1. Meeting Called to Order at 3:35 PM – Thursday, September 13th

2. Roll Call
   Senators Present: (Bakersfield) Andreas Gebauer, John Tarjan; (Channel Islands) Simone Aloisio; (Chico) Kathleen Kaiser, Jim Postma; (Dominguez Hills) Kate Fawver, Pat Kalayjian; (East Bay) Denise Fleming, Mitchell Watnik; (Fresno) Jacinta Amaral, Otto Benevides; (Fullerton) Barry Pasternak, Diana Guerin, Stephen Stambough; (Humboldt) Erick Eschker, Marshelle Thobaben; (Long Beach) David Hood, Eileen Klink, Praveen Soni; (Los Angeles) Kevin Baaske, Kimberly King; (Maritime Academy) Steve Browne, Julie Chisolm; (Monterey Bay) Karen Davis, Ken Nishita; (Northridge) Sandra Chong, Michael Hoggan, Steven Stepanek; (Pomona); Saeed Monemi, Jay Schwartz; (Sacramento) Bob Buckley, Tom Krabacher, Christine Miller; (San Bernardino) Buckley Barrett, Jodie Ullman; (San Diego), Bill Eadie, Cézar Ornateuski, Mark Wheeler; (San Francisco) Martin Linder, Darlee Yee-Melichar; (San Jose) Judith Lessow-Hurley, Romey Sabal人民政府, Mark Van Selst; (San Luis Obispo) Manzar Foroohar; (San Marcos) Glen Brodowsky, Ofer Meilich; (Sonoma State) Catherine Nelson, Brian Wilson; (Stanislaus) Betsy Eudey, Steven Filling; (Retired Faculty) Harold Goldwhite; (Chancellor’s Office); Ephraim Smith

Guests Present:
From Chancellor’s Office, Christine Mallon, Charles B. Reed, and Ron Vogel; Representing CSU Online, John D. Welty, President, CSU Fresno (via telephone); AVC Advocacy and State Relations Karen Yelverton- Zamarripa (via telephone); From CFA, Andy Merrifield; Freom CSSA, Jonathan Bolin; Representing ERFA, William Blischke.

3. Agenda – Approved

4. Minutes of May 4 Plenary– Postponed approval to next meeting

5. Announcements:
   a. Social Hosted by Executive Committee (EXCOMM) at 5:15
   b. Congratulations to Steven Stepanek – Convener of the Academic Senate Chairs
   c. Yee-Melichar – SFSU Senator has resigned and Campus Senate Exec hoping to fill the position shortly.
   d. Stepanek – Shane Frelich is ill and we hope to have him back in January. In the meantime, Michael Hoggan will substitute. Invitation to the event on CSU Futures on October 11 at the LAX Marriott. Attendees will include the BOT, Provosts, Presidents, and Legislative Staff. The goal is to look beyond current issues, but looking out 5 – 10 years into the future.
   e. John Travis, CFA liaision is also ill.

6. Introductions:
7.0 Reports:

7.1 Chair’s Report – Diana Guerin, Chair, ASCSU

Following is a summary of Chair Guerin’s Report. The full report may be found at: (http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/Chairs_Reports/documents/September_2012_Chairs_Rept.pdf)

Agenda for the Board of Trustees September Meeting

As I announced to you last Friday, the agenda included an information item to eliminate upper division GE. I would like to thank the members of Extended Executive Committee, Mark Van Selst, and John Tarjan for their work on the memo to EVC Smith on this issue. (You received the memo Monday around lunchtime). As you know, we anticipate that the item will be replaced with one that is more focused on the “high unit” programs. This morning I forwarded the list of programs on all campuses meeting this criterion. Also on the BOT agenda, as I reviewed in an email to you on Monday morning, are items relating to Cal State Online, CTE, budget, and government relations.

