Present: Kevin Baaske, Karen Davis, Manzar Foroohar, David Hood, Judith Lessow-Hurley, Saeed Monemi, Romey Sabalius, Marshelle Thobaben, Jodie Ullman

Guests: Catherine Nelson, Leo Van Cleve

1. Approval of Agenda
   Approved unanimously

2. Approval of Minutes – September 2012
   Approved unanimously

3. Member Announcements
   No announcements

4. Chair’s Report

   4.1 Kevin reported that the Extended Executive committee had a brief discussion about the new paperless approach to Senate and the decision was made to continue with this process for the next plenary.

   4.2 The Extended Executive Committee has asked that we review all of our resolutions from last year and color code them; Red – current agenda item, Yellow – action is currently being taken, and Green - we are satisfied with CO response. Each committee is asked to do its’ own follow-up. Kevin will divide our resolutions among members of FA to do this color coding.

There was discussion about this process. Some felt that we were doing SenEx work; others felt that the committees were at a disadvantage as committees don’t always know the status of resolutions as well as the SenEx does. Other FA members expressed the opinion that the committees should be the evaluators as we are the experts in our own resolutions. Ultimately we had some consensus around trying this color-coded system.
4.3 There were also some general Drop Box questions.

5. Reports/Guests/Time Certains TBA

5.1 Catherine Nelson, Executive Committee Liaison

5.11 Diana asked that Senators query their faculties as to whether or not campus faculty members received the ASCSU Senate Newsletter.

5.12 Reviewers are needed for TMCs. See the email that was sent. Not many CSUs have responded.

5.13 ASCSU – Should we write resolution commending Chancellor Reed? There was a lot of discussion about this and no consensus.

5.2 Leo Van Cleve, Chancellor’s Office Liaison

5.21 Technical issues related to the policies under consideration by the Bot (e.g. fee refunds) were discussed at last the Board meeting. There are financial aid implications with regard to a fee rollback. However the biggest issues are third tier tuition, excess unit fees, course repetition fees. All are on the agenda for BoT so there is work being done to address the technical issues associated with these proposed policies. Bernadette (through Kevin) said these proposals were put on hold while waiting for technical feedback. Kevin asked Leo if the CO was seeking input from Campuses given that these issues were on the BoT agenda Leo will follow-up but stated that because items were on the BoT agenda didn’t mean that they necessarily pass.

5.22 International policy – The CO is looking at how International program issues are handled throughout the system. ASCSU Academic Affairs committee will look at these issues.

6. Possible New Resolutions

6.1 Selection of Committee Chairs – Proposed Change to the ASCSU Bylaws
Marshelle expressed concern that this issue was never discussed with the Full Senate and she is concerned that this moved to a second read item w/o really knowing the sentiment of the Senate. She worried that we were at a disadvantage because of this. Manzar expressed similar concern and stated that this is especially problematic in that this resolution will impact the full Senate. She requested that this be discussed with SenExec and return to the Senate as a First Read item. Jodie supports sending it back to the full Senate as a First Read item. Marshelle asked that perhaps Kevin could send it to other committees. Kevin will bring this issue back to SenExec to examine how to handle this in parliamentary procedure.
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6.2 Opposition to HR-35
There was much discussion of this proposed policy. We discussed the EU definition of Anti-Semitism (this definition is from a working group within the EU, not the full EU). Manzar was strongly in favor of this resolution and took issue with the use of the EU definition as she stated that it is not the correct definition from the EU. Others including Jodie and Judith were strongly opposed to the resolution. Kevin said it is difficult to go on the record as opposed to HR 35 given the resolve clauses (the controversy in HR 35 lies in the “urged that we move the orders of the day.

Kevin asked about the status of our Senate involvement of Anti-Semitism committee? Manzar stated that the Anti-Semitism task force did not meet last year and has not met this year. David urged that we move the orders of the day.

We voted on moving this forward. Yes = 1, No = 4, everyone else abstained. This has been removed from the FA agenda. Manzar would still like to bring this resolution forward. Kevin stated that any Senator can bring anything forward. Marshelle stated that we can say that we support Academic Freedom. Manzar would like the Senate to see text of her resolution. She will email it to the Senators.

6.3 Resolution on minimum rights and privileges for Emeriti.
There was discussion on this. Saeed suggested we first review policies for Emeriti on campuses. Romey suggested that parking probably should not actually be number one privileges - If we begin that way it seems to ridicule it. There were questions as to why surviving spouses received privileges. Suggested that we need to see what we did in May before we go forward.

6.4 Academic Reorganizations on Campuses
This issue rose from issues at SJSU with regard to creation of the College of Extended Education. There are concerns that we should not act on this as this is a campus issue. This has been removed from our agenda

7.0 CSO Update – Communications Committee
We decided to move CSO to a regular report. Jodie discussed the most recent CSO communications committee meeting. FAQs from CSO will be distributed soon. It seems that real efforts are being made to improve campus communication. There is concern about Title 5 changes sent to BoT prior to review by the CSO Board.

Minutes respectfully submitted by Jodie Ullman