



**ACADEMIC SENATE
of
THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY**

401 Golden Shore, Suite 139
562-951-4014

Long Beach, California 90802-4210
FAX 562-951-4911

David O. McNeil, Chair

Executive Committee Minutes for February 10, 11, 2005
Thursday, February 10, 2:30 pm – 5:00 pm: Chair’s Office Munitz Room
Friday, February 11, 8:30 - 10:00 am: Meeting with standing committee chairs, Munitz Room
Friday, February 11, 10:00 – 3:00 pm: Munitz Room

Attendees: Cristy Jensen, Lynne Cook, Marshelle Thobaben, David McNeil, John Tarjan, Robert Cherny

Standing Committee Chairs on Friday: Hank Reichman, Jan Gregory, Marvin Klein (also Thursday afternoon), Rochelle Kellner (Vice Chair AA)

Visitors: Ann Peacock (ASCSU Ex. Dir.), Kathy Kaiser (Faculty Trustee), Keith Boyum (CO AA), David Spence (CO AA)

1. Announcements and Reports:
 - a. Chair's report
 - i. Reviewed what happened at yesterday’s campus chairs’ meeting.
 - ii. The Morrow Bill (SB 5) was addressed.
 1. Jan Gregory was asked to contact Marcus Harvey.
 2. We must be ready to testify with data in hand by March 7th.
 3. There was a report from SFSU about controversy over the student paper refusing an ad for a David Horowitz visit.
 4. Ernest Benjamin and Michael Mauer are possible resources on this issue.
 5. We should coordinate our position with the CSU CO.
 6. A task force will be formed.
 7. Ann will develop a listserve on SB 5.
 - b. Reports by Standing Committee Chairs
 - i. FGA—No interim meeting. The Executive Committee will closely coordinate efforts on SB 5 with FGA.
 - ii. Academic Affairs—Rochelle Kellner, Vice Chair

1. Advising—there is some “push back” from the committee against doing something new that does not make sense, repeats what we have done in the past.
 2. Will get a report on LDTP from Marshall Cates and Keith Boyum.
 3. Remedial programs resolution—still on the table but Mark has not been there to discuss and is the author of the resolution.
 4. Intercollegiate athletics resolution, related issues will be discussed.
 5. Admissions Advisory update.
 - a. The SAT writing test will be discussed.
 - b. The eligibility index, use of different parts of SAT—Allison Jones indicated that writing cannot be considered under current trustee policy.
 - c. CO staff will attend to answer questions.
 6. Extended Education and self-support/MBA programs may be discussed.
- iii. TEKR—Marvin Klein
1. We should consider inviting CO staff to the next campus chairs’ meeting to discuss teacher education—15 minutes of presentation and 15 minutes of Q&A.
 2. Materials should be sent out in advance.
- iv. Faculty Affairs—Jan Gregory
1. Will further discuss the issues surrounding the PATRIOT Act.
 2. Are continuing to work on outside employment.
 3. SB 1212(Romero) deals with grievance procedures and where they should be outlined (Ed code vs. CBA). Jan has discussed with CO HR staff. The relationship of this issue with collective bargaining is unclear..
 4. ADA compliance—access of disabled students to technology is on the agenda.
 5. Are considering a condemnation of alteration of textbook language by publishers in response to local school board complaints.

6. Resolution of PERS issues (retirement) may be forthcoming.

2. Visitor Reports

a. Keith Boyum, Associate Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs

i. Morrow/academic freedom/climate

1. Q: Where is the administration on this issue? A: Keith will bring up the issue with provosts, others. It would be nice to have CSU administration in Sacramento to support the faculty's opposition.
2. David will be on the Academic Council agenda next week
3. We are concerned about administration/faculty being "set up" for confrontations on campuses that will end up being reported in the press.

