1. Welcome and Introductions
2. Report from the Meeting with Campus Senate Chairs (GE portion) on October 5—John Tarjan, Ted Anagnoson
   a. Comprehensive Review—Call to Campuses
   b. Campus-Based Reviews
3. Feedback from Campuses on the Comprehensive Review (deferred until discussion of the structure of GE [item 9]?)
4. Supplemental IGETC/CSU Area-Breadth Course Review Criteria (related to technology-mediated instruction)
   a. Report from ASSIST Board Meeting (OSCAR course tab)—John Tarjan
   c. Issues Pending (from last meeting’s minutes)
      i. Which faculty should be able to speak for their disciplines? Perhaps the discipline chairs at the campuses should be polled.
      ii. A call to the campuses might uncover additional curricular areas that may have concerns. Perhaps a questionnaire can be developed to be completed by campus faculty and returned to the subcommittee.
      iii. The subcommittee will report back their findings and if necessary GEAC will refer the matter to Academic Affairs.
5. Update on Comprehensive review of CSU GE-Breadth Written Communication Requirement (Area A2)—Jo Service
6. Potential Revisions to Section III of EO 595—Tapie Rohm, Sam Edelman and Ted Anagnoson
7. SCIGETC Update—John Tarjan
   a. Dean Service has drafted some sample patterns for engineering. There are variations across engineering disciplines.
   b. An ICAS subcommittee will work on implementation issues. John Tarjan is the CSU representative on this group.
   c. This “pattern” for the sciences, engineering, and mathematics may need to be flexible to accommodate differing needs across majors.
8. Certification of a-g Courses/posting on ASSIST—John Tarjan
10. Potential changes to the structure of GE