Members Present:
Buckley Barrett (San Bernardino); Andrea Boyle, Chair (San Francisco);
Kate Fawver (Dominguez Hills); Steve Filling (Stanislaus); Patricia Kalayjian, Vice
Chair (Dominguez Hills); Jim LoCascio (San Luis Obispo); J. Ken Nishita (Monterey Bay);
Barry Pasternack (Fullerton) and Brian Wilson (Sonoma).

Guests:
Ken O’Donnell, Associate Dean, Academic Programs and Policy, substituted for Chris
Mallon, Dean of the CSU.
Paul O’Brien, ASCSU Executive Committee.

1. Welcome, Call to Order: Andrea Boyle, Chair 11:10AM.

2. An amended Agenda was approved. There were two additions (1) Ken O’Donnel will
present a report on the Compass Project (2) Professor Van Selst will report on APEP’s
resolution on the Ed.D Review Committee.

3. Approval of Minutes from the Meeting of September 15, 2011- minutes approved with
changes offered by Barry Pasternack.
http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Committees/academic_affairs/meetings.shtml

4. Chair’s Report: Andrea Boyle
   • ASCSU Chair Postma and Chair Boyle are continuing their work on the TMC’s and
two meetings (DIG & FDRG) were held: one on October 14 at LAX (southern
group) and the other on October 28 in San Jose (northern group). These meetings
brought together faculty from the CCC and CSU to work on the next round of TMCs.
To date 16 TMC’s are approved resulting in 200 degrees in the CCC.
   • In the spirit SB 1440, AB 1295 is legislation specific to nursing which was passed to
make seamless transfer curricula for nursing majors.
   • The Implementation and Oversight Committee (IOC) consisting of the Provosts from
the CCC and the CSU, two faculty from the CSU (Jim Postma & Andrea Boyle) and
two faculty from the CCC are tacked to implement the TMCs.
   • James LoCascio reported that while engineering programs are not required to have a
TMC, the faculty decided that this would be a good idea. It is recognized by the
CCC faculty that while engineering students tend to transfer as soon as possible it is
still helpful to have a TMC. Barbara Swerkes concern was that no upper division
class be taught at the CCC. It turns out that southern CSU engineering programs list
Strength of Materials as lower division while the northern CSU engineering
programs consider this class upper division.
5. Administrative Reports: Ken O’Donnell, Associate Dean, (Academic Programs and Policy) for Chris Mallon, Dean of the CSU

- Dean O’Donnell reported on the success of the Compass Program.
- The current state of the Early Start program, which will begin this summer, was discussed. Most campuses have opted to begin with a one unit course in both math and English. Dean O’Donnell commented that the English Council will never be happy with Early Start.
- With regards to AB 1440 it was noted that the TMC’s are addressing the relationship between CSU GE requirements and the classes taken at the CCC in an attempt to reduce non-transferable units. Students graduating with a TMC degree will receive a .2 bump in their GPA for calculation of their MCA score for transfer.
- It was noted that to date no requests for an AI transfer has come to the Chancellor’s office and therefore there is no clear indication as to how they will be adjudicated.
- It has been reported that the ‘graduation initiative’ has resulted in an increase in the 6-year graduation rates. A 4 year graduation rate is not the be all and end all for the college experience.
- The current fee structure was discussed. Moving from the current six units or less and over six units fee structure was compared to a per unit charge. Issues considered were (1) will this prevent students from taking too many units (2) will it be revenue neutral (3) how will financial aid be calculated.
- The AA members expressed the following concerns for Dean O’Donnell to take back to the Chancellor’s office: (1) Buckley Barrett wants to know if funding for Early Start is coming from CSU library’s Lottery money (2) James LoCascio expressed concern that the one third set a side needs justification, or adjustments or a new model for financial aid all together (4) Kate Fawver concerns included (a) what will the “pulling of the trigger” mean for campuses (b) what is the accountability of the GE program; is the program accomplishing its mission, how are their outcomes measured (c) the CSU must be held accountable for the qualifications of incoming students.
Paul Paul O’ Brien, ASCSU EXCOM Representative

- EXCOM discussed CSU On-line and is waiting for AA’s White Paper.
- Bernadette Cheyne lead a discussion to the Exec Committee of how the pleathora of new campus presidents may change the character of the CSU. The CSUN presidential search is closed.
- SB 1440 TMC’s are moving along with 16 completed.
- No AI waivers have been requested, they are granted by the campus president with campus curriculum committees input.
- Each campus must have at least a one unit course avail this summer and at this time there is no cost information.
- Students are not pleased with the continuous increase of fees with no advanced notice; the students would like a six month notice. The question of a per unit charge was discussed.

6. Liaison Reports:

6.1 Disabilities Advisory Committee: Ken Nishita reported that the committee met November 1, 2011. He reported (1) six campuses were audited to assure that their campus policies were current (2) funding is problematic in bringing campus buildings into compliance (3) “ALEKS” an on-line math remediation program is not ADA compliant (4) disabled students will get extended time to complete the Early Start program (5) the Chancellor’s ADA Policies are updated at 4 year intervals to stay compliant with Federal and State new rulings (6) there is a surge in enrolled autistic students causing problems when they “act out.” http://www.calstate.edu/SAS/SSWD.shtml).