WASC Draft 2013 Handbook of Accreditation

Several members of the ASCSU met with Ralph Wolff and Jackie Donath from WASC yesterday. They are seeking faculty input on the revised WASC accreditation criteria and process. Some of the themes of our conversation were:

- the increasing diversity of institutions seeking accreditation
- WASC posting of team reports and actions on its website
- the “unbundling” of faculty roles (institutions with no or limited full-time faculty)
- moving away from focusing only on first-time freshmen because so many students attend multiple institutions
- focusing on completion, transfer, authenticity in distance education and student competencies at the time they graduate
- there is a focus on competency, so that completion rates don’t come at the cost of quality.

WASC is asking for faculty input, as most liaison officers are in administrative roles. Ralph believes the standards are in good shape (comments welcome), but would like feedback on the process. I sent the materials we received to you electronically.

Senator Thobaben thanked the chair on her keeping the body informed of all the work that had been done over the summer. Chair Guerin thanked the executive committee and committee chairs for their work over the summer.

EXCOMM Members’ Reports on Projects and Initiatives
7.1.1 Filling – Resolution about tracking of Resolutions (3073)– Filling has sorted them into a color scheme. Committees have been asked to code each of their resolutions.

7.1.2 Brodowsky – Miller – update on the Senate Newsletter

7.1.3 Nelson – discussed the new Senator Orientation

7.1.4 Postma - referred to the report he shared with the Senators on SB1440.

7.2 Standing Committee Reports

7.2.1 AA – Academic Affairs Chaired by Darlene Yee-Melichar

Chair Yee-Melichar announced that AA would be putting forward 3 resolutions in the plenary. The following is her report:

The Academic Affairs Committee completed a productive meeting earlier today. We discussed the Academic Affairs Committee Annual Report 2011-2012, reviewed the Chancellor’s Office response to Academic Affairs resolutions, and listened to liaison reports in preparation for our work in the coming year. Thanks to Drs. Christine Mallon and Ken O’Donnell who joined us as Chancellor’s Office Liaisons, and Dr. Chris Miller who joined us as the Executive Committee Liaison. Members of the Committee currently serve on eleven system-wide committees and task forces and shared information related to the work of the Academic Affairs Committee.

The Academic Affairs Committee is moving two resolutions in first reading for consideration: 1) ASCSU Response to the CSU Board of Trustees’ Committee on Educational Policy’s Agenda Item 3: Upper-Division General Education and Degree Completion; and 2) ASCSU Support of the System-wide Baccalaureate Nursing Policy. Senator comments and suggestions on these two resolutions will be helpful to us as we refine them as second reading items for next meeting.

Members of the Academic Affairs Committee spent time reviewing ten discussion items: 1) Academic sustainability and journal pricing; 2) Academic issues and UVA President Teresa Sullivan; 3) CSU Affordable Learning Solutions webinars; 4) ASCSU consultation on Draft EO on Nursing; 5) Cal State Online; 6) Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (in collaboration with APEP); 7) Competency-based online program; 8) Rejected for Being in-state @ Cal State; 9) CSU Access to Excellent strategic plan; and 10) system-wide budget strategies.

At its next meeting, AA will refine its two resolutions and examine how the Academic Affairs Committee could weigh in on the CSU Access to Excellence (A2E) strategic plan. Thanks to the efforts of Vice Chair Pat Kalayjian, members of the Academic Affairs Committee will be meeting for dinner at a local restaurant. Please let us know if you would like to join us. This completes the Academic Affairs Committee report.

7.2.2 APEP- Academic Preparation and Education Programs Chaired by Bob Buckley

Chair Buckley Provided the Following Report:
After welcoming new members (Andreas Gebauer, Kimberly King and Ken Nishita) to the Committee, time was spent discussing the status of the recommendation to eliminate the 9-unit upper division GE requirement to be presented to the Trustees.

