Executive Committee Minutes for January 25, 26 2006

Wednesday, January 25
8:30 am – 10:00 am: Executive Committee with Standing Committee Chairs, Coronado Room
10:15 am – 3:00 pm: Executive Committee, Coronado Room


Visitors: Craig Smith (Faculty Trustee), Ann Peacock (ASCSU Executive Director), and Keith Boyum (Associate Vice Chancellor)

Exec Committee meeting with Standing Committee Chairs
1. Announcements
   a. Social – FGA and FA to host in January, AA to host in March, and TEKR to host in May
2. Committee reports
   a. Academic Affairs—Darlene Yee (highlights of agenda)
      i. Disability accommodation—will have several visitors.
      ii. Registration priorities.
      iii. Follow-up on CSU fee structure.
      iv. Advising
      v. Update/revision of 21st Century report
      vi. 22 Campus Initiatives to Facilitate Graduation
      vii. Independent EdD program proposals (attention to quality)
      viii. Report from Keith Boyum on campus visits and audiology joint doctorates
      ix. Faculty workload (especially in graduate programs)
      x. Intra-CSU transfer
      xi. English Council—mainstreaming remediation
      xii. Liaison Reports (several)
   b. FGA—Tom Krabacher
      i. Planning for activities in the Spring
         1. Legislative Day (mid-April [17th?])
         2. Capitol Staff Award for CSU Alumni giving meritorious service on the capitol, with special attention to support for the CSU
            a. $2000 may be a reasonable cost estimate for all associated activities, plaque, reception, mailings, etc.
            b. The Alumni will be recognizing an outstanding legislator at their event.
            c. There are pros and cons of combining the two events.
            d. Perhaps the award could be publicized in CSU Leader, News Clips and the Academic Senator.
            e. Tom will try to get a firm cost estimate.
            f. The committee will select a recipient today.
ii. We have several resolutions before us.
iii. New resolution on salary compression/inversion (with FA?).
iv. Consultation on legislative issues

c. Faculty Affairs—Jan Gregory
   i. We are carrying over many items.
   ii. One potential new issue is taping of faculty in classrooms for political
      monitoring, as per the UCLA story recently reported.
   iii. A second new issue is a potential co-sponsored resolution (with FGA) on
      faculty salary conversion and salary compression.
         1. Rank and salary are being and have been disassociated.
         2. There are concerns about equity and how it is addressed.

d. TEKR—Jim Wheeler
   i. The Council of Education Deans is an important entity in teacher education. It
      would be helpful for TEKR to have a close relationship with this group. Chair
      Wheeler has initiated contact with the Council chair.
   ii. Two second reading items.
   iii. Potential resolution on pre-service programs and EAP.

e. There was an in-depth discussion of EAP, the high school exit exam and related
   issues.

f. We should ask about the proposed Fresno State independent criminology doctorate.

g. The issue of access/disabilities/accommodation was discussed at length.

h. David McNeil will distribute the latest draft of the Independent EdD document.
   i. AVC Search
      i. Ted and Marshelle participated in interviews.
      ii. Recommendations were forwarded to the Chancellor.

**Exec Committee meeting**

3. The agenda was approved as amended.
4. The minutes of December 2 Executive Committee meeting were approved.
5. Announcements
   a. Senate Budget update
      i. We are currently within the projected budget for the year.
      ii. We need to carefully monitor expenditures.
   b. Systemwide committee participation
      i. It is difficult to monitor senator participation.
      ii. There may need to be an effort to encourage contact persons to
         include senate appointees on listserves.
      iii. We may be in a position to increase reimbursed attendance to some
         systemwide committees.

6. Liaison Reports
   b. Alumni Council
      i. Jensen attended the last meeting in Sacramento.
      ii. Affinity programs were a major focus.
      iii. They will be presenting two legislator-of-the-year awards.
      iv. In June there will be a search for a new Vice Chancellor for
         development.
      v. Their alumni day will be March 20.
      vi. Senators Jensen and Krabacher will be asked to attend on behalf of
         the senate.

c. ATAC
i. Senator Rohm will draft a report.
ii. Implementation of accessibility accommodations will be a major undertaking. The CSU may have significant exposure.

d. CFA
i. John Travis will meet with the Executive Committee on Friday afternoon. There will be a CFA protest at the Board of Trustees meeting next week. 5 people will address the board.
ii. There was a general report on bargaining.

e. CSSA (Reichman, Jensen)
i. There is concern about the student parking fee equity push.

f. GE—The campus/faculty GE survey is due on March 1. It will be discussed at the next GEAC meeting on March 7.

g. ICAS
i. Joint letter to WASC/ACCJC
   1. Changes to the letter were proposed.
   2. It may be advisable to invite representatives from the agencies to address an ICAS meeting.
ii. Intersegmental relations are strained. Maintaining faculty communication and strong relationships may be particularly important at this stage.
iii. ASSIST Board/intersegmental projects were discussed.

h. PTSC—Thobaben
i. LDTP Advisory Committee
   iv. AA Chair on Committee
   v. Budget Request
      1. Assigned Time for Chair—AVC Boyum to get back on the issue before Friday.
      2. Travel Funds for Senate Members.
   vi. Faculty—John will contact individuals about participation.

