Extended Executive Committee Meeting Minutes  
Friday, February 12, 2016  
8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Zoom Meeting

1. Call to Order  
With a quorum being present, the meeting was called to order.

2. Attendance  
a. Extended Exec time certain 8:30-9:45  
i. The following ASCSU ExCom members were in attendance: Steven Filling (Chair), Christine Miller (Vice Chair), Robert Keith Collins (Secretary), Praveen Soni (At-Large), Darlene Yee-Melichar (At-Large),  
ii. The following ASCSU Standing Committee Chairs were in attendance: Manzar Foroohar (Chair, FA), Denise Fleming (APEP), Tom Krabacher (FGA), Catherine Nelson (Academic Affairs), Deborah Roberts (Vice-Chair, FA).  
iii. The following Senators were Excused/Absent: Steven Stepanek (Faculty Trustee).  

b. Leo Van Cleve time certain 10:00  
c. Liaison time 12:00 - 12:30

3. Approval of Agenda  
The Agenda was approved.

4. Approval of Minutes  
20 Jan F2F meeting  
The Minutes were approved as amended.

5. Announcements  
a. At-Large Senator Yee-Melichar reported that the ITL Summer Institute planning committee would meet today at 3:30 p.m. She also asked Standing Committee Chairs to inform committee members of the call for contributions (e.g., book reviews, op-eds, senator spotlights, etc.) to the ASCSU Faculty-to-Faculty Newsletter.  
b. Secretary Collins moved that new item J be added to Old Business for discussion of the Bullying Prevention Resolution. The motion carried.
6. Reports

Chair

Chair Filling reported that Professor Kate Stevenson has agreed to co-chair the Quantitative Reasoning Task Force. Responses have been received and 14 out of 18 would attend the first meeting. The rest would dial in. Legislative representatives would also attend. Professor Stevenson offered to present on STATWAY to inform the Task Force of CSU faculty concerns. Professor Stevenson, Director Ken O’Donnell, and Chair Filling met to engage in agenda and goal setting for the task force. The task force would also hear from Monica H. Lin, PhD, Associate Director of Undergraduate Admissions and Articulation on U.C. concerns to establish a baseline for discussion. Chair Filling also reported that the first meeting of the Task Force would occur on February 22, 2016, followed by a March 24, 2016 meeting scheduled in Long Beach. Input from k-12 would be sought and industry would be consulted to understanding what employers need. The task force would also engage in discussion of the criteria for computer science possibly substituting mathematics in curricula. The task force would report tot eh ASCSU on an action plan for moving forward by mid-May. The goal of this report is to explain the curriculum and review why these discussion should be faculty driven. Chair Filling further reported that the CSU Board of Trustees (BoT) would meet to discuss expediting time to degree and the possibility of offering students bonuses for completing degrees in four years of less. IPEDs would also be discussed. Legislators are now focused on four-year completion statistics, SUGs, and interstate articulation agreements. Legislation could return in May. The BoT would also discuss the Sustainable Financial Model Task Force Report, matrices for the completion of capital projects, and the super-senior phenomenon. Executive Vice Chancellor and Chief Financial Officer Steve Relyea had been invited to the March plenary to give an update on the Sustainable Financial Model Task Force Report. Vice Chancellor Lori Lamb had been extended an invitation to give an update on the background check policy and would not be able to attend. VC Lamb gave an update at the recent CSU Senate Chairs meeting. Chair Filling attended a one-day event at the National Governor’s Association meeting in San Diego on “Common Core and Issues”. Many of the presentation centered on marketing, how functional online systems were, the need for assessment of knowledge acquisition, and moving toward a definition of what students need to know and college readiness. The campus senate chairs met yesterday and discussed shared governance. Campus senate chairs met yesterday and had an active discussion on shared governance. One campus would receive attention to ensure the success of the next president. It is important to continue to monitor faculty concerns system-wide. The strike, what the ASCSU might do, and the need for greater tenure density, and Open Education Resource Grants were also discussed. Revised guideline for the Open Ed Resources RFP will be sent out to guide campus senates through the application process. VC Lori Lamb reported that 800 new faculty were hired for 2015-16. Chair Filling pointed out that these hires result in a net increase of 196 new faculty hires. It is important to find ways to talk about the need for
increasing tenure density across the system on this issue. Feedback on resolutions would be discussed at a future meeting. Chancellor White would be unable to meet with ExCom due to travel. Senators Wheeler and Krabacher have been consulted on pending legislations and information will be brought forward. The Glazer draft legislation may be among those presented. Legislator consulted the California State Student Association (CSSA) on possible adjustments. The new Bonilla legislation focuses on Access Fees. This legislation would also prohibit faculty from requiring duplicative course materials. It would be important to monitor this legislation for possible academic freedom implications. The following concerns and questions were raised:

a. It is important for the CSSA to communicate with the ASCSU before speaking with legislators. How might we work more cooperatively in the future?

b. Meredith will be the interim director of CSSA.

