

**CSU Campus Senate Chairs Meeting**  
December 1, 2016

**1. Call to order**

Convener Bonney (FU) called the meeting to order at 10:06 a.m.

**2. Approval of the minutes for 20 October, 2016**

M/S/P (Hill (DH)/Carleton (SF)) to approve.

**3. Approval of the minutes for 7 April, 2016**

M/S/P (Boyd (CH)/Carleton) to approve.

**4. ASCSU Report – Chair Christine Miller (SA)**

Chair Miller has been to 11 campuses so far this year. On a note of personal privilege, if chairs were to provide her with a pennant from their campus, preferably a smaller one, she would pay for it.

ASCSU resolutions approved and introduced at the November plenary were discussed. Support for the Board of Trustees 2017-18 Budget Request, AS-3273-16/FGA, includes the following in its rationale: "Allocating at least half the \$75 million requested for Graduation Initiative 2025 to the hiring of new tenure track faculty (not replacement faculty) has the potential to increase tenure density by around 0.5% assuming a growth of 3600 students in the CSU."

CSUMentor is going away and migrating to Cal State Apply. Campuses will finish with CSUMentor by Fall 2017. New CIO Patrick Perry gave a presentation on new directions in IT for the CSU, including a move from data warehouse to data lake, semantic layering of data allowing it to be indexed, and new LMS's. It was suggested that Perry be invited to a Senate Chairs meeting.

A 2017-18 Enrollment Planning letter from EVC Blanchard dated November 23, 2016 went to Presidents with the following five principles:

- a. Encourage students to take course loads which move students forward to degree goals.
- b. Offer course sections which will address progress toward your Graduation Initiative 2025 goals. Continue to provide current students with priority course registration that provides access to core courses required for their degree.
- c. New student enrollment should only address replacement of FTES related to completion or non-retention students. Admit and enroll new students only to the extent that appropriate course loads that provide an authentic path to success are available.
- d. Prioritize admission of transfer students who have earned an Associate Degree for Transfer as well as fully-eligible, resident upper-division transfer applicants.
- e. Responsibly manage actual College Year (CY) enrollments toward funded CY resident FTES enrollment targets.

There was discussion as to whether these imply a systemwide freeze on enrollment, subject to 1% or enrollment growth in the support budget.

Presidents have received a draft systemwide intellectual property policy. The process may be slowed by the loss of the CO's patent person.

Campus and systemwide graduation initiative plans are posted here:

<https://www2.calstate.edu/csu-system/why-the-csu-matters/graduation-initiative-2025/Pages/campus-plans-and-goals.aspx>

## 5. Board of Trustees Report - Trustee Steve Stepanek

Trustee Stepanek (NO) recently visited MB, EB, and SD. He encouraged campuses to invite Trustees and include the campus Senate leadership on their agendas. He framed the BOT budget discussion as typically between two budgets, Plan A with minimal spending and Plan B with what we would really like to have, with some years there being a compromise between the two. This year there is only Plan A.

## 6. 11:00 Time Certain: Discussion with Christian Osmena

Christian Osmena, California Department of Finance, joined the meeting by Zoom. In his role as fiscal policy advisor to the governor on higher education, he seeks a better understanding of how the CSU works, including governance. He has met with Statewide Senate and has visited about one half of the campuses.

There was discussion of the graduation initiative and its tying to student services. Miller brought up the relation between student success and tenure track faculty, that students do not succeed without faculty. Osmena shared a quip he had heard: If that's the money for student success, what is everything else for? Hill made the case for higher tenure-track density and that money be earmarked for that purpose. Osmena noted that this governor is reluctant to earmark for particular purposes. Schurer (LB) questioned whether success can be measured by graduation rate only. Ford (SO) questioned the nature of Osmena's involvement and whether it could be perceived as an end run around the CO and BOT. Bonney asked about EdInsights; Osmena acknowledged that this was one of the few places where the state is providing funding not allocated by the CO and BOT. Hill and Nordenholz (MA) advocated for indicators of success, other than four-year graduation rate, that would measure the social impact that we generate. McDuffie (SM) highlighted social mobility as a measure of success.

Following a break, there was discussion of inviting Osmena back to another chairs meeting and faculty participating in his visits to campuses. Boyd shared that at Chico Osmena's visit gave her a better understanding of how campuses function, including details such as what 4 WTUs mean. Carleton noted that student success plans should not leave out faculty, and that faculty success is student success.

## 7. Campus updates

A question was raised about student success fees and if they can be suspended. The process for repeal is given here: <http://www.calstate.edu/studentssuccessfees/process/repeal-existing-fee.shtml>

Methods for reviewing administrators were discussed, including votes of no confidence. The policy at EB provides for unscheduled reviews.

LA is looking at adding lecturer representatives to its Senate. It is the only campus with no lecturer representation on its Senate.

**8. Campus responses to the national election results.**

The topic of sanctuary campuses was discussed. DH approved a strongly worded Resolution Affirming Support for Undocumented CSU Students and Community. SF has a Dream Coordinator.

Chairs reported that faculty have been standing up to support our students since the election. They also reported no openings in counseling for students and concerns over safety. Some campuses have had nooses and swastikas. Pros and cons of door locks or barricades were discussed.

**9. Semester Teaching Load at CSU campuses**

**10. Response to report of Quantitative Reasoning Task Force**

**11. Members' items**

The meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,  
Mark Karplus (EB), Recorder