vs. external agencies.
  
6. APEP Members' External Committee Assignments
  - a. AA/APEP joint meeting on course re-design proposal.
    - i. The purpose of the study is to document the changes that have taken and will take place in response to EO1110 (Aug, 2017). The stated goal is to provide a reflective study showing what we (CSU) are doing and the process for how those curricular changes were and are developed. Educational outcomes are not explicitly addressed in the current proposed workplan.
  - b. Academic Preparation Workgroup
    - i. That meeting occurred *immediately* before APEP [David Barsky]
  - c. GE Task Force Update (Denise Fleming, Mark Van Selst)

- i. Principles (e.g., student centric, cohesive, intentional) will be shared at plenary. Work is progressing well. GETF is moving from a survey of GE (internal and external to the CSU) towards recommendations.

## 7. Chancellor's Office Liaison Meetings

### a. Eric Forbes (11:15 time certain)

- i. Feedback on resolution re: access to the CSU – seems to strike the right balance re: LAO report.
- ii. Academic Preparation
- iii. Eligibility
- iv. Cal State Apply revisions – there are corrections to prior processes and new functionality (e.g., re: education credentials [multiple and single] and re: inclusion of campus-determined questions); The feedback on needs (e.g., user experience improvement) has led to focused expenditure on improvement.
  - 1. Q: should there be different processes to attempt to accommodate applications to multiple programs at the same campus?
  - 2. The student will initially self-report test/grade details (and is coded as such) and then updated to the verified scores (and coded as such) as the data is transmitted to the CSU. [California College Guidance Initiative – helps both with transcript transmission and with college advising]
- v. Discussion of May meeting with UC BOARS (may co-occur with GEAC and/or APEP) [**ACTION: Chair Barsky to follow-up**]. Adding qualifying requirement re: Science to UC eligibility (this may support the fourth year of quantitative reasoning support course request encouraged by the CSU/ASCSU). The consultation will take place with BOARS. What we are doing today is a heads-up, etc. Conceptually it looks like the CSU/ASCSU is moving forward (albeit slowly).
- vi. Fourth year of Quantitative Reasoning – Representatives of the Department of Education initially showed concern that adding a fourth year of math would overly constrain schedules. CSU

holds that existing AP and electives (including BOARS science proposal) will accommodate this flexibility. This led to the idea of the CSU building regional enthusiasm (Marquita) for the approach tentatively leading to a joint presentation in advocacy. This does not appear to be an exceptionally heavy lift with our K-12 colleagues and systems.

- vii. CMS development re: academic preparation is unfolding and the timeline appears workable re: student placement in a timely fashion. Students have till MAY 1 to indicate intent to register, but CSU campuses have already had to build tentative FALL schedules.
  - 1. CMS “auto-deny” processes likely need to be used cautiously with these early implementations
- viii. Cal State Online: LOA suggests that online instruction may be underutilized; CSU has improved search functions and access

- b. Marquita Grenot-Scheyer (available by phone if necessary at 2:00)
  - i. APEP was tied up with AA re: Course Re-Design Project and was not able to coordinate communications with Marquita prior to 2:30PM.

8. Executive Committee Liaison report: Catherine Nelson (3:30 - 4:00 time certain)

- a. ASCSU budget request
- b. ASCSU physical location (move within CSU CO from first to second floor)
- c. Hotel booking data suggests that ASCSU office booking may save funds when utilized
- d. Faculty trustee nomination procedure (resolution)
- e. 2018-2019 Calendar (resolution)
- f. Faculty safety issues
- g. Tenure-Density task force report out “soon”
- h. Discussion of appropriate speakers for ASCSU May Plenary
- i. California AAUP letter vis-à-vis shared governance communications
  - i. Senate response was focused on process
  - ii. Issues of union versus senate roles and representation
- j. Feedback for Catherine Nelson to take to executive

- i. Excellent work with our APEP liaisons
- ii. **ACTION: Proposal to assign course re-design management to a subgroup rather than all of APEP/AA (suggestion from APEP)**
- iii. Resolution forthcoming (on local admissions)
- iv. Shared governance

## 9. Draft April Interim Agenda and Action Items

Minutes by MVS (last revision 4/12/2018)