Executive Committee Minutes for March 8-9, 2006

Wednesday, March 8, 8:30 am: Exec committee with Standing Committee Chairs, Munitz Room
Wednesday, March 8, 10:15 am– 5:00 pm: Exec committee, Munitz Room


Visitors: Craig Smith (Faculty Trustee), Ann Peacock (ASCSU Executive Director), Gary Reichard (Executive Vice Chancellor), Regan Caruthers (Academic Technology), Gerard Hanley (Academic Technology)

Exec Committee meeting with Standing Committee Chairs

1) Reminders
   a) Socials—AA will host in March, and TEKR will host in May

2) Committee reports
   a) TEKR (Jim Wheeler)
      i) Nancy Hunt will be giving a report from the CSU Teacher Education Task Force
      ii) We will have a second reading of our pre, in-service resolution.
      iii) We are following some legislation.
          (1) Mandating remediation only take place at CCCs
      iv) We are looking at teacher preparation effectiveness.
      v) We are looking at the CSET requirement for entering credential programs. We are considering whether and how to communicate our advice to the Chancellor.

   b) FGA (Tom Krabacher)
      i) We are working on the following resolutions:
         (1) Faculty salary structure
         (2) Commendation of Jason Murphy
         (3) With Academic Affairs on Morrow Bill (SB 1412)
         (4) Flexibility in payback of missed enrollment target money by campuses.
      ii) We will review active legislation—a summary handout was distributed in the meeting.
iii) We will revise the campus budget survey for this spring.
iv) We will be planning for Leg Days and the reception for the Legislative staff award.

c) Faculty Affairs (Jan Gregory)
i) We are working on the following resolutions:
(1) The Academic Conference
(2) Timely Support for Lecturers
(3) Language on Textbook Prices
(4) Resolution on non-CSU public safety officers
(5) Faculty workload
ii) Vice Chancellor Jackie McClain will meet with us
iii) We are looking at junior faculty issues and salary issues.

d) Academic Affairs (Mark Van Selst)
i) Will discuss AB 2053 (remediation to CCC) with TEKR
ii) We will discuss SB 1412 with FGA
iii) E-text resolution second reading
iv) CSU fee structure
v) Discussion of registration priorities
vi) Doctorate of Audiology
vii) Faculty Workload
viii) Revision of the 21st Century Report
ix) Resolution on the high school a-g life science requirement
x) Consideration of the implications of proposed Arizona law allowing students to opt out of “objectionable” assignments
xi) A bill requiring CSU, UC, and the Community Colleges to have a common General Education package (AB 2168)
xii) The EdD Task Force will meet with AA and TEKR at 4:00 today.

3) Announcements
a) The Senate will welcome EVC Gary Reichard tomorrow at 9:00, with coffee and pastries available.
b) The resolution on the constitutional amendment dealing with Senate representation has been revised

4) Recognition letters—committee chairs were encouraged to provide recognition letters to their committee members.

Time Certain: 9:30 am, Gary Reichard

• Standing Committee chairs gave an overview of the role and function of their committees.

• Dr. Reichard expressed his support for shared governance.

**Exec Committee Meeting**

1) The agenda was approved as amended.

2) The minutes of February 10 Executive Committee meeting were approved.

3) Time Certain: 10:30 am, Regan Caruthers, Director of Communications and Business Development
• Update given on selective technology initiatives.
• There will be a trial run of links to math success web sites on MySpace.com
• Update given of other ATS projects

4) Time Certain: 1:30 pm, David Ernst, CIO and Assistant Vice Chancellor and Gerard Hanley (Academic Technology)

• There is a desire to educate the Board of Trustees on the system approach to technology.
• Our approach to technology planning is somewhat unique. We have a results orientation. We involved faculty and students in planning. We are forward-looking.
• Faculty reactions to the presentation were shared. We hope that the need for more infrastructure for students and faculty will be communicated.

5) Senate Budget update—if we continue to monitor and control costs, we expect to be within our budget this year.

6) Liaison Reports

a) Academic Council (Thobaben)
   i) This group is the Provosts/Academic Vice Presidents of Academic Affairs
   ii) Executive Vice Chancellor Reichard:
       • The format of the Academic Council meetings may change in the future to provide more time for provosts to share views on significant academic topics. It is also possible that the length of the meeting will be increased.
       • The joint meeting with campus senate chairs and the Executive Committee last fall on the graduation initiatives was very valuable.

b) Alumni Council (Jensen)
   i) Jensen will attend with Krabacher in Sacramento in April.

c) ATAC (Jensen/Thobaben)
   i) There was a discussion with Executive Vice Chancellor Reichard dealing with the effectiveness of approaches to setting technology policies and priorities.

