Executive Committee Minutes for November 10, 11, 12, 2004

Wednesday, November 10, 8:30 am – 10:00 am, with standing committee chairs, Munitz room
Wednesday, November 10, 10:00 am – 5:00 pm, Munitz room
Thursday, November 11, 8:30 am – 9:45 am: Executive Committee, Munitz room
Friday, November 12, 8:00 pm – 8:30 pm, Munitz Room

Attendees at Wednesday Meeting: David McNeil, John Tarjan, Lynne Cook. Standing Committee Chairs: Marvin Klein, Ted Anagnoson, Hank Reichman, Jan Gregory
Visitors: Kathy Kaiser (Faculty Trustee), Ann Peacock (Executive Director)

Attendees at Thursday, Friday meetings: David McNeil, John Tarjan, Cristy Jensen, Marshelle Thobaben, Lynne Cook (Thursday only)
Visitors: Kathy Kaiser (Faculty Trustee), Ann Peacock (Executive Director)

1. The minutes of September 8, 9, 10 and October 7, 8 were approved.
2. Announcements and Reports:
   a. LDTP
      i. There is some confusion over the purpose of the LDTP and its relationship to IMPAC. The CCs have difficulty establishing prerequisites for courses. If the CSU requires a prerequisite, then the CCs can also require the prerequisite otherwise the CCs need to justify the prerequisite via a lengthy and costly process of assessment. This should be brought up at the facilitators meeting on Saturday. Perhaps a lot of faculty concerns are inevitable as a reaction to change.
      ii. Care should be taken to be sure there are clear answers to technical questions such as translating between semester and quarter units.
      iii. There may be some confusion about the role of ASCSU. ExComm’s role should be restricted to setting policy and offering advice rather than involvement in implementation.
   b. Academic Affairs (Anagnoson)
      i. Resolution on SCIGETC
      ii. Resolution on The Role of Remediation in the CSU—EAP will reduce the need for remediation but not eliminate it.
      iii. Resolution on Participation in Post-Season Athletic Tournaments—the issue is an important one that requires attention even if a resolution in the current form is not passed.
      iv. Double-counting of American institutions, other courses within GE.
   c. Faculty Affairs (Gregory)
i. Resolution reaffirming the principle of academic freedom.
ii. Potential resolution on student academic freedom.
iii. Service of lecturer faculty on campus senates.
iv. Resolution on CMS—there is a lot of interest in this issue from a faculty, fiscal point of view.
vi. Visitors: Blaine Wright (representing David Ernst), Jackie McClain, Marcus Harvey (from AAUP).
d. TEKR (Klein)
i. Would like withdraw the resolution on allocation of fee differentials.
ii. CTC liaison report.
iii. Supervision of student teaching.
iv. 5th year program/induction.
v. CSET, its impact on CSU teacher education enrollments.
e. Fiscal & Governmental Affairs (Reichman)
i. The legislative, budget cycle is just beginning.
ii. Visitor: Patrick Lenz.
iii. The CMS resolution from FAC will be discussed.
iv. Election-related issues/policies from the CO—perhaps a resolution in support, drawing attention to the new policies would be appropriate.
v. Perhaps a resolution recognizing and commending CFA, faculty, CSSA roles in voter resolution efforts.
f. Chair’s report (McNeil)
i. A complete chair’s report is posted on the Senate website.
g. GEAC
i. Will be meeting on Dec. 2
ii. SCIGETC” overview—high unit lower-division preparation majors may be able to postpone one humanities and/or one social science course until after transfer under the IGETC pattern. Students using Area-Breadth may also ask for postponement of Area.

3. Presentations
a. David Spence was called to a meeting dealing with EAP in Washington, D.C., will be with us for the plenary, to meet with David McNeil on Wednesday.
b. Lorie Roth
i. Would like to bring Gordon Smith to meet with the executive committee for a presentation on information competency—ETS is developing a diagnostic test that is comprehensive, involves a much more effective strategy (as opposed to earlier multiple-choice instruments). (Perhaps 3:00 on December 2nd to possibly include local chairs?)
ii. Lorie and ITL Co-Chair Cook discussed the direction, vision, functioning, and structure of ITL. They addressed potential ideas to inform ITL mission reassessment:
   1. Link development to all faculty roles as opposed to just teaching
   2. Showcase of existing programs
   3. Freshman year experience
4. Focus on junior faculty
5. Focus on rejuvenating senior faculty
6. Centralized resources that can be shared
7. AAHE-type conference on faculty roles and rewards
8. How do we get different faculty involved in faculty development?

iii. Perhaps we could have a luncheon meeting in December to get broader senate input on these issues
c. Marcus Harvey (AAUP—during Wednesday lunch) gave a presentation on the history and mission of AAUP, the “student bill of rights” and related issues.

