Executive Committee Minutes for October 7, 8, 2004

Thursday, October 7, 10:30 – 1:30 pm: Exec Committee with campus senate chairs, Munitz Room
Thursday, October 7, 3:30 pm: Exec Committee with campus senate chairs for budget report, Munitz Room
Friday, October 8, 8:30 - 10:00 am: Exec Committee with standing committee chairs, Munitz Room
Friday, October 8, 10:00 – 2:20 pm: Exec Committee, Munitz Room

Attendees: Bob Cherny, Cristy Jensen, Lynne Cook, Marshelle Thobaben, David McNeil, John Tarjan
Visitors: Ann Peacock (ASCSU Exec Director), Kathy Kaiser (Faculty Trustee), Keith Boyum, David Spence, Marshall Cates, Jan Gregory, Hank Reichman, Ted Anagnoson, Marvin Klein

ASCSU Attendees at Campus Chairs Meeting: Bob Cherny, Cristy Jensen, Lynne Cook, Marshelle Thobaben, David McNeil, John Tarjan
Senate Chairs/Desigenees: Sam Edelman (Chico), Cristy Jensen (Sacramento), Bob Hammaker (Maritime), BJ Moore (Bakersfield), Fred Hornbeck (San Diego), Francis Flores (Pomona), Margaret Blue (Dominquez Hills), Kenneth Fulgham (Humboldt) Maria Viera (Long Beach), Jacqueline Trischman (San Marcos), Michael Botwin (Fresno), Dan Fernandez (Monterey Bay), Lloyd Peake (San Bernardino), Melani Dreisbach (Sonoma), Raymond Garcia (Los Angeles), Caran Colvin (San Francisco), Ron McIntyre (Northridge)
Visitors at Campus Chairs Meeting: Ann Peacock (ASCSU Exec Director), Kathy Kaiser (Faculty Trustee), Keith Boyum

1. Approval of minutes of September 8-10, 2004 Exec committee meeting—deferred
2. Announcements and Reports with Campus Chairs:
   2.1 Chair's report, Welcome
      2.1.1 Chair McNeil hopes to continue to increase communication with the campuses—please use e-mail or call to keep in touch.
2.1.2 He has visited the San Francisco campus, looks forward to visiting other campuses.

2.1.3 The Provosts have been very inclusive of the Senate Chair. There are continuing pulls between campus autonomy and being part of a system of higher education. Exec Vice Chancellor Spence is the interface between the campuses and the Chancellor and Board of Trustees (BOT).

2.1.4 A continuing issue of concern is facilitating graduation to increase “slots” available for new students who otherwise would not have access. The Lower-Division Transfers Pattern Project (LDTP) is only one part of this effort. Year Round Operations (YRO) is not dead. Utilization of classrooms in the summer is a priority. However, it poses many problems of its own. The target which has been talked about is 40% of fall term FTES at urban campuses and 25% at rural campuses. It would be ideal if campuses would have discretion in delivery of summer courses. Two resources for way to facilitate graduation are the December 02 report from the Kegley/Kennedy Task Force on Facilitating Graduation and the ASCSU resolution last year on “sanctionable units.”


2.1.5 ATAC is meeting again and working well. There is interest to use technology to increase student success. Faculty has stressed the need to use technology funds to increase quality as well as “efficiency.” The Chair made an appeal to the campus chairs to monitor how academic technology funds are being disbursed and the extent of faculty involvement in decision-making.

2.1.6 Campus chairs are encouraged to be supportive of student voter registration drives. The ASCSU has expressed support.

2.2 Reports by Campus Chairs on Facilitating Graduation

2.2.1 Many different initiatives/variations of approaches were reported in terms of transfer, summer demand, freshmen year experience, staffing of summer courses, excess unit accumulation, mandatory advising, unit loads, etc.

2.2.2 Campuses are receiving mixed messages on how they should treat students with “excess” units from the CSU, LAO.

2.2.3 Hiring, student retention, faculty health, climate, etc. are all affected by increasing demands placed upon the faculty with insufficient funding.

3. Student Fee Policy—this is a highly politically charged issue. There are problems with developing sufficient information and disseminating it.

