Executive Committee
MINUTES
Monday 4/1/13 9:00 a.m.
Conference Call

Present: Jim, Catherine, Diana, Steven, Chris, Glen

1. Approval of Agenda – approved as amended
2. Announcements
   2.1. Newsletter – need APEP report, Smarter Balanced Report, Chancellor’s Report, Chair’s Report – Chris is handing off to Diana; Tracy needs to post resolutions before newsletter
   2.2. Sharon’s position – part-time replacement is being sought; explore options in terms of hours/per day or per week for part-time position. Are there others part-time employees in the CO who might be interested in moving to additional hours.
   2.3. CMS HR – Meeting last week. Concerned about roll out of program and getting faculty on board. The first two campuses will be Fresno and Sonoma and then two additional phases of the remaining 21 campuses.
      2.3.1. Glen will write a report for the F2F newsletter in May.
      2.3.2. Internal communications to those affected should part of the project plan. This seems to be an ongoing problem or an ongoing strategy.
3. ASCSU representatives to LEAP State Summit
   3.1. Diana will attend. She will ask (in order) Mark, Kate, John T., Kevin B. until the remaining two spots are filled.
4. ASCSU appointee to search committee for Director of California Pre-Doctoral Program – Tom Krabacher will be appointed.
5. Response to Steven Browne’s inquiry re: EO 1068 – Jim Postma checked into this with Ray Murrillo and is following
up on it with Steven Browne. Jim will next check with CSU legal counsel. Steven points out that the language in the policy is aligned with Supreme Court language.

6. ASCSU Executive Committee action on SB 520
   6.1. The legislative bill information states the bill will be heard on 4/10/13.
   6.2. We have the amendment language now.
   6.3. Oppose unless amended
      6.3.2. Already have articulation processes in place and know how to use them, and they can be expanded if needed.
      6.3.3. This is campus-by-campus decision normally, although we do have system-level review for General Education.
      6.3.4. No data demonstrating getting courses in CSU is a problem bottleneck courses.
      6.3.5. Online courses least effective for lower division students.
      6.3.6. Access = to technology for low income students.
      6.3.7. Online courses have a place, but this is not it.
      6.3.8. Master plan = committed to access to high quality education for qualified students. Responsibility of faculty to oversee quality of courses.
   6.4. Diana, Steven, and Tom K. will begin drafting a letter to Senator Steinberg. Will share draft with standing committees at April interim.

7. Adjournment at 10:18 a.m.