The Academic Preparation and Education Programs Committee

Minutes

Phone Conference

Friday April 13th, 2012
10:00 AM – 1:15 PM
Call-in Number: (888) 622-5357
PARTICIPANT (APEP): 285476
HOST (Mark): 826287

MEMBERS:

Mark Van Selst, Chair	San José
Sandra Chong, Vice Chair	Northridge (Travel, CAPP)
Jacinta Amaral	Fresno (After 11:00 AM)
Bob Buckley	Sacramento (until 11:45 AM)
Karen Davis	Monterey Bay
Harold Goldwhite	Professor Emeritus, Long Beach (Travel, [Europe])
Antony Hasson-Snell	Maritime (Travel, Math Council)
Kathleen Kaiser	Chico (After 11:00 AM)
Steven Stepanek	Northridge

11:30 Beverly Young, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Teacher Education and Public School Programs (re: Student Success and Courtesy Credentials)

Executive Committee Liaison
(12:15 – 12:45)
Christine Miller, Member at large, ASCSU (Sacramento)

1. Modification and Approval of the Agenda
   a. Approved as modified

2. Chair's Report (Van Selst)
   a. Multiple interim meetings
      i. discussion
   b. Letter to CTC
      i. Mark will follow up
c. Annual Report
   i. Initial discussion, will likely include suggestions addressing technology

d. Tracking committee(s) actions

e. Online textbook bill
   i. Generally opposed.

3. Approval of minutes
   a. March 2012

4. Campus updates
   a. NORTHRIDGE: (Stepanek)
      i. Futures conference: successful. Hopefully a report will be ready for
distribution by the plenary. LAO and legislative input was valued.
Northridge offering to support replication at a North campus if one was
interested.
      ii. “Leadership Development” – Northridge has embraced the “build your
own” leadership development with the CSU.

b. FRESNO: (Amaral)
   i. What should the university be called?
      1. Fresno State’s dogpaw is the “new” logo/branding style
   ii. “cohort hires” is a new idea being shopped on campus.
      1. Seems to be a common occurrence across CSUs

b. SACRAMENTO: (Buckley)
   i. Restructuring senate committee structure (originally in response to
budget cuts)
   ii. Commendation to the President (partially in response to an original vote
of no confidence from a number of years ago).

d. MONTEREY: (Davis)
   i. Interim President to be announced shortly (former President Harrison will
be at Northridge)
   ii. Summer Arts: will be at Monterey for Summer 2012.

e. LONG BEACH:
   i. No update

f. MARITIME:
   i. No update

g. CHICO: (Kaiser)
   i. There are campus-based concerns regarding chico-based
communications around both online “requirements” and the state
university grants; the noise to information ratio is not currently favorable.

h. SAN JOSE: (Van Selst)
   i. 60th senate anniversary
      1. Somewhat co-opted for awarding an honorary doctorate (the
context seemed to be to have the degree awarded before a
trustee’s 8 year term expired)
   ii. WASC (reference to Lumina Degree Profiles)
      1. University level learning outcomes (“what is an educated
person”) in the context of EVERY program (for both
undergraduate and graduate student)
   iii. Local “Guarantee” (removed for all but undeclared for Fall 2013)
5. **Review/Updates of Prior Senate Action**

   a. The Chancellor’s Office response to ASCSU Resolutions from March:
      i. Were not available in time for this meeting