The Committee’s charge, as described in the ASCSU Bylaws, was discussed briefly. Education Programs has been the traditional charge of the committee. With the change in name a few years ago, the charge was expanded to include any and all issues that would affect students before they began matriculation at a CSU campus.

In the afternoon, the Committee met with CO liaisons Ken O'Donnell (Associate Dean, Academic Programs and Policy), Erich Forbes (Associate Vice Chancellor, Student Academic Support), and Zee Cline (standing in for Beverly Young, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Teacher Education and Public School Programs).

O’Donnell reviewed the status of 1440/STAR and the current challenges, which included the difficulty in getting students to want to participate and the implementation of priority registration for STAR students coming to a CSU campus. The committee noted the need for campus policies that would clearly define what would be required of STAR students to keep their 60-unit guarantee.

While the CSU has approved Transfer Model Curricula in more than 20 disciplines, the work of the community colleges has been mixed in creating the corresponding Associate of Arts and Associate of Science (AA-T and AS-T) Transfer Degrees. Some community colleges have been relatively successful in establishing, many have not. However, APEP members recognize that limited progress is due to the affects that severe budget cuts have had on community colleges throughout the state.

Forbes reviewed some of what was learned from the first summer experiences with “early start”. While aggregate data is not as yet available, there was anecdotal evidence of favorable responses from students. Eric also discussed the proposals to be considered by the Board of Trustees to impose an additional fee on students with the intent to discourage “behavior” that reduces the supply of seats available to other students. The proposal is to institute a 3-tier structure that would add an additional fee for the following 1) continuing students that have completed 150 or more semester units, 2) students that repeat a course, and 3) students that enroll in more than 16 units per semester. Eric noted that those that two (or all three) of these conditions would only be charged the one fee.

Cline discussed progress on California’s comprehensive K-12 curriculum revisions. California has “joined” with other states to establish a “common core” curriculum that has been developed by Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium. While progress has been slow, the intent has been expressed that higher education should play a lead role in determining the level of mastery necessary for student readiness for entry level university course work. These changes will undoubtedly affect the CSU Early Assessment Program (EAP) as well as the structure and content of both the Entry Level Mathematics (ELM) and English Placement Test (EPT).
While the meeting concentrated on presentations and discussions about current issues, a number of areas exist in which the Committee will consider future resolutions.

7.2.3  
**Faculty Affairs Committee – Chaired By Kevin Baaske**

Chair Baaske provided the following report:

The Faculty Affairs Committee had a very productive meeting. FA received a report from Leo Van Cleve, its Chancellor’s Office Liaison, regarding forthcoming Executive Orders regarding International Students, International Agreements, and Study Abroad Programs. The committee also met with Margie Merryfield, of the Human Resources Division of the Chancellor’s Office. Dr. Merryfield shared with the committee that there will be training for New Chairs on October 26th on the campus of CSU Long Beach; that HR is working on developing an FAQ regarding the pension reforms recently signed by the Governor—the website will also permit faculty to ask questions; that HR is developing a new “Climate Survey” aided by Glen Brodowsky and, as of today, Jodie Ullman; and finally, a report on “Faculty Recruitment and Retention: Trends and Perspectives” which provides details across the system and by campus regarding numbers of new hires over the years, number of resignations and retirements, average new pay by discipline, etc. FA also met with John Swarbrick, Associate Vice Chancellor for Labor Relations who entertained our questions about the agreement between the CFA and CSU.

FA systematically reviewed the Chancellor’s Office responses to FA resolutions passed last May, decided which topics carried over from last year that we desire to continue to pursue, considered three first reading resolutions, but will be presenting to this body only a proposed bylaws change.

