The Academic Preparation and Education Programs Committee

Minutes

Office of the Chancellor, Room 410

Wednesday May 2nd, 2012
11:00 AM – 5:00 PM

Thursday May 3rd, 2012
(Used for sub-committee work finalizing resolutions)
8:30 to 9:45 AM

MEMBERS:

Mark Van Selst, Chair  San José
Sandra Chong, Vice Chair Northridge
Jacinta Amaral Fresno (not present)
Bob Buckley Sacramento
Karen Davis Monterey Bay
Harold Goldwhite Professor Emeritus, Long Beach (Travel, [Europe])
Antony Hasson-Snell Maritime (Travel, Student Competition [Portland])
Kathleen Kaiser Chico
Steven Steppeke Northridge

Chancellor's Office Liaison 2:30 Eric Forbes (Student Readiness Access & Success)
2:00 Carolina Cardenas (CTE)
11:00 Zee Cline (EO on Courtesy Credentials, etc.)

Executive Committee Liaison Christine Miller, Member at large, ASCSU (Sacramento)
(1:00 – 1:30)

1. Modification and Approval of the Agenda
   a. Approved

2. Chair’s Report (Van Selst)
   a. Multiple interim meetings
   b. Letter to CCTC
   c. Annual Report
   d. Tracking committee(s) actions
   e. Local lobbying
   f. Updates from Executive
3. Approval of minutes
   a. April 2012 approved

4. Campus updates
   a. NORTHRIDGE: (Stepanek)
   b. FRESNO: (Amaral)
   c. SACRAMENTO: (Buckley)
      i. Program prioritization processes (by HEGIS code) are moving towards
         prioritization (roadblocks include identification of non-resource
         demanding programs as being included in bottom quartile [e.g.,
         intersegmental programs])
      ii. Movement towards moving to a streamlined senate structure
      iii. Commendation for President Gonzalez (noting responsiveness to prior
            resolution of no confidence and the turn-around in faculty support for
            the President)
      iv. GE committee is working on ways to reform GE without talking to the
          departments that might be impacted (e.g., Foreign Languages &
          American Institutions). The primary concern is that this was an isolated
          group working on curricular issues without input from the faculty at large.
   d. MONTEREY: (Davis)
   e. LONG BEACH:
   f. MARITIME:
   g. CHICO: (Kaiser)
      i. Provost Search (Chico is one of 10 campuses searching)
      ii. Internal search for CSU VP faculty affairs
      iii. Chico has no program on suspension vs. elimination of programs; this is
           not an ideal situation. Campus policies should address both suspension
           and elimination.
      iv. Ruth Black, Director of Calstate Online, at Chico today; faculty quite
          concerned about how the online courses are moving. Faculty are
          grappling with ways of labeling technology in courses, e.g., hybrid,
          synchronous, asynchronous.
   h. SAN JOSE: (Van Selst)

5. Review/Updates of Prior Senate Action
   a. The Chancellor’s Office response to ASCSU Resolutions from March:
      http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/Resolutions/co_response/documents/CO_
      Response_March_2012.pdf

6. Committee Liaison updates from committee members
   a. Admissions Advisory Council (Kaiser, Stepanek)
      i. Meeting called for Monday May 14th.
   b. California Academic Partnership Program (Chong)
      i. Report from CAPP meeting April 12, 2012.
      ii. Federal Government likely to cut AVID funding (126,000 students)
      iii. Successful seeding of teacher effectiveness via prior CAPP grants
      iv. Questions about ongoing funding (funds approx. 3 Million to literacy
          and mathematics grants)
      v. If funding permits will hold a strategic planning meeting during summer
          2012
      vi. Fall meeting will be scheduled after the Fall ballot outcome is known
   c. California Commission on Teacher Credentialing (Chong)
   d. California Postsecondary Education Commission
i. No longer functional; pending legislation looks to revisit CPEC functions
e. CSU Doctorate in Education Advisory Committee
f. General Education Advisory Committee (Van Selst, etc.)
   i. no meeting
g. Give Students a COMPASS (steering committee) (Van Selst)
   i. ASCCC presentation of April 20, 2012 was well received
h. Institute for Teaching and Learning Board (Goldwhite [unavailable])
   i. C-ID meeting (Van Selst)
      i. Beth and Mark presented at the last C-ID meeting
      ii. Chico faculty are under the impression that C-ID is to cut units.
j. SB 1440 implementation committee
   i. See agenda item
k. Student Readiness Access and Success Committee
   i. See agenda item
   ii. Early Start –distributed running totals by campus and noted that individual campus websites are difficult to navigate.
   iii. English Council
   iv. Math council
l. Academic Technology Advisory Committee (Buckley)

7. Chancellor’s Office Liaison Report (Cline)
   a. Smarter Balance (will be discussed later under standardized assessment)
   b. Movement to more teaching, less testing.
   c. The idea is that student assessment (for college readiness) would be built into regular course assessment.
   d. The gear up costs for computerized testing and assessment are large.
   e. Transform model curriculum standards. Smarter Balance is asking for faculty input into assessments.