6.2 English Council: (http://csuenglishcouncil.wordpress.com/) Susan Gubernat sent out a report, the main point was that the English Council does not support the current form of the Early Start progam.

6.3 EdD Proposal Review Group: Andrea Boyle reported that a resolution from APEP would be presented by Professor Van Selst.

6.5 Commission on Extended University: (http://www.calstate.edu/eo/EO-811.pdf) : Barry Pasternack, Brian Wilson reported that: (1) Chair Postma forward the minutes of their meeting (2) there is concern about the Katz reports on on-line learning (3) there is a debate on how to determine if an on-line program will be state or self support.

6.6 General Education Advisory Committee: Patricia Kalayjian reported (1) EO 1065 updates EO 1033 (2) assessments for GE learning outcomes will be developed and they will conform with LEAP principles (3) CLEP is producing writing exams to access if a student is college ready.

6.7 General Education Course Review Subcommittee: Kate Fawver, Jennifer Matos, Ken Nishita (http://www.calstate.edu/AcadSen/Committees/geac/index.shtml). It was reported that Online Services for Curriculum Articulation Review (OSCAR) handles
most of the work through Dean O’Donell’s office. The committee only meets to
discuss controversial classes.
6.8 Library Directors, Council of (COLD) (Liaison to): Buckley Barrett: (1) the libraries
must now consider what resources will be needed to support the new professional
doctorate programs (2) early start programs are using money meant for the library (3)
there may be extra value in having a central data base for electronic journals (4) more
information about the impact of the on-line initiative will be forthcoming (5) the next
meeting will be held on November 17-18 at CSULA.
6.9 C-ID: Ken Nishita reported that there would be a meeting on November 10th.
6.11 Sustainability Advisory Subcommittee Education and Research: James LoCascio,
(http://www.calstate.edu/cpdc/sustainability/policy.shtml), reported that to his
knowledge no new meeting has been scheduled. Professor Boyle and James LoCascio
reported that many campuses have already incorporated sustainable concepts in many
classes. Cal Poly SLO has 140 classes listed on their sustainable website and that
there is a pilot program for 40 students to enter a four year project based sustainable
curriculum.
6.12 Admissions Advisory Committee: James LoCascio: there will be a meeting on
December 16, 2011 in Fullerton.
6.13 SB1440: Andrea Boyle (see Chair’s report).
6.14 Other: No additional items were discussed.

7. Old Business
7.1 Resolution supporting the development of a CSU Professional Doctorate Advisory
Committee (Boyle) Discussion (second reading 11/11) Chairman Postma has suggested
that APEP and AA work together on a resolution.
7.2 Resolution supporting seamless transfer of undergraduate nursing students through the
development of CCC and CSU transfer mechanisms (Boyle) Discussion (second
reading 11/11).
7.3 Report from the group working on development of report or white paper on
Educational Principles in On-Line Education (Pasternack, Filling, Kalayjian) The
following were discussed: (1) the report will have six chapters, three chapters are
complete (2) the final report will be completed by January 10th (3) around thirteen
campuses have on-line policies but nearly all of these are incomplete (4) how will a
new on-line programs be paid for has not be addressed by the Chancellor’s office; there
seems to be some question as to whether it will be treated as an auxiliary organization
(5) best practices included: (a) faculty must be actively engage in the on-line programs
at all levels (b) there must be training for faculty (c) on-line programs cannot be
considered as a revenue stream (d) “what can go wrong” must be fully vetted.
7.4 Report from the group working on development of report/white paper on Western Governors University (Fawver, Filling). A report on the Western Governors University was presented. Information was gathered from their website and was not clear just how this university is structured. There is no clear administration or involvement of faculty. It appears that the university is a self-paced program and credit is earned by passing a single exam. There does not appear to be any accreditation of their degrees.

7.5 Discussion on mechanisms for reporting AI waiver requests to the ASCSU (Fawver). The issues were discussed and the committee decided not to move forward at this time.

7.6 Discussion of proposed resolution reaffirming faculty authority over curricular issues, especially in light of waiver requests (Fawver). The issues were discussed and it was pointed out that most campus academic senates already have written resolutions reaffirmed that the faculty have authority over curricular matters and the committee decided not to move forward at this time.

7.7 Other. No additional items were discussed.

8. New Business

8.1 Resolution in support ASCSU faculty work to integrate sustainability into courses, curricula/academic programs (LoCascio, Boyle). A resolution went forward for a first reading.

8.2 Resolution in support of faculty work on SB1440. A resolution went forward for a first reading.

8.3 Discussion of potential resolutions regarding current work on the Give Students a Compass project (Boyle, Kalayjian). No action was taken.

8.4 Discussion of potential resolutions regarding assessment of GE Learning Outcomes (EO1033) and other General Education issues (Fawver, Kalayjian). No action was taken.

8.5 Other: No other items were presented by AA members

9. Additional Items. No additional items were presented for discussion by AA members.

10. Meeting adjourned at 5:15 PM by Chair Boyle.

Meeting minutes submitted by James LoCascio, AA member