1. Welcome; Call to Order at 10:12 AM; Introductions,

ANNOUNCEMENTS: Kimberly drew our attention to campus equity week, especially re. part-time faculty, who account for 75% of faculty nationwide and 50% at CSU; we discussed the practices on our respective campuses. Jim raised the issue of pubs on campus.

In attendance: Christine Miller, Patricia Kalayjian, Simone Aloisio (remote), Julie Chisholm, Bill Eadie, Kimberly King, Judith Lessow-Hurley, James Lo Cascio, Catherine Nelson, Mark Van Selst, Jodie Ullman (12:00)

Guests: Russ Mills, Chico

Liaisons: Christine Mallon, Ken O’Donnell (remote)

2. Approval of Agenda

Adding new GE nursing resolution

3. Approval of Minutes

Minutes approved

4. Chair’s Report

5.1 Extended Executive Committee meeting:
- Diana met with C. White; confirmed that he has a copy of the CSO report; he agreed there was a shared governance problem; similar concerns re CO communiqués esp. CO AA memos without a cc to ASCSU chair; and BoT communication where BoT doesn’t get our stuff because of timing. Seems to be movement, esp. w her being cc’d (more now than last year); DG feels that she has been heard.
- Academic Conference in November moving forward.
- Trustee resolution is removed from AA agenda; what FGA will do is unclear
- Position statements to consider

5.2 Chair’s written report is available in Dropbox

5. Liaison and Systemwide Committee and Task Force Reports
5.1. Chancellor's Office Liaisons

a) Chris Mallon

- We watched a power point slide show developed by the CCC study group to show why the CCCs should award BAs. They have many unproven assumptions. CO has a long-term plan. Any ideas of how ASCSU can help?
- Diversity forum event; this is a consortium of public and private. They host recruitment fairs for minority students considering advanced degrees (e.g., PhD), with workshops, etc. About 1000-1200 students in Moraga most recently, then Cal Poly Pomona, then UCSD. Q: how do students get invited?
- Sally Casanova Pre-doctoral Program mentioned as a program available to students
- Diana and Ephraim are looking at a reconstituted board for CSO that will be more coordinated and focused, include faculty, policy advisory with an increased emphasis on faculty governance.
- January meeting to discuss allied health and how we are helping respond to ACA
- Re 120, CO is interested in our resolution on Engineering programs

b) Ken O'Donnell—time certain 1:30, call-in

- Compass not much new.
- Grad initiative is around grant funding. Q: CPSLO president wants a 90% 4-yr grad rate; is it about 4 year rates or 6-year rates? Overall, we want a higher grad rate period. Is it absolute number of degrees or percentages? CO and interstate initiative group is still looking at 6 yr percentages of first time.
- SB 1440/440 expected to find as many similar as we can but not required to say that everything is similar; still scrutiny over how hard campuses are trying to make matches work. Turning now to look for where the demand is; is this an attractive curricular path for students to follow. Shouldn't be arbitrary. If students aren't interested, why press the departments? On data front, "data dashboard"; this allows tracking of students from CC to CSU. Not done with TMCs. Proposal was funded for $500k to Irvine Foundation and work with framework; the research is to find out if there might not be as big a difference between the trades/tech and the transfer pathways. Michelle Pillati is heading this. WICHE is trying to create a transferable GE package that may be outcomes based. Exit exams and portfolios can be assessment tools to see whether students are achieving in their fields.

c) Gerry Hanley—from 11:00-11:30 we met with him as he reported to us and FA: Chris reported on the meeting of Diana, Gerry, Wayne, and Chris on priorities announced by the CO. Gerry summarized where some of the intersections lie between AA and FA.

- GH: how can we use some of the $10m for faculty development? Now $500k has been allotted to this, and his unit wants to know how best to distribute among campuses for faculty learning communities/faculty associates. Staffing help is thin; also budget to help create ongoing support for faculty in technology, development, online, etc. RFP in development so campuses can decide how each wants to use its money—not a directive from above that says “do this.”
Curricular design is one component, faculty development, etc. is another factor. The idea is peer-to-peer discussion about what is working in the classroom vis-à-vis technology. Q: who would participate in the decision of how to use the money? e.g., “mandatory voluntary” training for teaching online. Maybe a certificate program that could be a tangible award to faculty?

The $10m is added to the base, but we still can’t guarantee the money will be ongoing in support of whatever the campus decides to implement. Systematic opportunities and reimbursement, these could be required of campuses to be participants. Might the legislators turn voluntary into mandatory? Part of course redesign is blending technology and human interaction to lead to student success, however we define that.

CM: Important to even out the opportunities across campuses. Re. OCE courses: cross-campus disciplinary meetings to talk about issues. Communication: how do we let everyone across campuses know about what is available to them? Concern about an outcome that ends in forced homogenization.

MF: Money should go to campuses with guidelines that include faculty involved in developing the projects and then pays faculty to take part. Betsy Eudie is recommended as the person to add to any committee because she knows about online and is on the Faculty Development Committee as well as FA.

BE: Need to remember that all FDC directors are not faculty, so we need to specify the involvement of faculty in the RFPs. Thanks to Gerry for his advocacy for faculty.