7.2.4  
**Fiscal and Government Affairs, Chaired by Praveen Soni**

Chair Soni provided the following report:

FGA met with Karen Yelverton-Zamarippa via teleconference. She shared information about the legislative activities in Sacramento including the bills that have passed both houses are now on the Governor’s desk. He has until September 30 to sign or veto them. The Governor has not yet made a decision on bills that the ASCSU has taken a stand on or the ASCSU was watching. Dr. Tom Krabacher, the ASCSU Legislative Specialist, also provided a report to the FGA Committee on legislative bills of concern to the ASCSU and bills on which the ASCSU had taken a position last year. The following table provides a summary of the final disposition of Senate and Assembly bills that were of interest to the ASCSU during 2011-2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>ASCSU action</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB 645</td>
<td>Ethnic studies grad requirement</td>
<td>Opposed</td>
<td>Died in committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Davis)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 970</td>
<td>System-wide Fees</td>
<td>Opposed</td>
<td>Sent to governor, awaiting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fong)</td>
<td></td>
<td>AS-3066-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1561</td>
<td>Presidential compensation</td>
<td>Opposed</td>
<td>Died in committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hernandez)</td>
<td></td>
<td>AS-3055-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Action Taken</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 1564 (Lara)</td>
<td>Mandatory abuse reporting</td>
<td>Intent to oppose</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 2132 (Lara)</td>
<td>Post-secondary tenure criteria</td>
<td>Did not oppose but discussed leg. staff</td>
<td>Sent to governor, awaiting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 2471 (Lara)</td>
<td>eTextbook requirements</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>Died – held under submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 952 (Alquist)</td>
<td>Presidential/executive compensation</td>
<td>Opposed AS-3055-12</td>
<td>Died in committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 967 (Yee)</td>
<td>Presidential compensation</td>
<td>Opposed AS-3055-12</td>
<td>Died in committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 721 (Lowenthal)</td>
<td>Preservation of CPEC data</td>
<td>No action, but of interest</td>
<td>Sent to governor, awaiting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 1052 (Steinberg)</td>
<td>Open-source e-texts, development of</td>
<td>Took no position, but consulted with author</td>
<td>Sent to governor, awaiting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 1053 (Steinberg)</td>
<td>Open-source e-texts, clearing house for</td>
<td>Took no position, but consulted with author</td>
<td>Sent to governor, awaiting decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Governor has until September 30th to sign or veto those bills that have passed out of both houses. As of 9/11/12, he has taken no action on any of the bills sent to him that appear on the list above.

Yelverton-Zamarippa also talked about the election climate in California, and stated the need to meet with the candidates and have a dialogue with them even before they are elected. FGA will be planning a strategy in the fall to try and meet the candidates or the newly elected legislators since the election is less than a couple of months ago.

On a direct question, Karen clarified that government employees cannot politically campaign on state time, but can educate and provide factual information, which is what she is doing for Proposition 30. On a request from the FGA Committee, Karen has forwarded educational materials related to Proposition 30 to the chair of the FGA Committee.

FGA also met with Robert Turnage by teleconference and was apprised about the budget conditions. Everyone is waiting for the verdict of the voters on Proposition 30, which if not approved will result in a trigger budget cut of $250m.

FGA members also asked Robert about one of the budget reduction strategies on the September 2012 Board of Trustees agenda – cost sharing of health care costs – which is slated to be implemented regardless of the approval of Proposition 30. Robert stated that it is a long-term issue and needs to be dealt with since CSU health care costs have increased from about 3.5% of the operating budget to about 9% of the budget in the last decade. When implemented, it would save the CSU $70m. The cost increase on different categories of employees, and individual employees, was not known at this time.
The FGA Committee also shared information with the Executive Committee Liaison, Dr. Steve Filling.

Finally, the FGA Committee worked collaboratively on three resolutions for the plenary meeting.

The first resolution deals with opposition to Proposition 32 regarding political contributions by payroll deductions and will be a first reading/waiver item on the ASCSU plenary agenda since Proposition 32 will be voted upon on November 6 and the ASCSU does not meet until after that date.

