1. Call to order and roll call (11:00)
2. Chair’s welcome and introductory comments (11:05)
   1. Dropbox, Agenda updates
3. Overview and approval of Agenda (11:10)
4. Meeting structure (11:10)
   a. Zoom speaker list
5. Approval of March Minutes (dropbox) (11:15)
6. Segment reports of items relevant to GE (11:20)
   a. CCC System Office
   b. CCC Academic Senate
   c. CCC AO report
   d. CSU AO report
   e. CSU Office of the Chancellor
   f. CSU Students (CSSA)
   g. CSU Academic Senate (ASCSU)

LUNCH (12:00)

7. GE consideration re: High school pathways (1:00)
   a. Current status (AP, IB)
   b. India [Leo Van Cleave]
   c. Cambridge International Curriculum [Pam Kerouac]
8. Open Educational Resources [Leslie Kennedy] (1:40)
   a. Resources available and in development re: GE
9. CSU GE Guiding Notes (updates) (2:00)
   a. See dropbox
10. IGETC Standards 2.3 (updates) (2:10)
    a. DRAFT in dropbox
11. Ethnic Studies
   a. CSU BoT action
   b. ASCSU item on Ethnic Studies (IGETC) (2:20)
      i. Meeting with AA/APEP and CSU Council on Ethnic Studies
      ii. CSU CO asked to consider publicizing call and standards for GE faculty
          reviewers
12. Report on Progress to AB 928 re: common lower division GE for transfer (Van
    Selst/Csomay/[Collins]/Foster)
   a. ICAS has shared draft outline for common lower division transfer GE pathway (AB928)
      i. Proposal and cover letter is in Dropbox
      ii. Includes possibility that lifelong learning be incorporated in some way in an
          upper division GE revision
      iii. American Institutions expectations remains separate (not formally GE)
      iv. Oral communication will need work on definitions and common expectations
      v. Critical Thinking (writing) will need work on definitions and common
         expectations
   b. Nuanced existing differences between CSU GE and IGETC (and IGETC for CSU and
      IGETC for UC) that will need to be addressed
      i. Grading
         1. IGETC no less than C [2.0] for all qualifying coursework
         2. CSU GE requires 1.7 for Golden Four
      ii. Implied purpose of AB928 is to have ‘one package’ (same standards)
   c. Possible implications for ADTs
   d. Possible implications for Upper Division GE (reconsideration of UDGE)
   e. Is the expectation that the “common GE” transfer path will define CSU lower division
      GE?
13. Carry-Over items
   a. Common course numbering implications for GE (CCC)
   b. Strengthening GE (referral to AA)
14. Adjournment (4:00)

Invited guests:
Kennedy, Leslie          CSU CO          lkennedy@calstate.edu
Kerouac, Pam             Cambridge International pam.kerouac@cambridge.org
Van Cleave, Leo          CSU CO          lvancleve@calstate.edu
Raman, Jaishankar (Shankar) CSU CO          jraman@calstate.edu

Standing guests:
Chapman, Quajuana        CSU CO          qchapman@calstate.edu
Collins, Robert          ASCSU, Chair       rcollings@calstate.edu
Ford, Rick               ASCSU, APEP        rford@csuchico.edu
Lowe, Aisha              CCC system office   alowe@cccco.edu
Simpson-Alisca, Karen    CSU CO          ksimpson-alisca@calstate.edu
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