1. Approval of Agenda—as amended
2. Approval of Minutes of June 8 ExComm (deferred)
3. Reports
   a. Marshelle Thobaben attended the Academic Council
      i. Provosts want to have the authority to appoint the departmental representatives to system discipline meetings on curricular patterns.
      ii. McNeil and Spence need to draft a letter which will indicate that faculty will select the representatives to these meetings, subject to Provost approval.
      iii. Senate Chairs need to be kept abreast of the progress of this initiative.
      iv. David McNeil and Ted Anagnoson will attend the planning, orientation sessions.
   b. Marshelle attended the Provost’s Technology Steering Committee
      i. The provosts believe that the Senate/ExComm has approved the ATAC and that the group would report to provosts.
      ii. Are moving ahead with the three projects chosen from the original group with minimal input from Academic Affairs, provosts, faculty. It was originally decided to begin with low cost, high potential impact projects. It appears that ATAC has not met—this is the group with broad representation (administration, students, faculty) that was supposed to be the policy group. This provost’s group was to be the implanting group. David Ernst is the administrator in charge of this initiative.
      iii. We need to find a way to increase faculty input in technology issues.
      iv. Can we get a summary of the budget and projects? Gerry Hanley should be asked.
      v. Given the concerns of the legislature, etc. we need to be careful to have transparency in technology projects.
      vi. The Senate is invited to the Chancellor’s reception on November 10th.
   c. Lynne attended Alumni Council
      i. Some debate over by-law changes
      ii. Richard West indicated that the staff people need to discuss these changes with their provosts and presidents.
iii. A large issue is campus logo credit cards.
d. Lynne attended CSSA
   i. Jeffrey Obayashi is our new liaison.
   ii. Manolo Platin was elected president.
e. Lynne—the state ed board will accept “highly qualified“ determinations from other states. (Even though it may be easier to accomplish in other states). However, they may still need to be certified to teach.
f. Lynne—there will be revisions to the California accreditation process for teacher education programs.
   i. A non-faculty person was appointed as a subject matter representative to the accreditation study group (established by CTC).
   ii. We need a faculty member as the second representative. (Someone from English or social science may be appropriate).
g. Cristy has met with the CSSA lobbying person.
   i. We need to decide whether to support a 25% or a 33% target for student-borne college costs.
   ii. They would like to share some scenario data with the Senate.
   iii. Perhaps we could reinstitute the working group on student fees. This group may want to draft a resolution before the September Board meeting. McGough, Menon, Jensen, Pasternack were members.
   iv. We have already taken a position supporting 25% in the Senate.
   v. Menon, Snyder, Jensen, Pasternack, Cherny, Reichman and Anagnoson will constitute the new working group.
   vi. The working group should also deal with a graduate fee differential.

4. Committee Appointments
   a. Academic Affairs—Ted Anagnoson, Chair
      i. Vice Chair—Mark Van Selst
      ii. Vice Chair—Rochelle Kellner
      iii. Vince Buck
      iv. Marshall Cates
      v. Louis Arroyo
      vi. Jacinta Amaral
      vii. Ken Nishita
      viii. Tapie Rohm
      ix. Dick Montanari
      x. Mark Thompson
      xi. Greg Cho
      xii. Len Mathy
      xiii. John Shields
      xiv. ExComm Liaison—John Tarjan
   b. Faculty Affairs—Jan Gregory, Chair
      i. Vice Chair—Rudy Vanterpool
      ii. Tom Warschauer
      iii. Paul Persons
      iv. Manzar Foroohar
      v. Romey Sabalius
      vi. Richard Serpe
      vii. Michael Reagan
      viii. Jackie Kegley
ix. Sherm Sowby
x. Louise Timmer
xi. ExComm Liaison—Marshelle Thobaben

