

LDTP Advisory Committee
CSU Chancellor's Office
Coronado Room
Thursday, February 9th, 2006
2:00-5:00pm

Agenda

1. Welcome and Introductions
2. Approval of Minutes of January 24th, 2006
3. Questions/Additions to the Agenda
4. Committee-Related Issues
 - a. Update on ASCSU Executive Committee Actions—Tarjan
 - i. AAC Chair as ex officio member
 - ii. Possible student member
 - b. UC Observer?—Jones
 - c. Express Decisions by Executive Committee? (Jones, Hanson, Tarjan, 2 CSU faculty members perhaps?)—Tarjan
5. More Pressing Issues
 - a. Program Development Timeline—update from LDTP staff
 - i. CCC AO workload issues & OSCAR submission deadlines—Abma, Patton
 - b. Pre-Qualification of Courses
 - i. Note: The committee voted to allow the lead discipline leads to make the determination whether full discipline faculty review will be required. The communication from the committee will encourage leads to consult with their LDTP group in an expeditious manner prior to rendering a decision.
 - ii. Other policy/procedural issues?
 - c. Course Numbering—It might be worthwhile to review where we are with CAN, TSCU and CCC numbering and translation/coordination of course numbers.
 - d. Priority for Implementing Majors—Options discussed at last meeting.
 - i. Complete the ones showing the most progress to date.
 - ii. Focus on completing as many of the top 20 (SB 1785) as possible first.
 - iii. Since 47 are being developed in parallel, allow the “priority” majors to evolve.
 - e. Handling of “Comments” in Course Descriptor Votes—Cates?
 - f. Campus Voting Issues—from Tarjan
 - i. Communicating the Results of Campus Votes
 - ii. Voting Procedures
 - iii. Follow-up Votes
 - iv. Who Should Vote
 - g. Jurisdiction over “Math” Courses and Other “Service” Courses—Tarjan, Cates
 - i. Procedures for Dealing with Jurisdictional Issues
 - ii. Resolution of Current Issues
 - h. Naming of Descriptor Review Groups—raised by Tarjan
 - i. CCC Faculty Participation
 - ii. Articulation Officer Participation
 - iii. Terms for Reviewers
 - iv. Compensation/Support for Reviewers
 - v. Charge to Discipline Leads

1. Communications (see below)
 - a. Maintaining Lists of Reviewers
 - b. Maintaining Lists of Campus Contacts
2. Overseeing Reviews
3. Point of Contact for Results of Reviews/Appeals
4. Determining Currency of Descriptors
5. Polling Faculty re Currency of Patterns
6. Requesting/Overseeing Revisions to Descriptors and Patterns
- vi. Operational Issues
 1. Actual Naming
 2. Maintaining Group Lists
- i. Communications—raised by Tarjan
 - i. LDTP Lead Faculty Listserve—Hessinger
 - ii. Course Review/Descriptor Lists (by leads)
 - iii. Discipline campus representatives (by leads)
6. Recognition of discipline leads/other important contributors to the LDTP project.—
Tarjan
7. Other Issues for Consideration
 - a. Procedures for Course Appeals—Tarjan
 - b. When should LDTP reconvene to reconsider patterns?—Tarjan
 - c. When/why should descriptor groups reconvene?—Tarjan
 - d. Communications with CCCs—Tarjan
 - e. Options for CCC's with few CAN courses—Abma, Patton
 - f. TCSU Course Denials & CCC students' participation in LDTP—Abma, Patton
8. Next Meeting (phone conference or live)