January 22\textsuperscript{nd}
8:30 a.m. – 9:45 a.m. Executive Committee with Standing Committee Chairs
10:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m. Executive Committee
1:00 p.m. – 2:00 p.m. Liaison to Standing Committees
2:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m. Executive Committee
5:00 p.m. – 5:15 p.m. Executive Committee with Standing Committee Chairs

January 23\textsuperscript{rd}
8:00 a.m. – 9:30 a.m. Executive Committee
Executive Committee and Standing Committee Chairs

8:35 a.m. – meeting called to order

1. Announcements
   - Plenary
     - Admissions Advisory Report was received
     - Revisions to plenary schedule were announced
     - Chancellor Reed will present during the morning session
     - The March and May plenary sessions will be normal three-day meetings
     - ASCSU budget constraints will be partially addressed through reduced Executive Committee travel (reduced participation at the CSU Board of Trustees meetings paired with video-based agenda setting meetings and reduced participation at campus chair meetings).
     - Copies of the Commendation for Ann will be distributed to the committees for further input.
   - Listserv question (eligibility)
     - Jennifer Zvi (will be removed from listserv as she is no longer an active senator)
     - Marshall Cates (will be removed from listserv after May/09)

2. CSU Formative Review for Faculty Scholarship of Community Engagement (Tarjan, McNamara)
   - Nominees will be sought during the plenary; appointment will be made this evening.
• Potential nominees mentioned include: chair-FA (by position), chair-ITL (by position), Yee-Melichar (prior involvement), Carnegie foundation experience (by position [or individual?]).

3. Committee Reports

• Faculty Affairs – Robert McNamara, Chair
  o Full-agenda, with many reports.
  o The decision was to not go to executive session for the CFA report.
  o The Patents, Technology and Intellectual Property Report produced a reaction from CFA. The current status is unclear and will be discussed further.
    ▪ It seems desirable to determine the current and desired status.
    ▪ This is an issue that ought to be moved forward – in general the principles are pro-faculty but may run into contractual issues.
    ▪ If the process can get unstuck the issues can start being resolved.
  o Dashboard indicator resolution – status is not yet determined.
  o Last minute section cuts impact lecturers
  o Transforming course design (impact on campus curricular content, etc.) remains a discussion item.

• Academic Affairs – James Postma, Chair
  o Authorization for Doctorate of Nursing Practice remains an active legislative item
  o Task-Force (joint task force math/science) with BOARS is looking at university math requirements (esp. for math intensive programs).

• Academic Preparation Education Programs – Steven Stepanek, Chair
  o no current CTE resolution but the committee is starting to develop such a resolution
  o ConnectED / multiple pathways remain an area of active concern for APEP
  o UC expressed significant concern around the standards used for CTE courses. CSU faculty share this concern.
  o Teacher preparation performance assessments advocated by the Chancellor’s Office seem to be ill-advised from a methodological standpoint. APEP will pursue this further.

• Fiscal and Governmental Affairs – Buckley Barrett, Chair
  o On the patents and intellectual property issues discussed earlier, the argumentation around faculty rights on patent issues remain somewhat unresolved.
  o Liaisons (Alison Jones / John Travis) will meet with committee
  o Karen Yelverton-Zamarripa will discuss the new players in the legislature
  o CTE is increasingly becoming an FGA issue; liaison with APEP and others will continue as we examine unfolding legislative action.
    ▪ The BOT agenda provides a good idea of what CTE is and what the concerns for the CSU are.
    ▪ Legislative action is strong on this topic – often sharply focused by business groups and/or teachers groups trying to push their agenda.
The a-g curriculum is becoming the preferred HS curriculum in some districts and some of the legislation is in response to this in a defensive format; others are aggressively seeking to expand the role of CTE (via reduction of standards, etc.):
  - The DeSaulnier bill is one such bill
  - A possible outcome of some of these efforts would be to bifurcate CSU and UC admissions requirements.
ConnectED is a foundation-supported activity seeking to achieve multiple pathways to high school graduation (and high school preparation). The CSU is a member organization. ConnectED is desirable for the CSU to be more involved in and shows responsiveness to CSU issues.
CSU should be more involved in BOARS (and thus provide protection against the bifurcation of the UC/CSU approval processes for high school transfer)
There are currently over 6000 courses approved for a-g; there does not seem to be a reason for districts to complain of unreasonable hurdles in terms of course approvals.
  - There are a number of first reading items likely for this plenary meeting.
  - CSU report to the legislature did not include the CSU stories – it seems there were some factual errors and the report itself seemed somewhat disjointed.