c. Fiscal & Governmental Affairs—Hank Reichman, Chair
   i. Vice Chair—David Hood
   ii. Myron Hood
   iii. Buckley Barrett
   iv. Tom Krabacher
   v. Susan McKillop
   vi. Unny Menon
   vii. Denis Muraoka
   viii. Paul O’Brien
   ix. Barry Pasternack
   x. Ann Morgan
   xi. ExComm Liaison—Cristy Jensen

d. TEKR—Marvin Klein, Chair
   i. Vice Chair—Gayle Hutchinson
   ii. Craig Smith
   iii. Nancy Hunt
   iv. Jim Wheeler
   v. Cal Caplan
   vi. Sam Edelman
   vii. Lillian Vega-Castaneda
   viii. Barbara Swerkes
   ix. ExComm Liaison—Lynne Cook

e. Student members of committees?

5. Budget Update
   a. Not much new information
   b. The Democrats want to restore higher education funds.
   c. Rumor: we may increase targets to selected campuses by 2%


7. Chancellor’s Response Concerning Administrative Searches
   a. Does the Chancellor’s response preclude any changes in search procedures?
   b. Perhaps we can directly ask.

8. Cates—Lower-Division Transfer Projects
   a. Marshall was hired by Academic Affairs to coordinate the 45/15 projects (developing transfer patterns via faculty meetings).
   b. The Senate needs to maintain joint control of the project with Academic Affairs.
   c. The draft letter to the provosts, local senate chairs was edited.
   d. It was agreed that the Academic Affairs Committee will be the main faculty oversight body from the Senate in our meeting in May.
   e. Marshall indicated that the provosts have seen a draft of this memo. This is roughly the 8th draft of this memo.
   g. We will need to pass around our comments on this draft and solicit input prior to sending it to the campuses.
   h. The Senate should appoint the lead faculty members.
      i. We already have faculty who are experienced in doing this.
ii. We would like ExComm to recommend, Spence to appoint.
i. In the May meeting we thought that there would be 20 majors and that liberal studies would be added (perhaps already completed).
j. Is it appropriate to appoint leaders rather than elect them?
k. David McNeil and Ted Anagnoson should be at the organizational meeting.
l. The discipline leaders should be looked upon more as facilitators. There should be a job description for these individuals.
m. ExComm should review the agenda of the organizational meeting.
n. ExComm and standing chairs, Marshall will correspond on the letter and come to closure by July 23rd.

9. BOT Agenda
   a. Governmental Relations
      i. How is the legislative agenda determined? Karen Yelverton communicates with the presidents to develop ideas/initiatives.
   b. The Title V language seems acceptable.

10. UC Pilot Project on Legislative Tracking
    a. Hank Reichman, as FGA chair, will contact the parties involved.

11. Improving Legislative Liaising
    a. FGA would like to invite Patrick Lenz and Karen Yelverton to come often to give updates on pending legislation
    b. We could invite a CFA liaison to FGA or have the chair maintain close contact.
    c. Perhaps we could build a closer relationship with AAUP.
    d. The Chancellor's Office, CCC, CFA, CSSA, etc. are all important sources of information about what happens in Sacramento.
    e. Past chair of TEKR made liaison invitations to a variety of groups and reports it worked well. Reports took the forms of written documents and conference calls.

12. Legislative Bill Status
    a. SB 1785 (Scott, Major Transfer Pattern)
       i. Will come before appropriations on August 4th—our last chance for amendments.
       ii. Focused on transfer admission agreements rather than priority admissions.
       iii. Currently a campus-by-campus approach.
       iv. June 14th—CO held a staff meeting with CC faculty. Lynne invited to attend.
          1. Bruce Hammlett, Jason Murphy are staff.
          2. CCs were initially unclear about the program.
          3. David Spence had Senate's proposed changes—Kate Clark agreed to talk.
          4. Lynne invited to attend Assembly Higher Ed Committee. The CSU (AA) decided to support the proposal in concept but not in detail.
       v. Lots of last minute changes.
       vi. Spence met with CC presidents to discuss the proposal.
       vii. Lynne participated in a conference call with some CSU administrators and various CC groups.
       viii. The bill is still in flux—receiving feedback from CSU and CCC.
       ix. The CCs probably need to agree to changes in order to get them accepted.
x. It will move to suspense status but will likely emerge and pass in some form.
xi. It is hard to understand exactly what the legislation will accomplish. Will many students sign up for this? Is there any advantage over meeting impaction criteria? (Apparently not.)
 xii. The faculty push back from this effort on the campuses may be significant.
 xiii. We need to continue to try to modify the bill due to the resolution we passed at the last plenary.