The second resolution deals with contingency plans to be adopted by the CSU Board of Trustees in response to a possible $250m trigger budget cut to be enacted in case Proposition 30 is not approved. This resolution will also be a first reading/waiver item since the BOT will be voting on the budget reduction strategies next week.

The third resolution supports the CSU Board of Trustees 2013-14 proposed support budget. The BOT will be voting upon this proposal in November after the ASCSU November plenary.

7.2.5 CSO Cal State Online (Jodie Ullman/Otto Benevides)

Quality online education is here to stay and will change the way we teach. CSO is a process, but not a straight path. Ullman reported that, in general, the faculty is listened to on the board. Senator Ullman reported that CSO has signed a contract with Pearson to be the vendor. They are well equipped to handle financial aid. They are a technology company, and we will not be forced to use their products. Their pricing is clear cut and competitive. Proposed tuition structure would be as follows: Tuition - $500 per unit, Re-connect $400 per unit.

The next step is to work on the model. The committee is discussing ways of fitting CSO into the overall structure of the CSU. However, there will be a need for changes in Title V. To date $940,000 has been budgeted.

Ullman also reported some communications problems surrounding CSO. The board is taking action to rectify this. The communications committee, chaired by Karen Haynes of San Marcos is taking the lead.

The Executive Director of CSO is seeking participant programs.

We have made it clear to campus senate chairs admonishing them that they need to attend to these issues.

Meeting Recessed at 5PM on September 13.
Followed by the Senate Social, Hosted by EXCOMM which began at 5:15

September 14, 2012 Meeting Reconvened and Called to Order 8:04

Agenda amended to restore the Faculty Trustee report to the Agenda without objection.
Senator Thobaben introduced Erick Eschker, the new Senator from Humboldt State.

7.3 GEAC  General Education Advisory Committee, Senator Mark Van Selst

Chair Van Selst provided the following report:

In addition to the regular pre-plenary meetings there will also be a phone meeting in October and May.

GEAC addressed action to resolve differences in admission requirements as described in different documents; many of these differences involve General Education and thus constitute the item appropriate for GEAC.

Reporting out on the COMPASS project, there is a renewed focus on assessment and evaluation for COMPASS sponsored projects; there is intention to pursue a pilot efficiencies program with the CCC regarding a more efficient completion of GE.

GEAC discussed the evidence we need regarding evaluation of the STATWAY pilot project.

GEAC is evaluating the use of C-ID descriptors to potential allow GE certification.

GEAC has a task force to examine online courses in “oral communication” and “critical thinking” categories.

GEAC discussed the unlikely role of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) re: GE certification and the nature of GE assignment for such courses based on the audience for the course.

GEAC spent an extensive amount of time addressing the proposed Board of Trustees item on Upper Division GE and its substitute commitment to 120 units. A letter from the GEAC chair to Chancellor Reed addressing process and content of the BoT item will be sent this evening.

7.4 Time Certain President Welty, Fresno State, Chair, Cal State Online Board

President Welty provided a brief status report elaborating on some of the topics discussed in the earlier report by Senators Ullman and Benenvides.

President Welty discussed the Vendor Selection Process through which Pearson e-College was chosen from among 12 Proposals. The selection of Pearson e-college was announced in August.

President Welty also discussed the fee structure for Undergraduate and Graduate Programs. The fee structure for UG programs will be $500/unit and $400 limit for the Re-Connect Program. Graduate programs will be priced between $500-$700 / unit.

The President emphasized that the actual programs are to be developed by faculty on our campuses. The goal is a February 2013 launch date. Invitation and solicitation for programs
have already gone out. CSO has already been in discussion with local campuses about which existing programs can be used.

CSO is on the September BOT agenda. The BOT will need to consider amending Title V to include CSO. Also, they will be asked to for an amendment that will allow the Chancellor to set the fee.

The following documents the Question and Answer session that followed.

