

LDTP Advisory Committee Meeting

Tuesday, March 4, 2008

10:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m.

Chancellor's Office - Coronado Room

MINUTES – Approved May 6, 2008

Member Attendees: Deanna Abma, Cathy Beane, Christine Hanson, David Hood, Michelle La Centra, Ken Matsuura, Jeanie Nishime, Jane Patton, Jim Postma, Bonnie Schmiede, Jeff Spano, Barbara Swerkes (Chair), John Tarjan, Mark Van Selst, Keith Boyum (by phone)

Other Attendees: Marshall Cates, Mark McKellip, Rachel Hendrickson.

- I) Minutes of November 6th, 2007 were approved without modification.
- II) The Agenda was approved without modification.
- III) Announcements
 - A) The Steering Committee is attempting to convene a meeting with the CSU Articulation Officers. The hope is to have this coincide with CIAC in April.
 - B) Barbara Swerkes announced that she and Keith Boyum will be attending the statewide meeting of the CCC of the CIO's and CSSO's at the request of their group.
 - C) LDTP will be a topic presented at the WASC Academic Conference in April.
- IV) Review of the Committee Charge
 - A) The LDTP Advisory Committee was formed to offer advice to the Chancellor on LDTP policy. The committee reviewed the original charge as stated in the Advisory Committee Minutes of Jan. 24, 2006.
 - B) In addition to the items listed in the original charge the committee with expanded membership should also function to facilitate communication regarding LDTP to the various constituencies represented by the membership. Committee members were asked to report information regarding LDTP to their various constituents as well as report back from their constituent groups questions, concerns, and problems related to LDTP.
- V) Review of proposed resolution from Faculty Affairs Committee ASCSU – “Shared Governance, Academic Freedom and Principles Governing Systemwide Initiatives with Curricular Implications”
 - A) Feedback from the committee members was requested.
 - B) It was suggested a Community College faculty representative that the initiative might include a statement indicating the need for California Community Colleges to be consulted and involved in deliberations having to do with intersegmental initiatives.
- VI) Steering Committee Report – Barbara Swerkes:
 - A) The recommended procedure of using a checklist for the implementation of the ASCSU resolution regarding the articulation of CSU courses with LDTP descriptors was discussed.

- B) All course descriptors that are approved are available on the LDTP website.
- C) The CSU Articulation Officers now have a report indicating all LDTP approved course articulations.
- D) All LDTP approved courses are available on ASSIST.
- E) All C-ID approved course descriptors will have to go through the same campus voting procedures as LDTP course descriptors to be accepted for TCSU articulation purposes.
- F) The LDTP Steering Committee has recommended that samples of CSU and community college course outlines be compared to the course descriptor before the faculty vote for descriptor is held. It is hoped that community college representatives on the descriptor committee can assist in collecting sample outlines from the community colleges.
- G) A request has been made of the ASCCC to appoint discipline contact persons for each current LDTP discipline.

VII) Update on “Moving Forward” – Keith Boyum

Keith Boyum updated the committee on the CSU Chancellor’s Office efforts to enfold LDTP approved curricula within a community college’s Associate Degree area of emphasis. As a “Pilot Project”, two CSU campuses will each partner with two Community Colleges to explore the possibility of using LDTP qualified courses in areas of emphasis for the CCC AA degree.

- Mark Van Selst asked if there would be support from the CCC Academic Senate, where appropriate, to encourage departments to submit course outlines to the LDTP review process? It was agreed that Barbara Swerkes should work with Jane Patten to discuss this in further detail.
- CCC representatives stressed that they will remain reluctant to talk to students about LDTP until the message is clear.
- A question was raised by a CCC representative about the CSU intent to continue to develop a process for the admission agreements. K. Boyum response: “We are obliged to do so and will.”
- It was suggested that the CSU invest more in the marketing of LDTP.

(Note: More detailed notes from this discussion are attached)

VIII) LDTP Implementation Status Update

- A) Mark McKellip reported statistical information regarding LDTP submissions and approvals.
- B) Barbara Swerkes reported that the Economics faculty committees from both segments have met separately and developed position statements from their segmental perspective regarding the LDTP Economics descriptors. An intersegmental meeting to discuss possible resolution of disparities between these positions is scheduled as soon as possible.
- C) Marshal Cates reported on the status of outstanding LDTP Descriptors.
 - 1) We are still waiting for final votes on two **engineering** descriptors.
 - 2) We are expecting resolution of the **history** descriptors.
 - 3) Two **biology** descriptors have just been distributed for review and approval.
 - 4) The joint **statistics** course descriptor is being voted on by Sociology and Mathematics. Business and Psychology voting will begin shortly.
- D) Rachel Hendrickson reported on the status of various technology components regarding LDTP in ASSIST and CSU Mentor.

IX) C-ID Report – Marshall Cates.

- A) Even though LDTP is attempting to use C-ID Physics Descriptors, no CSU Physics faculty have volunteered to work on the development of these descriptors.
- X) The committee discussed and recommended the reduction of the LDTP Submission Cycles from three to two for the 2008-09 academic year.
- XI) Next Meeting: Committee members agreed to hold the date of May 6, 2008 for the next meeting of the LDTP Advisory Committee Meeting.