Executive Committee Minutes for September 15, 16 2005

Thursday, Sept. 15, 8:30 am – 10:00 am: with Standing Committee Chairs, Munitz Room
Thursday, Sept. 15, 10:30 – 4:00 pm: Munitz Room
Friday, Sept. 16, 12:00 pm – 1:00 pm: Orientation for new Senators, Munitz Room
Friday, Sept. 16, 3:00 pm – 4:00 pm: with Associate Vice Chancellor and Vice Chancellors, Room 610

Visitors: Ann Peacock (ASCSU Exec. Dir.), Keith Boyum (Assoc. Vice Chancellor), Craig Smith (Faculty Trustee), Marshall Cates (LDTP Coordinator), Jackie McClain (Vice Chancellor for Human Resources)

Executive Committee Meeting with Standing Committee Chairs

1. Committee reports
   a. Faculty Affairs—Jan Gregory
      i. Jackie McClain and John Travis will be meeting with the committee.
      ii. We will have at least three resolutions.
         1. A short resolution will be presented regarding the progress of collective bargaining.
         2. A resolution on supporting the victims/volunteers associated with hurricane Katrina.
         3. A resolution on merit pay.
      iii. We will be discussing supporting teaching through resources.
   b. FGA—Tom Krabacher
      i. Patrick Lenz will give an update on the budget using SBAC materials.
      ii. We will discuss pending legislation.
      iii. We will plan for the campus chairs’ budget workshop.
      iv. We will discuss our approach to legislative activity this year. We will hold an interim in Sacramento.
      v. We may have a more focused approach to lobbying again this year.
      vi. We will consider resolutions on Proposition 75 and 76 (reaffirmation of May resolution).
      vii. We will discuss the CSU alum service award.
   c. Academic Affairs—Mark Van Selst
      i. We have a referral on repeat policies.
      ii. Keith Boyum will be giving a report on LDTP/Facilitating Graduation and Lorie Roth will make a presentation on Information Competency initiatives.
iii. Topics for Discussion
   1. Denial of credit for courses focusing on intelligent design.
   2. CSU Independent EdDs
      a. what the structure of the programs will be
      b. the difference between masters and doctoral programs
      c. it would be valuable to track/collate CO communications and
guidelines on the development of EdDs.
   3. Other Independent EdDs
   4. Distinction between upper and lower-division coursework
   5. Remediation/early assessment
   6. GE Review
   7. Updating the 21st Century Report
d. TEKR—Jim Wheeler
   i. Every committee member will have a standing assignment.
   ii. We will try to develop a broader perspective on teacher education and rethink
our charge.
   iii. Enrollments in teacher education will be an ongoing issue.
   iv. Annual evaluation of teacher education is a continuing item. (Bill Wilson and
David Wright will update the committee on developments.)
   v. We will discuss the role of TEKR in the LDTP program and ITP.
   vi. We will follow up on the campus survey on collaboration between subject
matter and teacher education faculty.
   vii. We are working on a TEKR reference lexicon.
e. GEAC—John Tarjan
   i. We reviewed several pending issues.
      1. Distance learning/discipline course requirements that may affect
application of technology.
      2. Supplemental GE review guidelines.
      3. SCIGETC implementation.
   ii. We had brainstorming on the purpose of GE and potential structural changes.
   iii. Subcommittees will work on
      1. A letter soliciting campus input on GE structure
      2. revising the objectives in EO 595
      3. Soliciting discipline statements/course requirements impinging on the
appropriate use of technology
2. Timing of Executive Committee and Standing Committee Meetings
   a. In July we had tentatively agreed to end our meeting with the chairs at 10:00 and
have committees begin at 10:30. Chairs would turn over the meetings to vice-chairs
around 3:00 for brief agenda-setting with the Executive Committee.
   b. Chairs will be dismissed at 10:00 and committees will start at 10:15 in the future.
3. Liaison visits to committees:
   a. Travel— all senators MUST follow CO regulations. If not, excess costs will not be
reimbursed.
   b. Assigned time responsibilities—senators who do not fulfill committee responsibilities
risk losing assigned time.
4. Orientation for new Senators—the group did a run-through/revision of the orientation.
5. Senate guidelines document—to be reviewed by all standing committees
6. Committee had an extensive discussion of both the long-term and the short time budget
situation. An ad hoc committee composed of Anagnoson, Edelman, Buck, D. Hood, and
Lynne Cook was established to come up with a proposal for dealing with the budget in the future.

