Executive Committee Minutes for May, 4, 5, 6, 2005

May 4, 8:30 am–10:00 am: Executive Committee with committee chairs, Munitz Room
May 4, 10:00 am – 5:00 pm: Executive Committee, Munitz Room
May 5, 8:30 am – 9:45 am: Executive Committee, Munitz Room
Monday, May 9, 2:00 pm-3:00 pm: Executive Committee with the Chancellor and Vice Chancellors, Room 610

Attendees: Cristy Jensen, Marshelle Thobaben, David McNeil, John Tarjan, Bob Cherny, Lynne Cook
Visitors: Ann Peacock (ASCSU Exec. Dir.), Keith Boyum (Assoc. VC), David Spence (EVC), Faculty Trustee Kathy Kaiser
Standing Committee Chairs: Jan Gregory (FA), Ted Anagnoson (AA), Hank Reichman (FGA), Marvin Klein (TEKR)

1) The agenda was approved as amended.

2) The minutes of the April 7, 8 Executive Committee meeting were approved.

3) Announcements and Reports:
   a) Chair’s report
      i) The Chair gave an overview of the IMPAC meeting last Saturday.
         (1) There are many CCC concerns.
         (2) We have a lot of work ahead of us in intersegmental relations.
         (3) We have a lot of work ahead of us this summer for LDTP, course numbering.
      ii) I have concerns about the potential reintroduction of SB 5.
         (1) We need to be well prepared to testify on short notice—unfortunately there was inadequate time for ASCSU, CFA testimony at the past hearing.
         (2) Perhaps we could have a one sheet handout with all campus URLs to be handed out.

4) Reports by Standing Committee Chairs
   a) Academic Affairs—Ted Anagnoson
      i) Second Reading Items
         (1) Remedial Education
         (2) Athletics
         (3) New SAT
      ii) New Resolutions
         (1) CSU Transfer Articulation Procedures—will ask for a waiver
         (2) Course Repeats?
         (3) General Education? (perhaps just a heads up for campuses)
            (a) Concerns were expressed about the genesis of this initiative, whether something would be taken up by the Board, CO.
            (b) Perhaps we should be proactive on this issue.
            (c) This, along with many other items dealing with facilitating graduation is on the Board agenda for next week.
(d) Perhaps we should tie our approach to GE to our report on the baccalaureate degree.
(e) Anything dealing with fundamental changes to GE should come from AA rather than GEAC.
(f) The facilitating graduation Board items seem to be moving along very quickly.

b) Faculty Affairs—Jan Gregory
   i) First Reading--Waivers
      (1) Salary Statement
      (2) Merit Pay
      (3) FERP
      (4) Access to Instructional Technology for Disabled Students
   ii) Second Reading
      (1) Expert Banks
   iii) Other Issues
      (1) Textbook Issues—likely to be carried over to next year
      (2) AB 992—Surveillance of students

c) Fiscal & Governmental Affairs—Hank Reichman
   i) Misc. Issues to be carried over
      (1) AB 992—not ready to draft anything yet
      (2) Student code of conduct
      (3) USA PATRIOT Act
      (4) Post 9-11 climate
   ii) First Readings—Waivers
      (1) Budget priorities—will likely mirror last year’s language
      (2) Co-sponsor salary statement with FA?
      (3) “Living within our Means” Proposition?
   iii) Telephone meeting with Wess Larson and Patrick Lenz
   iv) We should probably have a liaison to meet with CSU, CFP lobbyists. Several options for facilitating our interaction with the legislature, governor were discussed.

d) TEKR—Marvin Klein
   i) Will review 18 responses from our campus survey on subject matter/teacher education collaboration.
   ii) Have a second reading item on TRIO programs.
   iii) We will review issues surrounding textbooks—possible resolution.
   iv) We will revisit SB 81, implementation of ITP.
   v) Lynne Cook—there may be dramatic changes to accreditation processes in the offing. There are broader implications for faculty prerogative. This will be developing in the summer. The new Executive Committee should stay on top of this issue this summer.