ii. LDTP

1. Most agreements are done. Staff is putting them in a common format.
 2. Facilitators are trying to collect the campus-specific patterns to supplement the statewide course patterns.
 3. Business is the only group needing a second meeting.
 4. Are working on the ways to communicate these agreements. (ASSIST/CSU Mentor)
 5. Q: Will a second meeting be supported if faculty still feel the need to meet? Some representatives may be having problems "selling" what they have agreed to on their campuses. A: Keith will support another meeting if needed. There is already money to support travel on the campuses.
- iii. Accountability Area 10—Keith has thought about it but no initiatives have begun. A conference call on the issue will be set up to get the ball rolling.
- iv. Bill on accountability—vetoed, the issue is on hold until after Little Hoover finishes its work.
- v. Doctorate authorization bill
1. Jack Scott and the Speaker will carry the bill
 2. This was drafted by CO staff—the language will be made available.

3. Resources need to come first
 4. System vs. campus review of proposed programs needs to be looked at.
 5. Funding is an issue—more generous state support and some combination of state support are likely.
- vi. Technology Leadership Forum held at LAX
1. This came out of a recommendation from ATPC.
 2. It came together in a serendipitous way due to some personal contacts.
 3. Keith felt the presentation was thought-provoking.
 4. Its cost was borne by David Ernst.
 5. Faculty are welcome to attend future forums.
- vii. Advising
1. Why is there pressure to produce a resolution on advising so quickly?
 2. The Academic Council has facilitating graduation follow-up on the agenda.
 3. Are simple answers to complex problems being sought?
 4. We worry about policy being driven by anecdote.
- b. David Spence, Executive Vice Chancellor
- i. Met with business deans
 1. How do we offer executive MBAs and still serve traditional students?
 - a. Faculty who teach in these programs are often teaching overloads.
 - b. The business deans would likely buy out faculty time to teach in self-support programs.
 - c. SFR, FTES calculations are affected.
 - ii. Q: Will we ask for a graduate differential again? A: It continues to be on the agenda. We will continue to ask.
 - iii. The independent doctorate authorization is moving along. It currently uses the phrase “professional doctorate.” At issue is whether to lead with audiology and look at the Ed.D., other degrees in the future. The Ed.D. is still a priority for us. It appears that the UC may be interested in offering joint audiology programs. Chancellor Reed did a good job representing the CSU on this issue. We are going to push for broad professional doctorate authorization to avoid future joint approaches, which have

not been very successful. We would charge UC fee levels for these programs. We may want to have a central faculty advisory body for the initiation of these programs.

- iv. Q: Where is the administration on Morrow (SB 5)? A: They will be present for hearings to oppose. Will have General Counsel look at this. We hope the provosts will be alerted. David McNeil will address it at the next Academic Council. We hope they will alert department chairs to campus policies and procedures.
- v. The ExComm feels it would be helpful to have CO AA staff go to the Liu new Higher Ed Committee members orientation meetings. (Feb 22nd, March 1st)
- vi. The pre-doctoral and loan forgiveness programs need to be strengthened. Only about a third of these individuals actually come back to the system.

3. Old Business:

- a. Executive Vice Chancellor search process—we have been assured that there will be appropriate faculty involvement.
- b. Foreign Language Council
 - i. Transfer requirement—alignment with admissions requirement. We are awaiting a response from the Council.
 - ii. First year courses in language counting for Area C continues to be looked at.
- c. CAN update—we need to have some way of formalizing course descriptors whether CAN is functional or not. Kate Clark has been e-mailed to inquire about the CCCAS position about appointing faculty.
- d. Lower-Division Transfer Patterns (LDTP) Project update—all 30 but 1 discipline (business) are “finished.” More will be meeting next year. Follow-up/revisions in future years are anticipated.
 - i. There may be disciplines which would like an additional meeting even if they have met the requirements for the transfer pattern.
 - ii. Business will meet again on March 12th. If business only has a minimal common curriculum (45) there may be ramifications legislatively.
 - iii. There may be problems on the campuses that have yet to be resolved.