4. Times certain:

4.1 Jacqueline Trischman, CSU San Marcos Senate Chair—Michael Moore discussion. Chair Trischman reported on her interactions (and the related sequence of events) with the President of CSU San Marcos. She feels that here was a lot of incomplete, inaccurate information coming from the press. Students and faculty with little knowledge of the
incident were quoted in the press. *The CSU Handbook of Election Issues* (1997) seems to greatly restrict campuses’ ability to host political figures. It and other legal manuals can be found at the following link.  

4.1.1 Trustee Kaiser and others voiced the strong opinion that get out the vote initiatives, political speech can and need to take place on our campuses.

4.1.2 Our Senate is supporting get out the vote efforts across the CSU campuses. There are a variety of efforts ongoing.

4.1.3 Dominquez Hills hosted John Kerry. The visit was co-hosted by an elected official.

4.1.4 Sonoma is active in getting out the vote. There is a competition with Chico.

4.2 Keith Boyum, Lower Division Transfer Project (LDTP) update. Associate Vice Chancellor Boyum reviewed his Senate service. He served both as a member of ASCSU and twice as Senate Chair at Fullerton. This project is a very high priority. Keith and Marshall Cates (CSULA) are directing the effort. Ted Anagnoson (CSULA) (Chair, AA, ASCSU) and Kelley McCoy (CSUCO) are the other individuals most intimately involved with the project. Articulation is particularly important for the CSU. 2/3 of our students are transfers. They often have units from many different institutions. The CO will pay for training, meetings. A website with FAQs and details has been developed and is up. [http://www.calstate.edu/acadaff/ldtp.shtml](http://www.calstate.edu/acadaff/ldtp.shtml) On selecting facilitators: the Executive Committee will name the facilitators in consultation with Academic Affairs. Question: will the facilitators be chosen from among campus representatives? Answer: we have taken an open view. They may or may not be campus representatives. Q: There is a conflict with the IMPAC meeting down the street in November. Which meeting should they attend? A: They are free to go to both if indicated. Q: Are you taking suggestions on facilitators? A: Yes. The Executive Committee will be meeting to name people starting at their meeting tomorrow. Q: Can we propose to add other majors in this year’s "round" of disciplinary meetings? A: Yes, the CO will listen to requests that arise, for example, from the way in which majors were defined; and if suitable will provide funds for additional persons to meet. Note, however, that another "round" of disciplinary meetings are anticipated next year. Q: What about funding to implement these patterns? A: We hope that electronic resources will help us to facilitate advising. Q: How will we communicate/coordinate with the community colleges after we have made progress? A: There are many ways to implement the CAN process. Q: May a campus propose that it be represented in disciplinary discussions from which it is now apparently excluded (where a major is defined somewhat differently)? A: Yes, and if they are added after approval by the CO, the CO will provide travel funds. In closing, please forward potential facilitator names to David McNeil.

Bob Cherny, Caran Colvin: At SFSU there has been training of representatives, other people involved in articulation. They were given a system perspective and training on
CAN, ASSIST, etc. It would be helpful to hold an interim meeting with local community college representatives.

Trustee Kaiser: Sac State, Chico have done training on articulation, the LDTP on their campuses. This may be a good idea for other campuses.

5. System-wide Budget Advisory Committee (SBAC) meeting report
5.1 Funding is still tight.
5.2 Department of Finance is interested in filling nursing shortage—this will require more funding.
5.3 Compensation is a priority. 3.5% for next year is a first step.
5.4 We need baselines for the accountability measures in the Compact.
5.5 Other interest groups such as CSSA and CFA may be able to argue for funds in excess of the Compact even though the CSU has formally committed to support it.
5.6 The Compact is meant to be a floor but may very well become a ceiling.
5.7 Department of Finance will be meeting with David Spence to discuss the above issues.

6. The issue of reducing the general education mandate in Title V to facilitate graduation was brought up by one campus chair.
6.1 Difficult to change a system without lots of political consequences.
6.2 Perhaps would be more fruitful to look at upper-division.

7. Organization of Senate Chair’s meetings—The group may want to appoint someone to facilitate meetings, help develop agendas.