d) Campus Senate Chairs (Jensen)
   i) The campus senate Chairs are focusing a lot of attention on compensation and attendant issues. The chairs hope to utilize their collective voice on common interests to a greater extent in the future. The compensation issue (junior faculty retention) is one such issue.
   ii) Craig Smith (faculty trustee) will attend
   iii) EVC Reichard was extended an invitation
   iv) Jo Service will join the group for lunch
v) EVC Reichard suggested that the joint senate chairs/provosts meeting that occurred in October was a success and that at some point in the future, we should have another such meeting.

e) CFA (McNeil, Thobaben)

i) A member of the Senate Executive committee tries to attend the CFA Board meeting when possible. In turn, we invite John Travis (CFA President) to meet with us when his schedule allows. Communication between ASCSU and CFA is generally valuable.

ii) David McNeil attended the last CFA meeting. He updated CFA on senate issues and heard a report on the status of collective bargaining and other CFA-related issues. Compensation and recruiting are a high priority. There was concern over the impact of new EdD programs on existing programs.

iii) Hank Reichman gave an update on the progress of faculty contract bargaining.

f) CSSA (Jensen/Reichman)

i) Chair Thobaben gave an overview of the structure of CSSA meetings. The Executive Committee also meets with CSSA’s leadership just before the CSU Board of Trustees meetings.

ii) Hank Reichman attended the last meeting and reported on the CSSA agenda, which includes the following issues:

(1) The fact that students pay more for parking than faculty or staff

(2) Fee increases.

(3) Student involvement in things like graduation initiatives.

g) EdD Oversight Committee (Jensen)

i) David McNeil gave an overview of the process which led to the development of principles to guide the development of EdD programs.

ii) Cristy Jensen discussed the operation of the new EdD oversight committee. There is a constant tension between coordination needs and desired flexibility on the campuses. Quality considerations are foremost in people’s minds.

iii) The committee will suggest changes to Title 5 language.

iv) Priority Issues

(1) Governance structures (Representation of faculty vs. partners—CCC, school districts on committees and other groups)

(2) Faculty qualifications

(3) Content of curriculum

(4) Resources

v) There is a desire to clarify what issues will need ASCSU advice and the
appropriate mechanism for receiving this advice in a timely manner.

h) GE (Tarjan)
   i) The campus surveys are coming in.
   ii) SciGETC is on hold.
   iii) The course review cycle has been completed—about 1400 courses were reviewed, including about half of the existing A2 (English composition) courses.

i) Facilitating Graduation – Accreditation-style visits (Anagnoson)
   i) The schedule of visits was reviewed. Six campuses will be visited this semester. Northridge will be visited this month as a pilot. There will be a large delegation on the initial visit.
   ii) ICC—John Tarjan will be involved in the regional visits on transfer. The first meeting will be at Mendocino College

j) ICAS
   i) UC will chair next year.
   ii) Ralph Wolf (WASC) was unable to meet with the group on articulation issues. We will invite him to the June meeting.

k) LDTP Advisory Committee (Tarjan)
   i) The results of the full committee meeting (March 3) and LDTP Executive Committee (March 7) were reported.
   ii) The following issues were referred to the ASCSU Executive Committee for their consideration/action.
      1) Sending a letter to campus senate chairs with a list of disciplines on that campus that have not voted.
      2) Have a discipline leader contact people on the campus departments who have not responded. A new person should be named if the current discipline facilitator cannot follow up.
      3) Several disciplines in the first wave have still not sent forward descriptors for ratification. Was a committee assigned to do this? Should a new facilitator be named?
      4) We need to begin to plan for recruitment of review coordinators and reviewers.
      5) The role of the review coordinators should be discussed. It will be a major responsibility. Executive Committee needs to discuss. Recommendations?
I) PTSC (Thobaben)

i) There is some sentiment that this group may be redundant with ATAC.

ii) Cristy Jensen reported a meeting that she had with CSU and Sacramento legislative staff on use of technology to facilitate course offerings to larger audiences/more efficiently.

3) Faculty Trustee Report (Craig Smith)

a) He has been to 19 campuses. He reviewed his visits for the committee.

b) The Monterey Bay presidential search is nearing completion.

c) He has met with legislators on the Morrow Bill and on the issue of the use of state computers to download pornography.

d) BOT Trustees’ Agenda—Trustee Smith highlighted some of the items.

i) Technology,

ii) Veterans Day – now required to be on a fixed day by State law.

iii) There will be quite a bit of turnover on the Board in the next few months.

7) 2006-07 meeting dates—the resolution was reviewed. There were no proposed changes.

8) Appointments: Oscar Benavides was appointed to the LMS Steering Committee

9) AB 2168

a) Mary Gill communicated with the three segment senate chairs.

b) CO Academic Affairs is discussing the bill. It may be best not to have different voices dealing with this bill.

c) John and Marshelle, Cristy and Tom Krabacher will try to talk with Mary Gill in Sacramento.

10) Housing Task Force—to be discussed in agenda setting with the administration on Friday.

11) May Plenary Committee/Plenary meeting schedule for May

a) We discussed potential visitors

i) Chancellor

ii) Trustee

iii) Others