8. Career Technical Education (Cardenas/Van Selst)
   a. Tentative agreement on inter-agency agreement with UC
   b. Title V language being developed
   c. Will need to be vetted by legal to see if the ASCSU identified process and criteria meet the requirements of the original legislation.
      i. The alternative is that ASCSU will need to ratify an alternative set of processes or criteria.
   d. The tentative time line is to have to have an item at the CSU BoT for their September meeting.

9. Resolution (Waiver item): “Support for the Self-Identification of LGBT students via data collection survey administered at the time of registration for courses, after admission to the CSU and to an individual campus” (Kaiser)
   a. Resolution perfected and forwarded for the plenary

10. ASCSU Executive Committee Liaison Reports (Chris Miller)
    a. ICAS lobby day

11. The resolution: **On California State University “Courtesy Recommendations” to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing. (first reading)** — was introduced to the Plenary as a first reading item in March.
    a. Beverly Young is working on revisions to an intended Executive Order that would
dramatically limit the ability of the CSU colleges of education to approve courtesy credentials – this draft EO was distributed to APEP.

b. A resolution (second reading) was perfected for the plenary

12. Accepting units from non-regionally accredited institutions for early childcare permits. (e.g., Montessori) [Chong/Young]
   a. The committee drafted a joint letter to CCTC (cc: WASC cc: ECE programs at CSUs) which has been sent c/o CCTC

13. Student Readiness Access and Success Committee (Forbes and Young)
   a. Charge and Membership
      i. committee constituted for a meeting by Early Fall
      ii. Will include external constituencies
      iii. Advisability of APEP representation transmitted by CO to Senate Executive.
   b. ELM/EPT Testing
      i. Possible resolution on Advantages of intersegmental adoption of a standardized assessment instrument was considered, but it was felt that any resolution put together in the compressed time-frame would be unlikely to capture the complexity of the issues to be addressed. The item will be reported out in the 2011-12 APEP annual report.
   c. Campus Impaction
   d. Early Start
   e. Expository Reading and Writing Course (ERWC) and Strengthening Mathematics Instruction (SMI) content
   f. CCC degree tracking
      i. A resolution on Electronic tracking and early degree progress notification was developed and will be presented to the ASCSU plenary

14. SB1440
   a. “Sci-GETC” (relabeled as an alternative IGETC certification)
   b. with Dr. Forbes we discussed impaction
   c. The “Sci-GETC” proposal has previously been endorsed by the senate. As the specifics are developed APEP should weigh in as appropriate vis-à-vis maintenance of curricular standards. Also, since this would be an EXEMPTION to the SB 1440 mandate, we endorsed “double-counting” for the inclusion of American Institutions as a requirement rather than a recommendation.

15. C-ID
   a. Resolution on C-ID split decisions and intersegmental concurrence (with waiver)
   b. Resolution perfected and forwarded to the plenary

16. Ed.D. Programs
   a. Legislative report by Joan Bissell, needs to be forwarded to the committee

17. ASCSU Proactive Strategic Planning Actions (re: A2E)

18. Board of Trustee Agendas & Actions

19. Old Business
   a. Possible Resolutions:
      i. Santa Monica (self-support at CCC)
ii. New anti-Early Start Legislation (Opposed)

iii. A possible resolution on **Committee home for technology issues within campus senate structures** was discussed, was considered advisable, but did not rise to the level of what we considered a waiver-required item.

1. Chico notes system documentation regarding possible technology solutions that could be pursued by various campuses. Chico is looking at methods of coding modality (synchronous/asynchronous, hybrid, split, online, in-person, remote location, etc.)

2. It is useful for both campuses to know what types of instruction are being offered (proportion, etc.) for both students and institutional benefit.

3. BoT educational policy reports may not capture the range and styles of (partially) technology-mediated offerings

4. Online white-paper provides useful content.

20. **New Business**

21. **Adjournment**