8. Nomination of Faculty Trustee
8.1 A memo was distributed several weeks ago with a December 10 deadline for nominations.
8.2 Campuses may devise another process in addition to the possibility of nomination by petition.”

9. Feedback from campuses regarding student grievances over “academic rights”
9.1 There is a lot of interest at San Francisco but it two almost two weeks to find the policy. The campus is trying to consolidate student grievance procedures.
9.2 The policies were reviewed at Sacramento. Few were aware of a students’ rights statement that was passed in the 90s. It is important that these avenues are available to students and that students are aware of them.
9.3 Sonoma’s policies do not really anticipate this type of grievance. A reporter from the Christian Science Monitor is coming to develop an article on students’ rights nationwide.
9.4 San Bernardino reported a case of litigation, which led to the establishment of an ombudsman.
9.5 Monterey Bay has an ombudsperson. Policies are also needed.
9.6 Fresno students developed a policy that is relatively mild. The grievance procedure is very broad.
9.7 Trustee Kaiser emphasized the need to have these policies posted/accessible from the campus website. Having tenured faculty is not a reason for treating complaints dismissively.
9.8 Past Chair Cherny reported that the concern is that students’ political/religious views be respected and not be the basis for discrimination in evaluation. It is important that we can demonstrate that we have adequate policies to deal with these issues. He expressed gratitude for the good work being done on campuses. The ASCSU resisted any uniform mandates to the campuses. Your good efforts demonstrate how successful it can be to have local campuses develop their own approaches.

10. Next Campus Chairs’ meeting—10:00 to 3:00 on December 2nd.
Note: ASCSU leadership was excused and campus chairs continued meeting at this point.

11. Meeting with Committee Chairs

11.1 Academic Affairs—Ted Anagnoson. Issues we will be discussing:
   11.1.1 SCIGETC
   11.1.2 LDTP—Exec Committee will meet with Cates and Boyum at 10:30
   11.1.3 A Community Service resolution is forthcoming.
   11.1.4 Intra-CSU Transfer—Potential Resolution forthcoming
      11.1.4.1 priority for transfer
      11.1.4.2 intra-CSU articulation
   11.1.5 Intercollegiate athletics postseason participation resolution may be forthcoming.
   11.1.6 CCC’s offering a BA is likely moot.
   11.1.7 Len Mathy has drafted a resolution which would limit teaching through the Extended University
   11.1.8 Are we a set of autonomous campuses or a unified system? AA should look at how we treat remediation.

11.2 Faculty Affairs—Jan Gregory
   11.2.1 Will have a report from Jackie McClain.
   11.2.2 Blaine Wright was going to visit to discuss CMS implementation.
   11.2.3 Background Checks.
   11.2.4 Graduate teaching associates.
   11.2.5 Academic Freedom and Student Rights Resolution forthcoming.
   11.2.6 Off-campus speakers.
   11.2.7 Background checks.
   11.2.8 Faculty Compensation and workload potential resolution (directed towards BOT).
11.2.8.1 Trustee Kaiser argued at CPEC that their methodology should be consistent when looking at executive and faculty compensation.

11.2.8.2 FGA will also take up the issue.

11.3 Fiscal & Governmental Affairs—Hank Reichman
   11.3.1 We are early in the legislative session.
   11.3.2 Will review legislation.
   11.3.3 Susan Meisenhelder (CFA legislative person) will visit.
   11.3.4 SBAC will be discussed.
   11.3.5 We are developing a CSU legislative report.
   11.3.6 CPR will be monitored.
   11.3.7 Compensation and Workload resolution—will meet with FAC at 2:00.
   11.3.8 Cristy Jensen introduced a document on CA higher education priorities and accountability. Nancy Shulock is author. [http://www.csus.edu/ihe/PDFs/Facing%20Reality.pdf](http://www.csus.edu/ihe/PDFs/Facing%20Reality.pdf)

11.4 TEKR—Marvin Klein
   11.4.1 Mary Sandy from CO will visit.
   11.4.2 Differential Fees Resolution (second reading)
      11.4.2.1 Will this deal with the differential or the entire fee?
      11.4.2.2 Is this to be advisory or policy?
      11.4.2.3 Perhaps it will be withdrawn.
   11.4.3 Supervised (directed) teaching
      11.4.3.1 Is it to be done by permanent or adjunct faculty?
      11.4.3.2 FAC will also look at it.
   11.4.4 State high school summit conference co-sponsored by CSU
      11.4.4.1 Do we have funds to send representatives?
      11.4.4.2 Was the faculty involved in this?
      11.4.4.3 We should have an observer to see what legislative implications this initiative has.
   11.4.5 5-year program for teacher credentials (vs. induction programs).

