Minutes

Attendees
Barry Pasternack, John Tarjan, Mark Van Selst, Darlene Yee-Melichar, Rochelle Kellner, Marshelle Thobaben

Guests
Ann Peacock, Executive Director, Barbara Swerkes, Gary Reichard, Executive Vice Chancellor and Chief Academic Officer, Keith Boyum, Associate Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs; Margaret Merryfield, Senior Director, Academic Human Resources; Craig Smith, Faculty Trustee

8:30 A.M. Meeting called to order

Meeting with Standing Committee Chairs
1. Reviewed the tentative agenda for the plenary session, which included:
   a. Review of which potential resolutions are likely to be brought forward
   b. Lunch workgroups will include:
      i. Access to Excellence, to be discussed in committee, then at lunch, then jointly drafted at 3PM (Wednesday)
      ii. MBA fee joint resolution creation group (Wednesday)
      iii. LDTP statistics descriptor writing workgroup (Thursday)
   c. Strategies to establish effective faculty oversight of the Ed.Ds were discussed.
   d. Principles and preamble regarding intellectual property paper. CSU is seeking guidance for an IP paper. ASCSU will provide feedback after seeking further input.

Executive Committee
1. Approval of the agenda
2. Approval of the October 12 minutes
3. Travel policy
   a. Senate travel is to be directed to the Executive Director (only) for approval in concordance with CSU policy and procedures.
4. CSU academic technology plan
   a. Some portion of funds will go to transforming course design
   b. Academic technology assessment framework workgroup
      i. Policy recommendations to ATAC/PTSC regarding prioritization of funding
      ii. Chair Pasternack is the faculty representative to this ad hoc workgroup.
      iii. There will be a two-day conference is San Francisco on November 30-December 1.
      iv. Constituency is largely provosts from PTSC and staff from ITAC.
5. December/January coverage
   a. Most of the Executive committee will be available during the winter break.
6. Liaison Reports
   a. Alumni Council
      i. January 12 meeting will be in Long Beach – John Tarjan will attend
      ii. April 27 meeting will be in Sacramento
      iii. April 28 – Legislative Days in Sacramento
   b. ATAC – future meetings were discussed with Executive Vice Chancellor Reichard.
c. CFA
   i. It was discussed which Executive Committee member will attend future meetings
   ii. Darlene Yee-Melichar will send out the assembly meeting report
   iii. Mark Van Selst will attend the November 30 meeting in Sacramento (note: after the
       executive meeting this plan was revised to have Barry Pasternack attend this meeting in lieu
       of Mark Van Selst).

d. CSSA – the audit and budget difficulties were discussed.
e. Facilitating Graduation – The senate response to DWIR report will be presented at the plenary
f. ICAS (math issue)
   i. Many UC students have multiple a-g courses; CSU students have fewer a-g courses
   ii. Ought the CSU require a 4th year math in High School?
   iii. The next ICAS meeting is Wednesday, December 5. Marshelle Thobaben will attend for
       Mark Van Selst.
g. LDTP Advisory Committee – Concerns about the project future were discussed.
h. GE Advisory Board
   i. The proposed revision of EO 595 will be vetted through Academic Affairs.

7. Appointment to the Faculty Advisory Committee – Margaret Merryfield
   a. The intent from the Chancellor’s Office was to get a faculty feedback focus group via direct
      solicitation of faculty through the Provosts. ASCSU concerns about the function of this
      committee as well as the appointment process were shared. Executive Committee’s
      recommendation was for the Chancellor’s Office to not go forward with this committee.

8. Committee liaison responsibilities
   a. What are the responsibilities of the liaisons? Essentially, the liaison has no or little formal
      responsibilities to the committee other than to the Executive Committee. The primary use for the
      liaison is when the committee is asking for collaboration or feedback for an intended course of
      action from the Executive Committee.

9. Campus Senate Chairs – Senators Yee, Thobaben, and Kellner will be present at their meeting on
   December 6th. Senators Tarjan and Van Selst will also try to be present.

10. CSU Doctorate in Education Committee – Discussed with EVC Reichard (see below)

11. International Education – Resolution from Faculty Affairs Committee

12. Logistics for Senator Scott’s visit was discussed

13. Teaching Effectiveness Workgroup – It was decided that the ASCSU representatives will not
    participate in the on-line seminar due to its cost and perceived value.


15. Possible Bylaws changes were discussed. A further discussion will be held in January.

16. Review guidelines and responsibilities for ASCUS Exec and Committees – deferred to next meeting

17. Summer expectations for Executive and Standing Committee Chairs – deferred to next meeting

18. It was noted that the appropriate procedures for the naming of facilities at CSU Fresno and San Diego
    State University were followed.

19. Equity scorecard

20. Transforming Course Design – a brief update was given on the status of this project.

21. Plenary invitees for January and/or March: Richard West/Patrick Lenz/Michael
    Brown/Assemblyman Portantino.

**Executive Committee meeting with Trustee Smith**

We discussed the possibility that the institutions used for the CPEC comparison institutions may need to
be revised; that executive compensation and faculty compensation assessment ought to be treated equally
by CPEC and other entities; and the general need for faculty to be included in decisions and planning
regarding faculty initiatives. It was reported that the state of California will have a reduced budget for
next year and this could have a negative effect on the CSU.

Feedback provided to Trustee Smith regarding *Access to Excellence* is that it needs prioritization of
quality and that workload inequities need to be addressed.
Feedback provided to Trustee Smith regarding MBA fees were that faculty have mixed responses to the proposal and that instituting such a fee is a strong departure from prior CSU philosophy and practice.

There was a general discussion of a follow-up to votes of no confidence and processes to handle such campus dissatisfaction.

**Executive Committee meeting with Executive Vice Chancellor Reichard**
The constituency, role, and nature for implementation of a Doctoral Advisory Committee for the Ed.D was discussed and resolved.

The shared governance implication of the direct appointment of the faculty to the Faculty Advisory Committee proposed by the Public Affairs office in the Chancellor’s Office was discussed. This was discussed when the Executive Committee met with Margaret Merryfield.

The Education Policy Committee of the BOT will receive an update of the voluntary system of accountability (VSA). The CSU “public good” optional page proposed for the VSA and other elements will be part of the report. Staff working with Senator Scott to develop SB325 (state accountability legislation) have indicated that the level of accountability to the state ought to be segmental rather than institutional. The legislation as currently proposed seems agreeable to the CSU Chancellor’s Office.

Keith Boyum reported on the status of LDTP. A discussion of transfer, articulation, and curriculum followed.

The upcoming Academic Council meeting will include major substantive discussions focused on budget considerations, enrollment management, and transfer/articulation issues.

We discussed planning for academic technology implementation and feedback for *Access to Excellence*.

We discussed the growth of career technical education courses in the high school curriculum qualified for university preparatory courses (a-g sequence). This led to the Executive Committee expressing its concern that Admissions Advisory has not met since spring/07.

A CSU professional doctorate in Audiology is needed by the state; progress toward joint doctorate in Audiology degree has slowed considerably.