b. SB 1415 (Brulte, Common Course Numbering)
i. David Spence has promised to get us copies of proposed changes before our meeting tomorrow.

c. AB 242, SB 550—are being sent to conference
d. SB 1335 (Morrow, Student Bill of Rights)
i. Will likely be reintroduced.
 ii. All campuses should have a policy to handle student complaints about faculty conduct.
 iii. Perhaps we should communicate with chairs to be certain all campuses have policies and that they are being followed.
 iv. In anticipation, we should develop a compendium of campus policies to show to legislators. Statistics on complaints may also be nice to have at hand.
 v. David McNeil is in favor of personally contacting campuses to see how the policies are working.
 vi. We should put on the ICAS agenda.

13. ATAC discussion with Gerald Hanley (Senior Director of Academic Technology Initiatives) and Glenda Morgan (Director of Academic Technology Initiatives)
a. Hanley’s major duty is to coordinate the academic side with the technology side.
b. Based upon a letter, it appears that ATAC reports to the Provost’s Academic Technology Committee rather than to David Spence. Hanley believes that it reports to Spence.
c. ATAC has not met for the past 2 years. Does the group have any real role?
d. Hanley indicated that last year was an unusual year and that ATAC can start functioning again easily.
e. Spence indicated that ATAC would make recommendations directly to him. He would like a meeting as soon as possible.
f. Tasks
   i. Appoint 7 Academic Senators (ExComm)
   ii. Appoint 4 Provosts (Spence)
   iii. (make sure other 2 voting members are in place)
   iv. Call a meeting for this summer.
g. The Provosts Academic Technology Committee is mainly an operational committee but may get involved in some policy issues.
h. Hanley presented a summary of 4 projects which have been undertaken.
   i. Foundational Skills, Math Success Website: This will get information to students to help them prepare to meet the CSU ELM requirements during their high school years.
ii. E-Learning Framework: The goal is to integrate the access to a variety of electronic teaching/learning resources.

iii. Digital Marketplace Initiative: The goals are to increase campus purchasing power by pooling purchases and to distribute resources among and to campuses.
   1. Digital content is increasing in academia.
   2. Security of this content is a huge issue—campuses can be held liable

iv. Student Success Initiative: Getting good information to advisors and students.
   i. These projects have been funded through existing monies, other budgets. Hanley believes it is important to have dedicated budget resources.

14. Transfer Initiatives
   a. The administration is attempting to show progress on the transfer “problem.”
   b. It is not easy to communicate the complexity, individualistic nature of various programs.
   c. It appears that there has been ongoing consultation with the CCs during the whole process.
   d. Perhaps we should go slower on the Title V changes pending what happens with SB 1785.
   e. Slowing down Title V changes may just result in similar language being added to SB 1785. Still, it may be more consistent with our resolution to take this approach.

15. MOU on Transfer
   a. An MOU to guide the development of Title V and executive order language was drafted prior to the meeting and discussed.
   b. This can help the ASCSU, ASCCC, to clearly understand the assumptions under which we can support administration changes to Title V/legislation.

16. ITL—we have approved the title of Faculty Advisor to ITL for Harold Goldwhite

17. Communication with CSU Faculty
   a. Tarjan would like to reinstitute the CSU Senator with the following sections.
      i. Message from the Chair, contact info
      ii. People Profiles
      iii. Committee Reports, contact info
      iv. Eye on Sacramento
      v. Liaison Reports
      vi. Plenary Summaries
   b. We should try to give some background on shared governance, academic freedom—Len Mathy may be able to draft something
   c. We should try to get authorization to distribute this to every senator via e-mail.
   d. How can we/should we coordinate with the administration to make help ensure accuracy?