**Executive Committee Meeting**

1. The agenda was approved.
2. The minutes of July 12&13 and 18&19 Executive Committee meetings were approved.
3. Announcements
   a. MOU update regarding Academic Senate Chair moving to a 12 month appointment—it was agreed to by CFA and the CSU. This is a win/win arrangement.
4. Budget
   a. We have two problems
      i. A short term deficit in the ASCSU budget
      ii. A long-term structural deficit
   b. We need a committee (Ted will chair it) to develop some long-term, predictable way to handle assigned time.
      i. Steps will have to be taken to stay within the long-term CO commitment.
      ii. We may very well have to reduce the size of the senate and/or reduce the percentage of senators receiving assigned to stay within the amount budgeted for assigned time.
   c. Everyone should understand that we actually have a constant base budget from last year.
   d. Costs have increased for assigned time due to a change in the composition in the Senate and less reassigned time not taken.
   e. Travel is the main variable cost year-to-year.
5. Reports from Exec Committee members regarding liaison responsibilities
   a. CSSA
      a. Ted Anagnoson gave an update on the August 27 meeting. The student conduct code was discussed at length. Ted reviewed the facilitating graduation initiatives.
      b. David McNeil attended earlier in the summer.
      c. Cristy Jensen will attend the next meeting.
   b. Alumni Council—John Tarjan
      a. Attended in June at Channel Islands.
         i. Nominating a new trustee and affinity card issues dominated business
         ii. A report on LDTP and facilitating graduation, etc. was given by John.
      b. John will attend the meeting on Saturday.
   c. CFA – David and Marshelle have been attending. They gave updates on Senate business to the group.
   d. Facilitating Graduation
      a. Accreditation-style visits will take place in the spring, with an orientation for the visiting teams in January.
      b. Gary Hammerstrom will coordinate work to facilitate graduation.
      c. Items 11, 19, and 20 will require particular attention. Cristy and Hank will liaise.
      d. Ted will need to contact Gary to get started on coordination.
      e. The facilitating graduation conference will likely be held next October.
   e. ICC/ICAS Ongoing Projects—were discussed
      a. A white paper on the status of faculty may be developed.
b. The letter on accreditation to WASC and the CCC accreditation body is being perfected.
c. There will be a SCIGETC implementation group. John Tarjan will be the CSU representative.
d. Marshelle continues to attend ICC meetings.
f. ATAC—we may need to limit participation.
g. LMS—David McNeil to attend.
h. Academic Council—Chair Thobaben gave an overview of the last meeting. E-mail security was discussed. We will all attend the next meeting.
i. PTSC—Marshelle will attend.

6. Referrals
   a. Alignment of academic calendars—to AAC.
   b. Dual degrees—to AAC.

7. Area 10 Accountability Task Force—David, McNeil Lynne Cook and Mark Van Selst will be members.

8. Transfer Search Committee Appointee (Allison Jones request). We need to follow up.

9. The schedule for the campus chairs meeting/academic council/executive committee in October at SFO was reviewed.
   a. 10-12 campus chairs, Executive Committee, committee chairs
   b. 1-3 Meeting with Academic Council
   c. 3-7 Executive Committee