7. Faculty Trustee—Craig Smith
   a. Visits
i. Has visited Chico since our last meeting. Chico has a great service learning program in legal services.
ii. Also has visited Sacramento, which is a commuter campus that is looking at faculty housing and mass transit.
iii. Debra Farrar joined Craig at East Bay. There is work on “branding” of the campus with the new name.
iv. Also visited San José and Los Angeles.
v. LA—students have expressed concerns over advising and grad checks.
vi. San Marcos—the campus is growing and changing.
vii. San Diego—there are over 400 PhD candidates on the campus in joint PhD programs. SDSU is on the verge of being reclassified as a Research 1 university. There are exciting community-based outreach programs.

b. There are concerns about the pattern of growth, in particular about redirecting applications.
c. There is a large number of special admits in the system (those who do not meet CSU admissions standards).
d. There is growing concern that the missions across universities within the system are diverging.
e. Faculty workload and compensation are of interest to the Board.
f. The Board agenda was reviewed.
g. A graduate student FTE differential calculation (12 vs. 15) was approved by the state Department of Finance, but the final form of the differential and how it will affect CSU funding is not known at this point. The legislature is interested in this issue.

8. Ad hoc Senate Budget Committee/Resolution
   a. The Anagnoson report was discussed.
   b. There have been a variety of views, opinions and options proposed by current and former senators.
   c. What is the priority for us as a faculty in maintaining a larger senate?
   d. Functionality must be maintained regardless of how is achieved. It should be our first priority.
   e. Our structural deficit may be on the order of $75,000 to $100,000/year. This has been masked by unused reassigned time this year and the pattern of campus representative election results.
   f. The rationale was changed.

9. Commission on the Extended University—minutes of the last meeting will be referred to AAC.

10. Newsletter
   a. We cannot feasibly offer an e-subscription.
   b. The newsletter will have a new format.
   c. Responsibility for the newsletter was discussed. Ann Peacock will coordinate the collection of articles. John Tarjan will continue to edit the newsletter and produce the “People in the CSU” section.

11. By-Law Revision Proposal (term limits, size of Executive Committee). Deferred until our next meeting (for March plenary).

12. LAO Review of the State’s Transfer Process—referred to FGA.

13. Community Service Learning Faculty Scholar Search and Screening Committee—a call will go out senators to determine interest in serving in this capacity.

14. Academic Technology Leadership Forum—a presentation was made on learning management systems.


16. BOT Agenda setting—who will be available from the CO is an issue.

17. February Executive Committee Meeting
   a. President Maxson will attend the February Executive Committee meeting to discuss Independent EdDs.

18. March Plenary
   a. Committee/Plenary meeting schedule for March
      i. Invitees:
         1. Chancellor
         2. Trustee Holdsworth has been invited.
         3. Others
      ii. Social: AA to host.

19. Approval of honorary degree recipients.

20. Visit from Keith Boyum, Associate Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs
   a. Accreditation visit and process
      i. The orientation meeting for visitation team members went very well.
      ii. The initial visit (Northridge) will include a very large team for training purposes.
      iii. Four or five campuses may be subsequently visited in the spring semester.
      iv. AVC Boyum provided a potential campus visit schedule of activities.
v. These peer visits will reflect back campus information gathered during the visitation process during a campus debriefing. No written report will be developed.

vi. A method for feedback on visitation team performance may be useful.

b. Facilitating Graduation Conference
   i. Tentatively will be scheduled for October 20, 2006.
   ii. Cristy and Hank will work on plans with Academic Affairs.
   iii. Campus delegations should include presidents, provosts, etc.

c. Independent EdD update
   i. Marshelle and Ted met yesterday with Beverly Young and Keith Boyum to discuss issues surrounding the EdD.
   ii. A faculty oversight group is being formed. Cristy Jensen has been asked to be a member. Other potential members from standing committees are being considered. There are many issues surrounding implementation that will likely require faculty advice. It is likely that this advice would be needed by the end of the March plenary.
   iii. Nominees will be contacted tomorrow after consultation with Beverly Young.
   iv. Things are progressing very quickly. It is fortuitous that our ASCSU task force report (McNeil) on independent EdDs will be ready during this plenary.