e) There will be a task force on student discipline/conduct meeting at 4:30 consisting of members of the Executive Committee, other committees with Allison Jones in the Munitz Room.
5) Meeting with David Spence, Executive Vice Chancellor & Keith Boyum, Associate Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs
   a) Dr. Spence will be attending the July Board meeting.
   b) LDTP
      i) Senate is concerned over the faculty work needed to be done this summer.
      ii) This year—33 disciplines in 30 faculty groups were completed.
      iii) The smaller majors on fewer campuses that are meeting next year should have an easier time. The program may not wish to include majors that have very low number of transfer students.
      iv) We need to pay attention to single subject prep/integrated curriculum in LDTP since the deadline is fast approaching. Assumptions about FTES should be examined during the process. Impressions about the numbers of new enrollments may be inflated. We need to pay attention to single subject prep/integrated curriculum in LDTP since the deadline is fast approaching. Assumptions about FTES should be examined during the process. Impressions about the numbers of new enrollments may be inflated. We need to pay attention to single subject prep/integrated curriculum in LDTP since the deadline is fast approaching. Assumptions about FTES should be examined during the process. Impressions about the numbers of new enrollments may be inflated.
      v) Deciding who is invited to LDTP when dealing with teacher preparation may be an issue.
   vi) Course numbering
      (1) We need to support the development of descriptors, establishment of a numbering system, course reviews
      (2) We will look at the feasibility of using staff to do preliminary review of disciplinary courses.
   vii) McNeil—the timing for this year is short. Boyum—we need to sit down and do some planning. The draft MOU between CCC and CSU needs to be reviewed by the Senate leadership. The draft will be available during this plenary session. We will meet close to 2:30 on Friday.
   c) The issue of faculty representation on AA search committees was raised. Dr. Boyum is interested in suggestions.
   d) We will stay in contact over the summer with AA.
   e) Facilitating Graduation Items on BOT Agenda
      i) The Senate needs to explain the items to campus senates.
      ii) This will go out to campuses in memorandum form. The Senate should work with AA in drafting the memorandum.
      iii) Boyum anticipates accreditation-style visits to assist campuses to examine their practices rather than 22-point reports addressing each issue.
      iv) Dr. Spence addressed the issue of reduction of GE.
         (1) Is interested in double-counting GE in high unit majors.
         (2) Campus requirements in excess of EO requirements should be looked at.
      v) If GE is opened up, perhaps American Institutions should be explored.
      vi) We should always keep in mind that there are many factors, which result in excess units (full-time loads for financial aid, etc.).
f) SB 724—is in suspense, will likely emerge from Appropriations Committee. The CSU has developed some good support materials. There will likely be amendments to the bill limiting it to EdD, audiology, physical therapy.

6) Old Business:
   a) Executive Vice Chancellor commendation—wording was perfected.
   b) ATAC appointments—discipline groups. Possible faculty groups were discussed including the GE Course Review Subcommittee and the Engineering Council.
   c) SB 5 was discussed. Morrow intends to reintroduce the bill.
   d) Lynne Cook gave an update on the issue of Math/Science education. The CSU is being hurt in funding, enrollments and corporate contributions under the Governor’s Compact and the reassignment of math/science education to the UC. The CSU may put in a proposal to reconsider the assignment.
   e) Trustee visit to plenary—Trustee Guzman Moore will be unable to attend this plenary.

7) New Business:
   a) FGA is considering a second lobby day to be attended by FGA Committee members and Executive Committee members in June.
   b) Bob Cherny is drafting a letter to the Chronicle to be signed by the current, past chairs regarding David Horowitz, his efforts.
   c) Facilitating graduation
      i) The committee reviewed the Board item dealing with campus plans for facilitating graduation.
      ii) Concerns were expressed about the lack of express recognition of the role of faculty in making curricular decisions.
      iii) Perhaps we could mention the need for a meeting with senate chairs, provosts on these items to AA staff again.
      iv) Perhaps we could develop a “guide” to these items, issues related to shared governance, to be distributed to campus chairs.
   d) ICAS transfer document—ASCSU Executive Committee comments were forwarded to ICAS. We expect a revised document to come forward next week.
   e) January 2006 Plenary date change—announcement. The date has been pushed back a week.
   f) Title 5 update—student discipline. Allison Jones met with the committee and received comments on the Trustee agenda item. The document is being revised.
   g) Chair appointment update (12 month appointment)—perhaps Jackie McClain and John Travis could meet to discuss this. The executive committee drafted a letter recommending the 12-month appointment and sent it to both individuals. We will request that the chair be appointed as a 12-month department chair.
   h) ITP Implementation—Lynne Cook is appointed as lead faculty for LDTP teacher preparation.
   i) SB 724 Mentions in ASCSU Notes—the committee reviewed excerpts from the newsletter and Executive Committee minutes that were distributed in relation to the debate over authorization for independent doctorates. The comments of the
Chancellor from the plenary were deemed to be the most prejudicial for our case for authorization. They centered on additional resources required.
j) Faculty Hiring Post Prop 209—there was discussion about the impact of Prop 209 on faculty diversity.
k) General Education—we discussed item 2 from the list of suggestions to facilitate progress to degree on the Educational Policies Committee.
l) Faculty Trustee Kaiser gave a report on the Trustee confirmation hearings that were held yesterday in Sacramento. The trustees were charged with maintaining an independent voice.