Q: What will CSO attempt to do in future to assure equitable access to quality library services – journals and e-book collections?
A: There will be access to MERLOT – Pearson is already providing some electronic content.

Q: Will there be a professional fee for Business programs?
A: No – because it is through extension and is not funded through the state.

Q: Concerning online Blackboard Learn. There is a new issue of disabled access. How can we provide services to them online?
A: He will check on this.

Q: What are the arrangements about Intellectual Property?
A: Campus intellectual property policies will apply.

Q: How will graduation rates be calculated in CSO?
A: Data will be collected and available. Extended Ed programs are not included in campus graduation rate calculations.

Q: What training will be provided to faculty interested in moving online? What funding?
A: There will be help for faculty with existing courses to get them online. The general training for faculty interested in doing this has not been discussed.

Q: WASC has detailed criteria about program support. How do we get centralized language to help us meet these guidelines?
A: Support services will be provided through Pearson. Marketing, recruiting, and help desk services will be included.

Q: What marketing plans will be in place for competing with for-profit and free online programs?
A: Sub-contracted to a firm called DSM. We have standards established and will monitor performance.

Q: How will faculty be paid? Is it governed by the contract?
A: Those arrangements will be worked out on campuses under existing salary structures.

7.5 Faculty Trustee Report: Trustee Bernadette Cheyne

Faculty Trustee Bernadette Cheyne provided a report to the plenary. Her report included an update of the Chancellor’s search committee. Six first round interviews conducted on track for final round on October 3. She also discussed her role in the ASCSU Executive Committee retreat and the work she has done to develop contingency strategies for the CSU should Prop 30 fail and trigger cuts result. She particularly pointed out that salary cuts and increasing health care contributions are not appropriate strategies at this time. Cheyne also discussed the agenda for the upcoming Board of Trustees meeting on September 18-19. Items that she pointed out that are of particular interest to the ASCSU included: The Committee on Collective Bargaining; The Committee on Finance that is to address the contingency planning for Prop 30; The Committee on Educational Policy and decisions concerning Upper Division General Education; and the joint meeting of the Committees of Finance and Educational Policy concerning Recommended Addition to Title 5, California Code of Regulations, to Describe New Delivery of Educational Services through Cal State Online. Trustee Cheyne also reported on her upcoming visits to Sonoma State University and Cal State San Marcos campuses. She commended the work of CSU Northridge on the Future of the CSU.

7.6 CSSA – Jonathan Bolin, CSULB

Mr. Bolin reported on the CSSA’s activities over the past summer. CSSA offered training for new officers and held a student leadership conference at CSULB that was attended by 250 student leaders.

CSSA advocated for Assembly Bill 970 that has passed through the legislature and is currently on the Governor’s desk. The bill would require 6 months of discussion period with students before BOT could raise fees.

Mr. Bolin enumerated CSSA’s priorities for the academic year including the following:

- Statewide voter registration
- Made in the CSU campaign for highlighting Alumni.
- Advocating for Cal Grants and other financial aid.
- Promoting CSSA awareness on campuses.
- March in March – with UC and CCC
- Lobbying -CHES in April in Sacramento
- CSSA has a resolution asking ASCSU to encourage faculty to help promote voter registration and encourage students to vote on Election Day.

7.7 Chancellor’s Report: Chancellor Charles B. Reed

The Chancellor began his report by providing highlights of the summer and fall 2012.

1. For the first time in a long time we have all but one very small labor unit with whom we have 3-year agreements. The only unit without a contract includes 12 people at CSULA who teach TESOL.
2. The Governor signed pension reform legislation. There was little involvement of people affected by these reforms. This is potentially devastating to the CSU. A cap has been placed on all employees in CALPERS at $110,000 per year. You cannot transfer out of state retirement into California. Local government agencies can set up their own defined contribution plans, but monies must come out of operating budget, as would healthcare benefits for retirees.