**General Education Advisory Committee** – Kevin Baaske
  - GE Breadth (lifelong learning): some activities are allowed, how many units can be activity?
  - AP tests and IGETC: Coded Memorandum
  - University of Maryland: of particular interest are the preliminary “roadmaps” to transfer. Among concerns, major coursework may or may not agree with the LDTP content and sequence(s) for various majors. These are the only statewide patterns that have been reviewed across the state by the disciplines.
  - Compass project: CSU system is piloting three campuses for Compass. There is an AACU conference (Seattle) that members of this group will be attending. A systemwide GE conference is still planned for 2010.
  - IGETC standards review committee is up and running.

**Senate Chairs:** – Kevin Baaske
  - The senate chairs did not meet in December
  - The senate chairs are planning to meet in February and April.
  - Program discontinuance and (involuntary) movement of programs remain under active consideration.
  - Alumni Organization

3.1. **Potential resolutions**
   We have 18 potential resolutions (2 of which were not previously indicated).

3.2. **9:40 Time Certain CTE Task Force Update (Krabacher)**
   Senator Krabacher distributed a report on CTE developments.
4. Time Certain: 11:00 - Gary Reichard, Executive Vice Chancellor and Chief Academic Officer and Assistant Vice Chancellor Allison Jones

4.1 Alison Jones, Assistant Vice Chancellor

- **Achieve:**
  - CTE:
    - The LAO believes 10,000 CTE courses should “count” for a-g (we have 7000 now).
    - We should ensure that only those courses that make sense are included in the a-g curricula (e.g., resist watering down standards).
    - The current strategy is to bring legislative and CO staff up to speed on the current status of CTE.
    - There is a Board item on this topic.
    - Bifurcation of the a-g process (one option under consideration via legislative action) would be detrimental to both the UC and CSU.
    - CSU is associated with ConnectED, and will continue to remain actively involved in ConnectED
    - CSU generally accepts UC decisions on a-g (but CSU faculty will remain involved).
    - CSU faculty need to remain actively involved in BOARS to preserve ‘authority’ on a-g.
  
  - EAP:
    - EAP has produced systemic changes to date. There is the potential for the EAP to be used as part of high school accountability and assessment measures. Note, however, that EAP focuses on English and Math – full college preparation goes beyond this.
    - There is a concern that the EAP may be another pass towards ‘high stakes testing’.
    - The original goal of EAP was to play a diagnostic role in letting the local districts be aware of where their students need additional assistance.

  - IGETC
    - IGETC Standards for English and Mathematics are beyond those of “minimal graduation requirements” but provide excellence guidance on expectations for university level work.
    - UC faculty generally follow CSU judgments on IGETC course approvals.

4.2 Gary Reichard – Executive Vice Chancellor

- **Budget Update and Planning**
  - ASCSU cost cutting has protected its budget for this year.

- **Honorary Doctorate Search Committee**
  - Senate Executive members have received the information on the nominees. They will be further discussion Friday morning.

- **Executive Director Search**
  - Further discussion will take place Friday morning.
Applicant screening has begun.
There is a search committee meeting tonight.
The intent is to start interviews Feb. 9th.

- Access to Excellence Supporting Papers (Buckley, Pasternack)
  - This is to be faculty initiated.
  - No commitment to develop papers have been given.

- Lumina Grant Update
  - By Late July the 4year implementation grants are due.