- e. SB 5 – Student Bill of Rights, campus updates on student procedures
 - i. There appear to be increasing incidents of “intentional” confrontations in order to get publicity for the movement
 - ii. We probably need to alert department chairs, campus chairs, and provosts to alert them to the political landscape
 - iii. Campuses were urged to provide data on their procedures—how do we follow up?
 - iv. We should look at Bob’s letter from last year.
 - v. Perhaps we could use examples from a handful of campuses how they handle complaints for use in testimony.
 - vi. We will form a subcommittee to work on this. Some faculty may not understand the potential problems with this bill.
 - f. CMS petition, update from John Tarjan—he will be meeting with David Ernst, will inquire about contracts, justification for pushing ahead on implementation of Student.
 - g. Joint CSU-UC resolution on graduate education
 - i. David will continue to work with George Blumenthal, Chair of the UC Senate.
 - ii. We will work on a short “executive summary” of our graduate education to be developed for broad distribution.
 - iii. We may want to have an informal trustee orientation for new board members or put something on the trustee agenda
 - h. Accountability Area 10—Graduate Education
 - i. Are considering the establishment of a task force.
 - ii. Have discussed a joint provost/senate group.
 - i. Advising resolution
 - i. Are hoping for something by March plenary.
 - ii. Ann will develop a list of URLs for the work ASCSU has done already (10 resolutions).
4. New Business:
- a. Faculty Trustee selection process
 - i. Candidates presentation – Thursday, March 10, 2:30 pm
 - ii. Election of candidate(s) – Friday, March 11, 9:00 am
 - iii. Procedures

1. Order of speaking will be determined by lot on the day of presentations.
 2. The senate will meet in executive session during the selection process (beginning at 9:00am on Friday).
 3. Maximum of 25 minutes presentation plus question and answer.
 4. Candidates will be excused during others' presentation.
 5. All senators are allowed to vote.
- iv. Candidates currently on the ASCSU may wish to recuse themselves during the second round of voting/decision-making regarding the sending forward of more than 2 names.
- b. ICAS (March 2)
 - i. Reorganization of transfer initiatives remains an issue of interest (CAN/ASSIST/IMPAC). We are awaiting the draft reconciliation of the Blumenthal/CCC reorganization proposals.
 - ii. We need to start thinking about chairing next year (our turn in the rotation). We will need an allocation from Academic Affairs (CO) to fulfill the hosting responsibility.
 - iii. Scheduling conflict with SBAC (David and Marshelle unable to attend)—Hank Reichmann and David Hood will speak on behalf of the ASCSU.
 - c. Faculty discipline groups—we need to develop a contact list of groups such as the CSU English Council so that we can facilitate communication. Perhaps we could even have listserves/web page links on our Senate webpage.
 - d. Exec committee attendance at future CSSA meetings
 - i. David will attend at Sacramento this weekend.
 - ii. Lynn will attend at San Marcos in March.
 - iii. David will attend at San José in April.
 - iv. Lynn will attend at Northridge in May.
 - e. CSU Academic Technology Leadership Forum—discussed above. There is some concern about lack of faculty consultation, publicity. The splitting of Gerry Hanley's responsibilities may diminish the academic focus of some initiatives.
 - f. March Plenary

- i. Faculty Trustee presentations on Thursday 2:30-4:30, nominating at 9:00 Friday.
- ii. Faculty Affairs to host the social on Thursday
- iii. Trustee Achtenberg - time certain, 4:30 pm, Thursday, March 10
- iv. Additional invitees—possible update from Patrick Lenz if there are “significant” developments to report on the budget.
- v. Executive Vice Chancellor Spence – time certain, 11:30 am, Thursday, March 10
- vi. George Blumenthal, Academic Senate Chair, UC, Thursday, March 10
- vii. Faculty Trustee Kaiser, Friday, March 11
- viii. CFA President Travis, Friday, March 11
- ix. Academic Senate Liaison Obayashi, Friday March 11
- x. Senators should be advised to plan to remain until 3:00 on Friday.