11.5 Standing Committees web pages—need to get up and running


13. ITL (Lynne Cook). There is no money to fill the Director’s slot. The summer institute has been cancelled this year. The small grants are on hold. Exchanges will continue. ITL has taken on service learning (externally funded). Campuses are encouraged to develop regional conferences. We will hold a meeting to reexamine the role, structure, viability of a state-wide ITL. We will also look at alternate funding sources for our activities. We hope for lots of faculty input from the campuses. Former Trustee Goldwhite is donating his time as Faculty Consultant to take on some of the responsibilities of the director. We may want to refer something on faculty roles to FAC. Service learning should also be looked at.
13.1 (McNeil) The provosts seem supportive of ITL. Perhaps it could replace the
discontinued AAHE conference on faculty roles and rewards.
13.2 Some board members were unable to attend the retreat.
13.3 Lorie Roth will be invited to attend Exec Committee to discuss the direction of ITL on
November 10th.

14. LDTP Discussion

14.1 We need to name facilitators soon (the list of representatives is due by October 15th)
14.2 We should involve Marshall in the deliberations. (Friday at 10:30?)
14.3 Perhaps we should develop a list of criteria before tackling the appointments.
   14.3.1 Past involvement/knowledge of systemwide articulation
      14.3.1.1 IMPAC
      14.3.1.2 POL
      14.3.1.3 Discipline Councils
   14.3.2 Knowledge of GE
   14.3.3 Knowledgeable about the curriculum
   14.3.4 As many campuses as possible should have a facilitator
   14.3.5 Personality may be important.
   14.3.6 We might want people familiar with the LTDP (ASCSU members?).
14.4 Is it possible to come to closure with just one meeting? We need to consider the
   perceived legitimacy of the process on the campuses

15. LDTP meeting with Spence, Boyum, Cates to identify facilitators

15.1 We will need a follow-up meeting after the October 15th deadline.
15.2 Marshall Cates has 17 facilitator suggestions based upon past experiences
15.3 People involved in IMPAC will have a special training session on November 13th after
   the IMPAC meeting.
15.4 We hope to have facilitators named from as many campuses as possible to facilitate
   communication—several “small” majors have not had any articulation efforts in the
   past and may offer opportunities to distribute selections.
15.5 There appears to be some prestige associated with being named a facilitator.
15.6 Facilitators will be reimbursed at $750 per meeting. Approx. $500 will be allocated to
   their academic departments to defray expenses. Exec Committee travel will be covered
   by AA.
15.7 We will send a letter, make another phone call to invite CCC observers to our discipline
   discussions.
15.8 We will meet on October 16th in Davis at Cristy’s house starting at 9:30 to continue work
   on facilitator appointments.
16. Science GETC proposal (John Tarjan)—referred to AA
17. IMPAC Leads for Music, Computer Science—deferred pending follow-up by Chair McNeil.
18. OSCAR Advisory Committee member—Ken Nishita appointed.
19. Dissemination of LDTP information—CSU may need to provide additional funding to ASSIST to post and maintain this information
20. Common Course Numbering
   20.1 A discussion including OSCAR, CAN, the Brulte bill, etc. took place.
   20.2 Articulation approaches vary across disciplines.
   20.3 We should not let delays in CAN delay our articulation efforts
21. WASC—item deferred
22. November Plenary
   22.1 The trustee invitee was discussed.
   22.2 Additional speakers:
      22.2.1 Chancellor Reed – time certain, 10:30 am, Friday, November 12
      22.2.2 Legislative guest?
      22.2.3 Faculty Trustee Kaiser
      22.2.4 CFA President Travis
      22.2.5 CSSA Representative
      22.2.6 Director Smith, Systemwide Library Programs