3. The Chancellor just got back from Washington DC. California’s Congressional delegation strongly advocates for CSU, which is the largest recipient of Pell Grants in the country. Congress will sequester the budget on Jan 3, and cut everything across the board EXCEPT Pell for this year. While this is good, there are other potential dangers in the sequester process.

4. Governor’s negotiations with SEIU – negotiated a compensation reduction that prohibits the hiring of any part time employees in state agencies. About 2000 of our students at Sacramento State who would have worked in these agencies as part of their work-study will have been laid off.

5. Attainment. This is a huge issue in the Congress and White House and is aimed at college graduation rates. Several CSU initiatives have been included in the Attainment report as examples.

6. CSO – Reed provided his update and hopes to have a launch in January. The combination of online and on-campus will be something our students can take advantage of. The Reconnect program for students who have completed 90 units will be an initial target.

7. Next week at BOT meeting Reed is making a series of contingent recommendations. We have to have a contingency plan if the tax initiative passes AND one if it fails. We have to give our students and parents as much notice as possible. We had a class action lawsuit because we raised tuition in November about 4 years ago because we didn’t give enough notification. We have a class action liability of about $80 million. The contingency is even more complicated. If the initiative passes, there will be an additional $8 billion in the general fund. Will they really increase our budget in 2013-2014? If it passes, the BOT will rollback the 9.6% tuition increase of last November to the tune of $132 million that will come out of our operating budget. We have been “promised” that it will be restored ($125 million). If the tax initiative passes– we have already put in place and collected it for this year, we will have to rebate for every student (or credit them in the second semester). The big issue is we will have to re-calculate all the financial aid go get the money back. It will be a mess. If it FAILS, the contingency is to implement a modest tuition increase of 5% as part of a policy of “shared responsibility.” The trigger will cut the CSU $250 million midyear. We took out the reduction of salary in this contingency, but we still had to cut $132 million. The contingency will focus instead on issues such as super seniors – those with over 150 units. There will be a surcharge levied on super seniors. We have 40,000 seats taken up by course repeaters. There will be a fee of $100 placed on course repeats. There will be a $100 per credit hour above and beyond 16 units per semester. We are not going to have a set-aside out of the 5% tuition hike ($150).

The following is an abbreviated transcription of the Q & A session that followed the Chancellor’s Report

Q: Is there any mechanism to reverse the trigger if there is a restoration of funds?

A: The answer is yes.
Q: As per the LAO report – Higher Education Funding – UC is expecting a 5% increase, as is CCC. Why are we not getting it?

A: UC got $60 million, we got $40 million that is going to CALPERS, UC is in a different pension system. 12-13% of our operating budget is accounted for by healthcare.

Q: Will there be any flexibility considering Upper Division GE?

A: BOT has asked Chancellor where he can find efficiencies. Because he serves on the Attainment Board, he sees what’s happening elsewhere. If the standards for these other schools are 120 units, why do our students need more?

Comment – One of our Senators presented an impassioned plea to the Chancellor about the need for flexibility given the type of students who are served by the CSU.

Q: Where do we stand with borrowing capacity in capital marketing?

A: We have maintained our AA A+ rating with Moody’s and Standard and Poors. We prepared for an August sale. Money has never been cheaper, but our rating is better than the state of California. CSU saved $47 million for our campuses.

Q: What will happen to financial aid for Super Seniors?

A: They were getting SUGs. This has been stopped.

Q: What is the Status of Grade Forgiveness Executive Order?

A: The policy is very generous, but has been revisited as recently as 2 years ago. It is worth reconsidering again.

7.8 ERFA – Emeritus and Retire Faculty Association (Report by William Blischke)

- Professor Blischke directed the Senate to the website concerning local CSU ERFA and campus chapters. He described the mission of ERFA in terms of monitoring legislative action in Sacramento and a commitment to health benefits, in particular long-term care.

