Executive Committee Agenda for Sept. 4-5, 2003
8:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m., Thursday, Sept. 4, Coronado Room
1:30 p.m. to 2:45 p.m. Thursday, Sept. 4, Senate chair's office
1:30 p.m. (or end of conference planning meeting) to 3 p.m., Friday, Sept. 5, Munitz Room

1. Rumors and gossip
2. Approval of agenda
3. Approval of minutes
4. Reports:
   4.1. Standing committee chairs
   4.2. Chair
   4.3. Other officers
   4.4. ITP taskforce
5. Times certain:
   5.1. David Spence and Gary Hammerstrom: 9 a.m., Thursday
   5.2. Manolo Platin: 10 a.m., Thursday
   5.3. Agenda discussion (chancellor & vice-chancellors): 3 p.m. Friday, Room 610
   5.4. Liaison with standing committees: 1:30 Thursday or earlier
6. Discussion:
   6.1. Assigned time: how, when, and to whom do we want to present this issue?
   6.2. BOT agenda (agendas likely to be available only around noon Friday)
   6.3. ICAS leg days
   6.4. Master Plan planning
   6.5. Proposed IMPAC-CAN marriage
   6.6. Room availability for Senate meetings
   6.7. SBAC proposed budget and BAT
7. Action:
   7.1. review GE waiver request from CSU CI (to be distributed when we meet)
   7.2. appoint IMPAC lead faculty for agriculture, journalism, economics, geography, math (Geography, Krabacher; others t.b.a.)
   7.3. make appointments to CSU committee to revise internal accountability indicators (Proposed so far: Cook, Jensen, Kegley. For background, see attached memo from Marsha Hirano-Nakanishi; for more information, see http://www.calstate.edu/AcadAff/accountability/performance_areas.shtml.)
8. Agenda items for Campus Chairs' Meeting
9. Agenda items for November plenary
Dear Bob:

At the Academic Council meeting last Friday, we discussed convening a small committee to:

1. Consider whether it is necessary to replace the current "relations with K-12" Indicator 6.1 which is focused on CAPI/PAD activities that end with 2002-2003. One simple option is to eliminate Indicator 6 with a statement about the early assessments that the CSU and California public education are sponsoring (and moving Indicator 6.2 -- entry-level remedial rates to Indicator 7). Another option is to consider a replacement indicator about relations with K-12 to which CSU campuses could be held accountable.

2. Consider if, when, and what is involved with Indicator 10 -- Quality of Graduate and Post-Baccalaureate Programs. Last year, the Academic Council and the Academic Senate felt that other indicators could be eliminated from systemwide accountability reporting but Indicator 10 was important as a balance to Indicator 1. Indicator 10 currently is scheduled for reporting in fall 2004; the level and contents of the report are open for review, as well as the necessity.

We would like to convene the committee of provosts/academic affairs vice presidents (Arno Rethans, Chico; Ric Brown, Sacramento; Bob Sheath, San Marcos; Marshall Goodman, San Jose) and academic senators early in the fall term.

Please let me know your appointments as soon as possible.

Thanks,

Marsha

P.S. There is no need to match the provost numbers.

Dr. Marsha Hirano-Nakanishi
California State University System
Office of the Chancellor
Assistant Vice Chancellor, Academic Research
401 Golden Shore, Sixth Floor
Long Beach, CA 90802
562-951-4767 (voice)
562-951-4981 (fax)
mhirano-nakanishi@calstate.edu
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