1) Executive Committee Liaison: Darlene Yee-Melichar Overview of ASCSU plenary:
   a) Thursday, Oct 31: Standing committees meet at 8am if needed; plenary starts at 9am; Carol Geary Schneider (AAC&U) re: LEAP at 10:30; Karen Zamarippa re: legislative proposal- faculty holdover at 11:30; New senators, peer mentors and interested senators are welcome to join us tomorrow (Thursday, October 31) during lunch in the Munitz Room. At that time, we will launch the ASCSU Peer Mentor Program, Senators Harold Goldwhite and David Hood will discuss Parliamentary Procedures and entertain Q&A. Please note that information on Parliamentary Procedures may be found at: http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Records/About_the_Senate/ParliamentaryProcedures.pdf. You are also welcome to join us for dinner after the ASCSU social tomorrow at 7pm at Rockbottom (located at Ocean and Pine Streets). If you plan to attend dinner, please email dyee@sfsu.edu today by 5pm or sign up at a standing committee mtg; thanks.
   b) Gerry Hanley re:AT at 1pm; Eric Forbes at 2pm; Trustee Douglas Faigin at 3:15pm: AA position statements on online ed and bottlenecks are well done; thank you; other Liaison reports (CFA, CSSA, ERFA); Commendations for Trustee Majas and EVC Quillian
   c) Friday, Nov 1: Plenary starts at 8am; Chancellor White at 9am; EVC Smith at 10am

2) BOT meetings next week – Diana and I will attend in person with others via conference call/collaborate. Trustee Stepanek will write report and newsletter article.
3) See ASCSU Chair Diana Guerin’s written report sent via email.
4) Reviewed ASCSU Technology concerns; discussed new senator orientation plan; F2F newsletter; consultation process; Jt TF on MOOCs report; next steps and legislative proposal on faculty trustee appt; commendations for Trustee Mejas and EVC Quillian
5) Compass Dissemination Planning Project mtg and ITL Advisory Board mtg
6) Discussed Academic Conference Update – now following November BOT meeting (Nov 13 noon – Nov 14 3pm) in Long Beach at Hilton Hotel.
7) GEAC Update and appt of faculty to disciplinary review groups in Physics/Physical Sciences and English/Literature (GE credit for AP)
8) Agenda setting for ASCSU January Plenary: Hank Reichman, Trustee Glazer or Monville; EO 1084 GE mandate; Little Hoover Commission report; Chancellor’s TF on Ethnic Studies; MOOC position statement; Use of tasers by CSU police; systemwide committee replacements for Steven Stepanek

5.2. CalState Online: Jodie Ullman, see Jodie’s written report.
5.3. Chancellor’s Doctoral Incentive Program: Bill Eadie, committee has not met yet
5.4. Early Start Implementation: Kimberly King, committee met; she did not attend but has report: ES will be expanding to include all English as well as math remedial students. Still nothing to support the effectiveness of the program. There is a report on the results from four campuses, so there is still not uniform data. See also written report.
5.5. Commission on Extended Education: Catherine Nelson, not met since interim
5.6. General Education Advisory Committee: Mark Van Selst reports:

- He will be meeting w exec to appoint people to evaluate new IB and AP exams in some subjects; this may become an AA question if the groups find that revisions actually meet standards, then we may have a resolution suggesting departments look at these.
- Compass: appropriateness and how-to of a statewide minor
- Discussion of task force on GE
- Evaluating GE courses w/ CCC
- EO 1084; the nursing student package that satisfies GE area B 1,2,3 but not for students who are not nursing. No changes to be pursued on campuses to the microbiology course, for example, to make it compatible with the GE objectives.

5.7. Institute for Teaching and Learning Board: Judith Lessow-Hurley, see written report
5.9. Pre-Doctoral Programs Advisory Committee: no representative
5.10. SB 1440 Implementation Committee: Mark Van Selst, met and focused on what have they done (is it enough?) and what about those outside the majors; want departments now to identify what TMCs are considered “compatible.” Final question is, who is paying.
5.11. Smarter Balanced Assessment Advisory Comm.: Mark Van Selst, adoption of standards; a Merlot-like digital library site with resources compatible with Common Core;
5.12. Student Health Services Advisory Committee: James LoCascio, discussed finances and lack of staff, support
5.13. Student Mental Health Services Advisory Committee: Kimberly King or James LoCascio: great concern with student suicide
5.15. MOOCs Task Force: Kimberly King: having difficulty getting a response from the administration; differences of opinion abound. MVS: could there be a list of points of agreement and those areas of disagreement? Maybe not even there yet. Faculty would like to release it asap.
5.16. Disabled Students: James LoCascio: concern about compliance with new standards
5.17. Other

6. **Review of Chancellor’s Office Response to ASCSU Resolutions**
   We are fine with the responses.

7. **Resolutions**
   We refined our resolutions

8. **Discussion Items/Old Business**
   We removed the faculty trustee resolution from our agenda
   8.1. Online Concurrent Enrollment: Chris Mallon asks for a different acronym/title, e.g., Cal State Everywhere
   8.2. Proposal to amend Title 5, section 40510, The Master's Degree: not proceeding at this time
   8.3. Bottleneck Position Statement was finalized
   8.4. Online Education Position Statement was finalized
   8.5. Title 5 and Engineering (120/180 units); we refined for presentation at the plenary
   8.6. Coordination of ASCSU Social took place

9. **New Business**
   9.1. CCC’s and BSN degrees discussed in the context of powerpoint shared by Chris Mallon
   9.2. Degrees Database was not discussed due to time
   9.3. Faculty development and online pedagogy was discussed in joint meeting with FA
   9.4. CSO Reorganization Recommendations was discussed via a resolution that was perfected and offered to the ASCSU with a request for waiver of first reading
   9.5. GEAC report was received, and issue of nursing preparation was discussed in the form of a resolution

10. **Adjournment**, Thursday, October 31 at 8:50 am