



**ACADEMIC SENATE
of
THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY**

401 Golden Shore, Suite 139
562-951-4014

Long Beach, California 90802-4210
FAX 562-951-4911

David O. McNeil, Chair

Executive Committee Minutes for March 25, 2005

10:00-3:00

San Francisco Airport Sheraton

Attendees: Cristy Jensen, Lynne Cook, Marshelle Thobaben, David McNeil, John Tarjan, Hank Reichman, Ted Anagnoson

1. Announcements

- a. Update on Trustee Achtenberg campus visit with David McNeil:
 - i. Trustee Achtenberg is very interested in having the Trustee Educational Policies Committee meet with the Academic Affairs Committee several times next year.
 - ii. The Board probably needs to have a long term strategy on faculty compensation—perhaps a Trustee project similar to facilitating graduation.
- b. Perhaps FGA could get together with the Trustees' Finance and Governmental Relations Committees.
- c. The 21st Century report is being updated. Trustee Achtenberg has a copy, as do legislators.
- d. The CPEC gap continues to widen.
- e. The Chair is hopeful that all conversations with the administration/others that deal with potential policy issues take place with at least one other member of the Executive Committee present.

2. Transfer Initiatives, Developments

- a. CAN is being discontinued.
- b. The CSU will develop our own numbering system.
- c. Faculty must maintain control over curricular matters—Keith Boyum has indicated in an e-mail that faculty will be full partners in the new numbering system.
- d. The IMPAC grant has gone forward.
- e. ICAS Document on Transfer Initiatives—suggestions for improvement of the document were discussed. They will be proposed in conjunction with the ICAS conference call to be made on April 1st.

Course Numbering Issues

- f. Can courses count for both major preparation and GE? Yes, but not necessarily.
- g. Do we need CCC participation in course review as well as development of course descriptors? There are political ramifications.
- h. Is there a calendar of activities to meet the deadline?
- i. How will we compensate faculty to do this?

- j. What will be the time limit for certification of CC courses? Perhaps it will vary by discipline. Remember—we were promised periodic faculty-to-faculty discussion to update LDTP decisions.
 - k. John Tarjan drafted a tentative proposal for a CSU process. He will e-mail to the group with an eye towards sharing with the administration by April 4th.
- 3. FERP resolution—suggestions were generated for improving the document.
- 4. Advising resolution—principles
 - a. Academic Affairs (Ted) will scrutinize the document on advising passed out at BOT comparing with our advising resolutions in terms of both numbers and substantive issues.
 - b. We need to give some advice to the campus chairs about what needs to be done to implement facilitating graduation measures.
 - c. Ted will make a presentation to campus chairs at the next meeting.
- 5. Legislative Activity
 - a. SB 724 testimony at the April 13 hearing:
 - i. David or Marshelle should be there to mention our resolution, introduce discipline faculty. Our support for authorization is contingent upon adequate funding. David will contact the staffer on the bill and request to be on the agenda. David Spence will be informed about our desire to testify.
 - b. SB 5: April 20 hearing
 - i. Suggestions were made for perfecting the document even further, including paring references to attachments, which will still be distributed to staffers. David will coordinate with Bob Cherny regarding distribution and testimony.
 - ii. Cristy will e-mail editorial changes.
- 6. Presidents' Commission on Teacher Education
 - a. We need to clarify what the role of commissions will be. If policy is to be set, ASCSU needs to appoint faculty and be careful that our representatives understand their charge to bring issues back to the Senate for ultimate faculty approval. This is an important principle. We need to make clear to appointees that they are not empowered to speak for the entire faculty on policy issues. The administration needs to understand that there may be insufficient time for full consultation with the Senate on some issues when there is insufficient lead time.
- 7. Remediation
 - a. Mark Thompson has redone the resolution from AA.
 - b. The Trustees' timetable to reduce the remediation to 10% of freshman in 2007 may not be met.
- 8. David Ernst has proposed a new position in the CO devoted entirely to library affairs.
 - a. This was first brought up at a COLD meeting.
 - b. Libraries are under Richard West, not Academic Affairs.
 - c. The ASCSU should be consulted on the position description, search.

- d. We need to follow up on issues like position formation/descriptions and CO academic searches.
 - e. There is some concern about the need for this position—John will discuss with his library director. The Executive Committee should follow up on the need for the position.
9. Research teams on facilitating graduation results/data—we will follow up with Keith Boyum.
 10. Review of the outside employment brochure—no further action needed.
 11. Faculty participation in Joint EdD committees—the appropriate administrators need to be reminded that committees should not meet on policy matters without faculty present.
 12. Letter on assigned time
 - a. The new executive committee, chairs may need to raise the issue of the commitment made when assigned time is accepted.
 - b. We could have a resolution to raise the issue. Even if not passed, it could raise the visibility of the issue.
 13. Perhaps at-large members could function in the role of appointments secretaries.
 14. Spence commendation—in progress.
 15. Accountability Item 10—Cristy, Bob, Lynne participated in a conference call. They will try to reconstruct the discussion and report back.
 16. Senate Chairs agenda
 - a. Ted's presentation on the advising resolution
 - b. We will ask chairs if the Presidents' Commission on Teacher Education recommendations regarding RTP have come up.
 - c. Background checks
 - d. Facilitating graduation research teams?
 17. CSU Lobby Day—Monday, April 11th
 - a. Our roles in ASCSU lobby day and CSU lobby day may be different.
 - b. Cristy, Marshelle and Lynn will attend as representatives from the executive committee.
 18. Potential Budget Priorities Resolution—to be brought forward by FGA for a waiver in May.