4. Discussion Items:
   a. Voter Registration—the role of faculty as well as students in getting out the vote, registering voters should be highlighted when publicizing these efforts.
   b. Independent, applied doctorates
      i. Needed in audiology, physical therapy, other areas as the professional degree to meet accreditation standards.
      ii. Might be offered on a self-support basis.
         1. forgivable loan candidates?
         2. Buying out time
      iii. UC is opposed but their opposition may not be sustainable in the face of state needs.
      iv. Will supplemental funding be associated with authorization?
      v. December 05 will be the end of the “grandfather” period to allow the master’s degree for certification in audiology.
      vi. Physical therapy may follow this pattern.
      vii. We should request information on applied doctoral degree needs.
   c. Board Agenda
      i. The Board legislative program is still being developed.
      ii. Will a long term fee policy be addressed?
      iii. Executive Committee members will review carefully to see if there are items requiring a statement from the faculty.
   d. Faculty appointments
      i. Early Assessment Program (EAP) Advisory Committee—Jim Wheeler (for Math), Mark Thompson (for English) have accepted appointment.
      ii. General Education Advisory Committee, Course Review Subcommittee—Luis Arroyo has accepted appointment.
      iii. Commission on Extended Education—one vacancy remains.
   e. Accountability Area 10: Quality of post-baccalaureate, graduate programs report to be developed, task force to be established
      i. To be referred to TEKR (because of EdD implications), Academic Affairs Committee.
      ii. Perhaps we need to appoint a consultant from one of the affected areas to bring perspective to the discussion.
   f. Executive committee listserv, e-mail communications were discussed.
g. Lower-Division Transfer Patterns (LDTP) Project
   i. CC observers were discussed.
ii. David McNeil will attend the December 4th meeting. John Tarjan will attend the January 22nd meeting at LAX.

iii. The Executive Committee will participate as observers, resources rather than assume a leadership role.

h. CSSA and Alumni Council Meetings
  i. January 29th Alumni Council in Bakersfield—Jackie Kegley will represent the Executive Committee.
  ii. We cannot attend the CSSA meeting on Nov. 13th
  iii. David McNeil will attend the CSSA December meeting at Monterey Bay.
  iv. Lynne Cook will attend the CSSA January meeting at San Diego.
  v. CHESS Enrollment Management Panel on the 12th of February in Sacramento—David McNeil will participate.

i. ATAC—has met. Provost McNall and Senator Kegley are chairing workgroups that will focus on specific ATAC initiatives. A conference is being planned to present the findings of the work groups.

j. Trustee nominating committee selection process.
  i. We will have elections for the committee at 1:30 tomorrow.
  ii. Procedures were reviewed.

k. We will go back to an oral roll call at the start of plenary sessions.

l. We need to find out where the names for the sustainability committee are (students, outside experts).

m. Advising—reaction to presentations at the plenary.
  i. What is meant by “adjustments to workload?”
  ii. Will additional resources be committed to increase the availability, quality of advising?
  iii. Perhaps a joint referral to AA and FA for December would be in order. Perhaps a CO representative could come to address a joint portion of the meeting.
  iv. Not all faculty are equally good/attuned to advising.
  v. How do we recognize this part of the workload? It should be reflected in lower teaching loads/SFRs but it is unclear that this is the CO intent.
  vi. Advising should be more than assuring students are taking the “right” classes.

n. Outside employment (update from Friday afternoon task force).
  i. A brochure is being developed by the task force.
  ii. The tri-partite approach may not ever lead to a satisfactory conclusion.
  iii. Legislation may be sought. Bargaining on the issue may take place.
  iv. A referral was sent to David McNeil for possible referral to Faculty Affairs. Chair Gregory was cc’ed.