6. **Committee Liaison updates from committee members**

   a. Admissions Advisory Council (Kaiser, Stepanek)
      i. Tradition that there are two presidents on Academic Advisory (both sitting presidents have now retired). At this point Steven Stepanek called the meeting for Monday May 14th.
      ii. Discussion of achieving master plan objectives in budget climate
   b. California Academic Partnership Program (Chong [unavailable])
      i. Chong is currently at CAPP meeting April 12, 2012.
   c. California Commission on Teacher Credentialing (Chong [unavailable])
      i. No report
   d. California Postsecondary Education Commission
      i. No longer functional; pending legislation looks to revisit CPEC functions
   e. CSU Doctorate in Education Advisory Committee
      i. No report
   f. Student Readiness Access and Success Committee
      i. See agenda item
   g. General Education Advisory Committee (Van Selst, etc.)
      i. No meeting
   h. Give Students a COMPASS (steering committee) (Van Selst)
      i. ASCCC presentation (Scheduled April 20, 2012)
   i. Institute for Teaching and Learning Board (Goldwhite [unavailable])
      i. April 5 meeting
      ii. No report
   j. C-ID meeting (Van Selst)
      i. See agenda item
   k. SB 1440 implementation committee
      i. See agenda item
   l. **Student Readiness Access and Success Committee**
      i. See agenda item
      ii. Early Start
      iii. English Council
      iv. Math council
      1. April 13 at Northridge
   m. History Chairs (or others on American Institutions)
      i. No report
   n. Academic Technology Advisory Committee (Buckley)
      i. Discussion of online programs
      ii. Request to EVC to have a task force to address faculty purchase of their own technology systems. There are multiple issue around the issue of what the campus responsibilities (and limitations) of service support for faculty and the academic mission as a whole.
      iii. APEP should include a note in the annual report concerning a “home” for technology within senate structures on the campuses.

7. **Chancellor’s Office Liaison Report** (Cline)

   a. No report
8. **Career Technical Education (Van Selst)**
   a. The resolution “Action in Response to Education Code Section 66205.8 Regarding the Applicability of High School Career Technical Education Courses Towards CSU Eligibility” (AS-3052-12/APEP) was passed at the March Plenary.
   b. APEP has requested the “interagency agreement” with University of California, Office of the President re: high school CTE.

9. **LGBT identification at application and/or acceptance (Kaiser)**
   a. Was discussed at the statewide senate chairs meeting.
   b. We do not like “ask” at admission process
   c. We do like the “ask” at the moment of “intent to register” (optional field) – campus resource implications
      i. Other information could be collected here as well (e.g., disability needs)
      ii. System-wide changes to the CMS project list requires lead-time
   d. Kathy / Steve / Karen / Mark will work on this

10. **ASCSU Executive Committee Liaison Reports (Chris Miller)**
    a. Cal State Online
       i. The Cal State Online business plan was discussed at the statewide senate chairs meeting.
    b. State university grants for graduate students (also includes input from Forbes)
       i. Social service masters may be massively impacted
       ii. Some may be worth retaining SUG
       iii. Protection (grandfathering) of current students
       iv. Suspended processing for new graduate student SUG
       v. What is the “right” proportion (1/3 set aside)
       vi. Can we fulfill the boards’ ambition to have each student have some responsibility for their own fiscal house (partial SUG distribution across more students).
    c. Multiple interim meetings (virtual)
       i. CO participation
       ii. Trade-offs on participation
    d. Paperless senate (plenary)
       i. Gerry Hanley as a contact person
          1. Sharepoint has been explored and is one possibility
          2. The idea is to post resolutions to somewhere that individuals could access individually.
       ii. Are there “access” concerns (Brown act, visitors, etc.)
          1. Does this mean that the system expects that all individuals will travel with a laptop, etc.
    e. Santa Monica College
       i. High demand, high fee might be targeted to “required” courses
       ii. Clearly an APEP issue
       iii. Are CCCs allowed “self-support”?
    f. ICAS
       i. Leadership APRIL 24th lobby day
       ii. Higher Education Advocacy Day (broader constituency) at a later date
    g. Plenary Speakers
       i. Unclear at this time
11. Teacher Credentialing (Resolution on courtesy credentials [Sandra Chong, Beverly Young])
   a. APEP and the CSU Education Deans both appear to be in favor of a limitation on courtesy approvals. The details will be presented to the body in resolution format. The resolution: On California State University “Courtesy Recommendations” to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing. (first reading) — was introduced to the Plenary as a first reading item.
      i. We should change name of resolution to be “non-approved, non-CSU” since the EO allows CSU non-approved courtesy recommend.
   b. Beverly Young is working on revisions to an intended Executive Order which would dramatically limit the ability of the CSU colleges of education to approve courtesy credentials – the distribution of this draft EO is anticipated by APEP. Tentative language for this EO was distributed (changes in bold). Some of the changes reflect fingerprint-based screening protocols and a change in nomenclature. The more substantial changes relate to courtesy credentials. This DRAFT language is illustrated below (with my suggested edits in red).

