Attendees:
James Postma, Darlene Yee-Melichar, Steven Stepaneck, Barry Pasternack, John Tarjan, Buckley Barrett, Mark Van Selst, Barbara Swerkes, Bob Buckley, Robert McNamara

Visitors:
Gary Reichard, Executive Vice Chancellor and Chief Academic Officer; Keith Boyum, Associate Vice Chancellor; Allison Jones, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs; Craig Smith, Faculty Trustee; Ann Peacock, Academic Senate CSU Executive Director

Executive Committee and Standing Committee Chairs
1. Announcements
   a. GEAC/AACU compass project discussion
   b. LDTP (discussion of future action)
   c. November Plenary will not have access to Dumke on Thursday
   d. Discussion re: LDTP referral to APEP
   e. Contribution for Senate social is $30 per senator
   f. Commendation for Associate Vice Chancellor Boyum

   Edward McAleer (long-term contribution to the Commission on the Extended University)

2. Discussion
   a. Three first reading items:
      i. 08/09 budget strategies
      ii. LDTP (Executive committee)
      iii. EO 602 (academic program authority [minors and options to campus authority])
   b. Associate Vice-Chair Search Committee
      i. Search to begin as soon as possible
      ii. Change in duties are to be minimal from the current position
      iii. Suggestions will be requested for a search committee membership
   c. Joint doctorates
      i. DPT - no system level agreements
      ii. Nursing legislation held at legislature (cost issues)

3. Committee Reports

   Academic Affairs, James Postma, Chair
   Orientation
   EO 602 (minors and options authority to campus)
   Review of recent executive orders
   EO 1033 (new GE), credit for exams (EO 1036)
   Student Evaluation of Faculty (online) - overlap with Faculty Affairs
**Fiscal & Governmental Affairs**, Buckley Barrett, Chair
- Budget draft considerations
- Enrollment
- ATAC
- Impact of faculty-staff housing issues (e.g., Pomona, Fullerton, Channel Islands)
- Public works and volunteers (legislative action)
- Ballot initiatives
- New legislature starts Nov. 9th, expecting many CTE bills to be brought forward

**Academic Preparation and Education Programs**, Steven Stepak, Chair
- Change in charge (from TEKR)
- Education
- Health Professions pathways initiatives (AACU support, etc.)
- Diploma Project
- Potential for CTE to be brought into a-g sequence
- Briefing to BOT on CTE scheduled without senate involvement

**Faculty Affairs**, Robert McNamara, Chair
- Much time will be spent on reports
- SOTE report consideration
- ITL (will meet tonight to select ITL chair nominee)
- Gail Brooks is pursuing report on TSA (fiscal changes to IRA, etc.)
- Transforming course design
- Community engagement
- Faculty Satisfaction Survey
- Potential task-force on overlapping responsibilities re: contract

4. Other Reports
   a. Community Engagement Task Force was discussed
   b. Potential CTE Task Force
      i. In process of being established
      ii. Serious concerns about CTE bills (legislation) that may attempt to force the CSU to accept all CTE courses

**Executive Committee**
   a. Announcements
   b. Approval of Agenda
   c. Approval of Minutes of June 3-4, 2008
   d. Newsletter
      i. Submit article for the newsletter chairs in one week
      ii. Orientation for first and second year senators
   e. Will occur at noon on September 11

5. LDTP
Over the last two years there has been a gradual drop-off in participation

Degree audit
  i. Where does LDTP fit in the degree audit?
  ii. How to address LDTP communication was discussed
  iii. Oct 8 will be a Workgroup meeting, and September 17 a Steering committee meeting

7. Liaison Reports
    a. Academic Council
       i. Transforming course design
    b. Alumni Council
    c. ATAC
       i. Use of technology to solve problems (ties into “bottleneck” courses / transforming course design)
       ii. What is the assessment evaluation plan? (e.g., online courses are best suited to well prepared and motivated students)
       iii. Information security subcommittee
    d. Campus Senate Chairs
       i. Executive committee recommends the campus senate chairs consider inviting:
          ● Cheryl Washington (security) and
          ● Bruce Gibson (re: TSAs)
    e. CFA
       i. September 15 Dinner with CFA
       ii. October 17 - Barbara Swerkes to attend CFA meeting
       iii. December 5 - Bob Buckley CFA meeting
       iv. February 6 - John Tarjan to attend CFA meeting
       v. April 3 - Bob Buckley to attend CFA meeting
       vi. Encourage continuation of alliance for the CSU beyond current budget
    f. CSSA
       i. The CSSA leadership team seems strong
       ii. October 18 – John Tarjan to attend CSSA meeting
       iii. January 24 - John Tarjan to attend CSSA meeting
       iv. February 1 – Mark VanSelst to attend CSSA meeting
       v. March 21 – John Tarjan to attend CSSA meeting
       vi. May 9 – John Tarjan to attend CSSA meeting
    g. EdD Proposal Review Group
       i. Ed.D. supplementation (Fullerton) was approved (thanks to Chris Hansen for working with Ed.D. proposal group)
    h. ICAS – future meeting dates were reviewed