c. Senator Krabacher will attend a meeting next week to discuss the Bonilla Bill.

d. Can you offer more information on the CO’s plan to increase tenure density?

e. It looks like the Sustainable Financial Model Task Force Report seems to be moving forward. How might this report impact ASCSU and CSSA efforts to advocate for more money from the state?

f. VC Lori Lamb has heard the issues concerning background checks and their potential impact on faculty. Campuses are being consulted on their experience and conversations continue. An update will be provided to the ASCSU. Accurate Background is being used as a resource. Service Learning opportunities are not covered under the policy. Student organizations may experience more issues than faculty with the current background check policy. Level 1 data is being protected (i.e., name and SSI connections) in current practices. It is important to understand and explore why the problems with the background check policy and procedures conveyed by the ASCSU to VC Lori Lamb are not being found.

g. Student exemptions from background checks have been made; however, no changes have been made for faculty. The University of Illinois, Urbana Champagne offers examples of how background checks can impact faculty. It is important to examine how their senators handled background checks concerns and policies.

Vice Chair
Vice Chair Miller will offer a report at a future meeting.

Liason reports from committees [time certain 1:00]

Academic Affairs (AA)
Chair Nelson reported that the committee would continue discussing Quantitative Reasoning, college readiness, and meet with Director O’Donnell. Academic Affairs will also meet with Sheila Thomas, Dean of Extended Education. The committee will also continue discussing resolutions to be possibly presented in First Reading on Honorary Degrees and tracking associate degrees for transfer from CCC. Discipline councils would also be discussed and the need for a resolution considered. The Academic Affairs committee will also discuss graduation rates and IPED’s 8.4 units in residence requirement under AB 386 and where or not it is possible for a student to complete units in residence online. The following concerns and questions were raised:

a. It is important for AA to pay attention to college readiness.

**Academic Preparation and Education Programs (AEP)**
Chair Fleming reported that the committee would examine the need for a math analogue. Committee members will continue discussing the teacher shortage in California. Resolutions in support of teacher preparations programs would be brought forward. Discussion will center on the importance of why this resolution be grounded in current concerns. EO 1036 and college campus implementation strategies would also be discussed as part of the agenda. Chair Fleming further reported that APEP would receive an update from Bechtel and the Math and English councils. The need for a resolution on a 4th year of high school math will also be discussed and revised. Strategies for creating a profile of the changing CSU student and pushing for a junior year quantitative reading requirement would both continue to be explored. The following concerns and questions were raised:

a. On the 4th year of high school math resolution, is it not redundant to discuss the 4th year of high school math as occurring in the senior year?

**Faculty Affairs (FA)**
Chair Foroohar reported that there would not be any First or Second Reading resolutions for March plenary. Many reports would be provided to the committee. The background check working group will give a report and a campus climate update will be provided. An update on work being done by the CSU administration would also be provided to the committee. The committee would examine the responses to January Resolutions and the $2.5 million allocation for Research, Scholarship, and Creative Activity (RSCA). Central in the latter, would be a discussion of whether or not this amount would become the norm allocation for RSCA? Shared governance discussion would also continue to be explored for a possible resolution. The Faculty Affairs committee would also discuss the Academic Freedom Task Force. Central in this discussion is consideration of where we stand and whether EXCOM can offer input to take back to the committee. Chair Foroohar further reported that the Faculty Affairs committee would also discuss the CSU IP policy. Central in this discussion would also be an examination of where the ASCSU stands on this issue and how the committee would like to move forward? The following concerns and questions were raised:
a. It is important to remind FA that the CFA will be consulted on Academic Freedom.
b. Is it possible to ask the CO to offer a mission like statement on Academic Freedom?

**Fiscal and Government Affairs (FGA)**
Chair Krabacher reported that the FGA committee would engage in discussion of processes behind the following: launch of in-district advocacy, April 12, 2016, advocacy day in Sacramento, and how the CSU strike may complicate matters. The committee would also explore the feasibility of the advocacy dates, how to ensure that the strike does not dominate advocacy conversations, and the need to rush to get a bill priority list to accompany a March resolution. Chair Krabacher also reported that Monday is the deadline for new legislation to be introduced, ten days are available to get information out to the senators, and bills would come in next week that would require a rush to evaluate legislation and come up with ASCSU positions. Senators Wheeler, Brown, and Krabacher have already found 15-16 bills worth considering. There may be more as time goes on. Potential resolutions for the march plenary would also be discussed. Chair Krabacher further reported that Senator Schutte would examine the growing emphasis within the CSU on online education.

The following concerns and questions were raised:

a. It is important for the committee chairs to watch for Senator Krabacher’s contribution to the faculty-to-faculty newsletter.
b. It is important to pay attention to the CO resolution responses.
c. It is important to pay attention to how the potential strike might impact shared governance.

7. **Reports**
The Extended Executive Committee Adjourned at 9:45a.m.

Respectfully submitted by Robert Keith Collins, ASCSU Secretary