   I. Subject Matter Competence
   Consistent with options established by the CTC, each campus shall accept only the following as sufficient evidence of the subject matter competence of an entrant to a teacher education basic preliminary credential program:
   \[
   \begin{align*}
   A. & \text{Completion of an appropriate, currently CTC-approved subject matter program;} \\
   B. & \text{A passing score on the appropriate CTC-approved subject matter examination.}
   \end{align*}
   \]

   A comparison and analysis of student transcripts from a non-CSU, non CTC-approved subject matter program shall not satisfy the CSU requirement for certifying subject matter competence.

   II. Recommendation for Teacher Credentials
   CSU campuses shall recommend to the State of California only those candidates who have satisfactorily completed the appropriate approved CSU professional preparation program for teaching credentials or other educational credentials.
   1. The conveyance of a “courtesy recommend” to the CTC shall not be granted by CSU campuses <MVS: except as indicated in (2)>.
   2. When warranted by the circumstances of an individual case, the appropriate campus authority may grant an exception. The campus shall limit the number of exceptions to no greater than five percent of the number of students recommended to the CCTC by the campus teacher education program in the current or previous academic year.

12. Accepting units from non-regionally accredited institutions for early childcare permits. (e.g., Montessori) [Chong/Young]
   a. The committee drafted a joint letter to CTC (cc: WASC cc: ECE programs at CSUs)
      i. Who is to sign? Postma? Young? Van Selst? Jones?
         1. Note: after the March plenary Jim Postma noted that the authority to illustrate as a position of the senate was not there – will sign off as a committee recommendation (it was noted that APEP report of actions will be available in the plenary minutes).
ii. Marianne Jones, Sandra Seidman, and Beverly Young have reviewed the letter.

iii. APEP supports following through on letter (vs. resolution)
   1. Include prior CO response as referenced in letter

13. **Student Readiness Access and Success Committee (Forbes and Young)**
   a. **Charge and Membership**
      i. Committee constituted for a meeting by Early Fall
      ii. Will include external constituencies
         1. CTC
   b. **ELM/EPT Testing**
      i. Kathy Kaiser noted information out of the CCC that they are looking to develop or identify a standardized assessment tool. Many CCCs use the EAP but they are not required to do so. The system office is trying to get movement towards a standardized instrument.
   c. **Campus Impaction**
      i. Admissions Advisory (see notes)
      ii. All CSU eligible students cannot be accommodated
      iii. Systematic changes to campus impaction (to student centered) are forthcoming
   d. **Early Start**
      i. Legislative hearing next week
         1. Reporting requirements are reasonable and anticipated regardless.
         2. Legislative control of the CSU by line-item budgeting
   e. **Expository Reading and Writing Course (ERWC) and Strengthening Mathematics Instruction (SMI) content**
      i. No update
   f. **CCC degree tracking**
      i. Electronic tracking and “early” degree progress

14. **SB1440 (Forbes)**
   a. No update
   b. Sociology (re: EAP statement) – sociology FDRG was told that the CCC would develop a systemwide standard / test (in lieu of using EAP?) as a proficiency assessment tool.
   c. LAO may take a look at SB1440 to assess if there is compliance with SB1440 requirements and (possibly) if there are budget savings
   d. “CSU terms & conditions” document

15. **C-ID**
   a. March meeting notes distributed
   b. Concern over CCC-driving the tie-breaking process on split decisions. This may warrant a formal response.

16. **Ed.D. Programs**
   a. Legislative report
      i. Being produced by Joan Bissell, 2012 will be forwarded
17. **ASCSU Proactive Strategic Planning Actions (re: A2E)**

18. **Board of Trustee Agendas & Actions**
   
   a. No report

19. **New Business**
   
   a. Report to Senate Executive + Chairs (April 13, 2012)
      
      i. **Definite Resolutions:**
         1. Courtesy Recommendation: will be second reading
         2. LBGT: waiver
         3. C-ID split decisions: waiver
      
      ii. **Possible Resolutions:**
         1. Santa Monica (self-support at CCC)
         2. Standardized Assessment (CCC)
         3. New anti-Early Start Legislation (Opposed)
         4. CCC degree tracking and electronic transcripts
         5. Committee home for technology issues within campus senate structures

20. **Adjournment**