- Professor Blischke announced a CalPERS Town Hall meeting on August 16th, “The Pension Crisis: Fact or Fiction and Sustainable Pension Principles”

- ERFA has sent letter to CSU concerning the Chancellor Search Committee process.

- Executive Committee of ERFA is supporting Proposition 30 and opposing Proposition 32. They have rehired Don Cameron.

- State Council meetings will be held on October 27 2012 at CSU Dominguez Hills, and April 20 2013 at Cal Poly Pomona. The Fall 2013 meeting will be held at San Jose State.

- Senator Goldwhite has introduced a resolution regarding benefits and rights of emeriti faculty to the Faculty Affairs Committee.
Merrifield discussed the new contract that received strong support and ratification over the summer.

In term of implementation, CSU management will be holding training and workshops with faculty affairs on campuses emphasizing that new things are not implemented at the expense of things ongoing.

Side letter on workload – there will be a joint CFA CSU task force to address this.

CFA is now working on the Yes on 30 No on 32 campaigns. Merrifield thanked ERFA for their effort with regards to these propositions.

Merrifield thanked the ASCSU for their efforts with regards to Upper Division General Education (UDGE) and the 120-unit issue on the upcoming BOT agenda.

Merrifield discussed the issue of healthcare costs and the efforts to avoid fixing the budget by burdening employees with higher healthcare costs.

There was a question about the Assembly Bill introduced to include faculty service in RTP decisions. CFA endorsed, but did not sponsor or introduce this bill. ASCSU did not take a position. There is no mandate for any campuses to do this.

Legislative Update Karen Yelverton-Zamarripa – (via telephone)

Update on Prop 30. While we can take an aggressive stand on providing information about Prop 30, we cannot campaign on campus – there is a fine line to walk. We cannot advocate “Vote Yes on Prop 30” using state resources.

Yelverton-Zamarripa met last week with CSUEU and CSSA to discuss campus-level strategies for coordination of efforts. The students are focusing on voter registration. Also discussed other public relations efforts for messaging, including social media efforts.

Unofficial polling data shows Prop 30 Business Roundtable 54.4% support 39/9% opposed on September 13.

Update on the Substitute Motion to the BOT (Christine Mallon)

Mallon presented the substitute motion. She acknowledged the collaborative work done in recent days to craft this alternative proposal. The goal was to take focus off of UDGE and re-direct it to 120/180 semester/quarter unit degrees to enable full time students to complete degrees in 8 semesters with 15 units per semester. There are exceptions to this (e.g. 5-year programs in architecture). This included a meeting between EXCOMM, EVC Smith, and President Qayoumi of SJSU. It is a two-step process, but aims for Fall 2013 to bring programs currently at 121-129 units, down to 120 units.

Mallon discussed the need for clearer instructions in catalogs, including number of units needed for GE, campus specific requirements, American Institutions. The advising
roadmaps should be specific about numbers of units for the degree in total making it easy to compare programs across campuses.

### 8.0 ACTION ITEMS AND RESOLUTIONS

#### 8.1 Summer Executive Committee Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.1.1</td>
<td>Support of Proposition 30 on the November 2012 Ballot: Temporary Taxes to Fund Education. Guaranteed Local Public Safety Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>ASCSU Response to the CSU Board of Trustees’ Committee on Educational Policy’s Agenda Item 3: Upper-Division General Education and Degree Completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>ASCSU Support for the Substitution of the CSU Board of Trustees’ Committee on Educational Policy’s Agenda Item 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Opposition to Proposition 32 Regarding Political Contributions by Payroll Deductions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Budget Reduction Strategies – Contingency Plan for $250 Million Trigger Budget Cut to the California State University (CSU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>Support for an Executive Order on the California State University (CSU) System-wide Baccalaureate Nursing Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>Selection of Committee Chairs – Proposed Change to the ASCSU Bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>California State University Board of Trustees 2013-14 Proposed Support Budget</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 9.0 Meeting Adjourned at 3PM