8. Academic Senate CSU Advocacy
    a. branding/asserting the ASCSU role in advocacy
    b. finding a tag line to use throughout correspondence (e.g., invest in CA, invest in the CSU)
    c. Senate agendas (news bullet for senate… helps ensure communication)

9. November Plenary invitees
John Tarjan, Chair
Academic Senate CSU

a. Will address date for invitee
10. C-ID draft letter reviewed

11. AAUP (American Associate of University Professors) meeting attendance was discussed.

12. Academic Preparation Conference will be held on October 30-31 at the Crowne Plaza Hotel, LAX - updated report given

13. Scholarship for community engagement
   a. There were many concerns and questions concerning potential requirements or expectations
   b. The definitions are from the Carnegie foundation
   c. RTP procedures were not the intended audience

14. Access to Excellence implementation
   a. Presidents Council has been involved in this final draft
   b. System actions vs. system indicators
   c. Part I: overview (executive summary of indicators and expectations)
   d. Part II: “business as usual” indicators and NASH, NESSI, etc.; This will be the meat of the resolution for the board once it gets to its final form.
   e. Part III: some new areas are listed in a third section as “optional/suggested” content.
   f. there is an attempt to carry the weight of indicator costs at the system level
   g. BOT will adopt the indicators (only), not the whole report
   h. The Lumina Grant, Diploma Initiative, the Compass initiative (among others [including the academic preparation conference]) feed into Access to Excellence goal achievement

15. Compass: AACU project, multi-system collaboration on effective enterprises (student success)

16. Lumina Planning Grant: 150K for proposal (short timeline, planning grant of 150K for 500K for each of four years to facilitate graduation/transfer)

17. American Diploma Project: Jack O’Connell agreed to participate in for the state of California. Broad group trying to establish college-ready seniors (and CTE), especially English and mathematics.
   a. Seen as part of a broad policy agenda
   b. EAP – primary model for other states to emulate (align with K-12, and incorporate assessment tools).
   c. Business perspective on how USA not keeping edge due to mathematical competency
   d. Call for raising standards for graduating students
   e. Need English and Math faculty to staff some of the ‘action groups’ for this
   f. The star of American Diploma Project thus far appears to be the CSU EAP.
   g. Academic Preparation and Educational Programs committee ought to be informed and used as a resource for this activity.
   h. A conference will be held September 30 and 31
18. Carnegie Foundation: focus on “home-grown” outcomes rather than generic or national items is very desirable.

19. Accessibility issues
   a. Documentation of where issues remain and the plan to address them will be rolled out in Fall/08.

20. Preview of Dr. Reichard’s presentation for the senate

21. University College (UMTC)
   a. MOU: does not meet LDTP curriculum.
   b. First major pass at articulation with university college was for GE
   c. Draft roadmap requested (by University of Maryland) for their largest five disciplines
      i. The CO tried to follow the LDTP process insofar as possible (articulation to TCSU course numbers).
      ii. The current content in the “DRAFT” MOU are placeholders (GE, LDTP patterns ought to be vetted through faculty)
      iii. No so much issue on the content of the MOU, rather on the process by which the sample curricula were established

22. Plenary Update
   a. FGA has two resolutions (both waivers)
      i. Budget advocacy (continuation of participation with the “Alliance for the CSU”; campus activities; unified message)
      ii. Budget priorities (enrollment growth, compact, etc.)
   b. Commendation for budget updates from Bob Buckley and David Hood over the summer
   c. FA has no first readings; discussion centered on:
      i. Proficiency in first year (upcoming conference)
      ii. Darlene will work on Scholarly Activities response (re: process on faculty, RTP, etc.)
      iii. November plenary
      iv. Transforming course design
   d. APEP has one resolution (first reading, waiver)
      i. On CCC senate support for LDTP
      ii. Committee memberships were a surprise to many members
   e. AA has one resolution (first reading, may have waiver)
      i. EO-602 (authority for minors and options to campus authority)