18. David Spence—legislative report
   a. We can add a transfer guarantee to Title V (to bring CCC on board).
   b. 1785—may not pass.
   c. 1415—can be limited to l.d. preparation courses

19. November 10th Chancellor’s Reception—we will check to see if it can be moved to the 11th. It would save us some money.
20. The Executive Committee will host the first reception in September. We will collect $20 from each Senator to defray expenses.

21. 1st Year Senator Attendance at Committee Meetings
   a. It may give more of an LA flavor to committee meetings, perspectives.
   b. They may attend Thursday morning meetings.
   c. They should be encouraged to attend and rotate through committee meetings, but not be assigned to a committee.
   d. There are no funds to support travel.

22. Chicano/Latino Intersegmental Convocation
   a. Knowledgeable senators will be asked for recommendations as to how to proceed. More information needs to be gathered.

23. Senate Web Page
   a. We need more faculty input.
   b. Perhaps we can use the CCC web site as a model.
   c. Tracy and Ann are working on the web site this summer
   d. John will be the primary contact on web page design and maintenance

24. Senate office staffing, functioning was discussed

25. Orientation Packet—deferred

26. Next Meeting—August 19 at the CO with local Senate Chairs. ExComm will meet for some time on the 19th and continue on August 20.
   a. Potential Invited Presentations
      i. Patrick Lenz on budget
      ii. Lorie Roth on finding needed information (Ed Code, Title V, HEERA, Executive Orders)
      iii. Keith Boyum & Marshall Cates on 45/15
      iv. David Spence should be invited for introductions.
      v. Ann Peacock should be introduced and make a brief presentation on the functioning of the office
      vi. Bob C will do his PowerPoint Orientation Presentation
      vii. John and Ann will make a presentation on navigating the web site
   b. We will invite Keith Boyum to dinner with ExComm on the night of the 19th

27. We should include a Time Certain for the Faculty Trustee in both ExComm and Plenary Sessions
   a. The Faculty Trustee could prepare comments/reactions based upon a reading of agenda items. This would assure that the Senate/ExComm will benefit from a trustee perspective on issues of relevance.
   b. The Faculty Trustee should also be asked to make a Board liaison report as a part of the time certain.
   c. The Faculty Trustee is a valued resource from whom the Senate may solicit comment, opinion or advice on particular matters and can be expected to request Executive Committee input on issues of relevance.

28. September Plenary
   a. ExComm will meet on the 8th at 11:30—Lori and Keith will be invited to have lunch and meet regarding ITL. When finished discussing ITL with Lorie, we will resume ExComm agenda.
   b. September 9th
      i. 8:30-10 ExComm with standing committee chairs
      ii. 10-2:45 (tentative) standing committees
      iii. 12-1:30 lunch (new senator orientation)
iv. Plenary 3:00-5:00, Friday
   1. Invited Speakers
      a. Spence (one hour)
      b. Reed (one hour)
         i. West or Lenz on Budget
      c. John Travis on CFA (1/2 hour)
      d. CSSA (1/4 hour)
      e. Faculty Trustee (1/2 hour)
      f. Trustee Galinson to be invited by David ASAP
   2. Resolution on fees likely—others?
   v. 5:30 Social hosted by EX COMM
  
  c. September 10th
      i. Standing Committees as needed.
      ii. Plenary until 2:00.
      iii. Ex Comm with Chancellor at 2 or 3. It may have to be on Monday if this
           time does not work.
  
  29. September 14, 15
      a. Ex Comm 10:30-1:00 on the 14th
      b. BOT 1:00-5:00 on the 14th and 8:30-12 or 1 on the 15th
      c. We will plan to catch flights on 15th at 4:00