10. Meeting with Keith Boyum, Associate Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs; Marshall Cates, LDTP Coordinator
    a. LDTP update—Marshall Cates
       a. A proposal for the composition of groups which will draft course descriptors was presented. Marshall and the Executive Committee will develop a list of leads, appointees.
       b. A draft schedule for course descriptors was presented.
       c. Keith will present an outline of the above to the Senate plenary.
    b. Facilitating Graduation Update
       a. Keith will give updates at the October 5th meetings
       b. Ted is the liaison with Academic Affairs and Gary Hammerstrom.
       c. Cristy and Hank are liaisons for the planned conference.
       d. Campus reports and plans will be due on December 2nd.
       e. The structure of the October 5 meeting with Provosts was discussed with Dr. Boyum.
       f. Composition of the “accreditation style” teams was outlined. Faculty, senators and deans or associate deans will likely compose the teams.
    c. Independent EdD
       a. The Chancellor will look to Bob Maxson from CSU, Long Beach (retiring soon as president) to provide leadership on the EdD.
       b. Issues
          i. The sequencing of the start-up of new programs/the number of programs to be initiated in a given year.
          ii. At least one program may be focused on CCC leaders
          iii. We need to find ways to implement the “partnerships” with school districts, community colleges
          iv. The status/future of existing joint programs
       c. Perhaps we will have a conference with eminent speakers to explore some issues.
d. How will quality be assured?
   i. The envisioned conference will address quality.
   ii. Existing accreditation processes. The WASC procedure for new doctoral programs in the CSU is quite thorough.
   iii. The program proposal process, including ultimate approval by the BOT.

e. Faculty concerns:
   i. That programs will be run through EUD. AVC Boyum felt that this would not be likely. Increased fees will likely go directly to the campus.
   ii. That faculty will teach on an overload basis. This is also unlikely.

f. Funding
   i. Higher student fees will be charged.
   ii. There may be some revisiting of marginal cost formulas.
   iii. There may be a reconsideration of differential funding of graduate education (12 units=1 FTES rather than 15 units).

11. Meeting with Jackie McClain, Vice Chancellor for Human Resources
   a. Faculty housing
      i. There was a Senate resolution encouraging arrangements to be developed to facilitate faculty housing affordability.
      ii. The CO has an ongoing interest is faculty housing affordability.
      iii. There are a variety of approaches adopted by campuses. Dealing with money lenders is complicated when collective bargaining is possible over terms of any program.
      iv. Lenders do not want to cede control over terms/qualification to outside groups. It is difficult to get lenders to support programs when the uncertainty inherent in collective bargaining is a potential factor.
      v. The system has investigated becoming the lending entity but the numbers involved are overwhelming.
   b. Discussion
      i. Comment: direct assistance seems much more viable than getting into the housing business based upon most campus’ experiences.
      ii. ASCSU: we hope that the CO will develop a task force on this issue, not the ASCSU.
      iii. Comment: perhaps a CFA/Senate/CO could develop a joint task force.
      iv. VC McClain indicated that there may be few viable ideas/options that have not already been explored.
      v. AVC Boyum: unlikely a task force could go beyond what is already being explored on the campuses.

12. Appointments
   a. ELM Test Development Committee: The Executive Committee has accepted the nominations/structure of appointments contained in a memo from Myron Hood, the current chair of the committee.
   b. EPT Development Committee: The nominations/structure of the committee contained in a memo from Bob Noreen will be referred to Allison Jones for comment. It is possible that a structure similar to the one for the ELM Test Development Committee would be superior.
   c. Learning Management Systems—David McNeil to represent the ASCSU.
   d. IMPAC—Awaiting Julie Adams, ASCCC Exec. Director
   e. GE—awaiting Jo Service recommendations.
13. Travel—we may need to develop a “travel order” form for receiving an occupancy tax waiver.

14. Agenda Setting with Academic Affairs—Resolutions
   a. Dual Degrees
   b. Repeat Policies
   c. Continued Support for Joint Doctorates

15. Liaison meetings with standing committees re budget—the letters, proposals have had generated some lively discussion in the committees. We will have a budget discussion early in the plenary tomorrow immediately after the Chair’s report.

16. The Board of Trustees’ agenda was reviewed.

17. Planning for October 5 meeting with the Chairs
   a. Orientation to the senate—Ted will take the lead.
   b. The role of faculty, senates in the facilitating graduation initiatives, including GE will be discussed. The chairs should be alerted to systemwide initiatives regarding GE.
   c. Organization of the campus chair’s group—Cristy will take the lead in meeting with the chairs.

18. The November Plenary was discussed.

19. Faculty Trustee Report
   a. The faculty trustee has been appointed to several committees.
   b. Proposed campus visits were reviewed.
      i. Will meet with the Senate and Executive Committees.
      ii. Will make presentations to Communications Departments.
      iii. Will engage in general fact-finding on the campuses.
   c. The agenda for BOT was reviewed by Trustee Smith.