- EO 802 and Multiple Repetition of Courses
  - Discussion item

- Plenary Preview
  - Jeri Echeverria is starting Feb 2nd as Associate Vice-Chancellor.
  - The EUD Dean hiring is progressing.
  - BOT will receive a report following up on the proficiency conference
  - Early start remediation (e.g., Humboldt program)
    - Using “ALEKS” (intelligent tutoring system)
  - Information at admission (leading to early intervention use)
  - CSU cannot afford extensive long-term remediation for a large body of students, but also cannot abandon under-prepared students.
    - Staff support is required
    - It was noted that “required participation” seems to be a necessity; optional experiences have not generally proved to be useful.
  - Authorization to offer doctorates in nursing practice will be sought.

- Professional Science Masters programs
  - PSM initiatives are listed on veteran educational websites
  - There is a certificate program that prepares active duty service members for acceptance into PSM programs when they become veterans.

5. Executive Committee

5.1 Announcements

5.2 Liaison Reports

- Fiscal & Governmental Affairs: Barry Pasternack, Liaison
  - Principal focus is on the 08/09 state budget
  - Style of communication with outside groups was discussed.

- Faculty Affairs: Mark Van Selst, Liaison
  - Budget questions were addressed

- Academic Affairs: Darlene Yee-Melichar, Liaison
  - February Interim Meeting may be done remotely
  - Academic Preparation Education Programs: Barbara Swerkes, Liaison
  - APEP is responsible for the Thursday night social and thank-you for Ann Peacock
  - APEP is not cosponsoring AA’s sustainability resolution
5.3 **Honorary Doctorates**
Trustee nominations for CSU honorary Doctorates were assessed.

5.4. **ASCSU Staff Hiring**

5.5. **Approval of Minutes of November 12, 2008**
Changed to indicate Robert McNamara as present.

5.6. **LDTP update: Barbara Swerkes/Mark Van Selst**
- LDTP Newsletter is posted.
- Workgroup meeting for next week was cancelled.
- Biology is revising descriptor prerequisites.
- The slowness of faculty course reviews is a concern.
- Generating parallel information systems to accommodate LDTP patterns seems like extra work.
- Review feedback needs to be meaningful.
- There is no current updating (maintenance) process.
- Barbara and Mark look forward to meeting with the new AVC and Keith Boyum to take a bird’s-eye view of the LDTP program as implemented.

5.7. **C-ID Call for Faculty, Appointments (Tarjan)**
- John Targan will forward names to the Executive Committee, these will be will forwarded to the Senate and campus chairs for feedback and/or to solicit others where required.

5.8. **Board of Trustees**
- We will review the agenda Friday morning.
- Agenda Setting
  - Most members will attend via videoconference on Monday with the Chancellor and via phone conference with CSSA.
  - John Tarjan and Bob Buckley will attend in person.
  - Questions for the Chancellor?
    - Insight into the meeting of the three system heads.
    - Budget
    - DNP

5.9. **Liaison Reports**
- Academic Council (Tarjan)
  - The next meeting will be in SF on February 12, 13
  - ATSC will also meet.
- Alumni Council (Van Selst)
  - Bob is tentatively scheduled to attend the June 20 Meeting at Chico.
- ATAC/ATSC (Buckley, Tarjan)
  - Cross-campus on-line programs.
- LMS Futures Project.
- Transforming Course Design.

- **Campus Senate Chairs**
  - John will attend.
  - John will ask for feedback about the newsletter.
  - John will suggest campuses consider teach-ins on the budget and support of public higher education.

- **CFA (Buckley)**
  - February 6 Meeting at LA—Pasternack to attend (Baaske substituted at the last minute).
  - April 3 Meeting at Sacramento—Buckley to attend.
  - June 5 Meeting at LA—Tarjan to attend.

- **CSSA (Yee)**
  - January 24 Meeting at LA—Tarjan to attend.
  - February 21 Meeting at San José—Van Selst to attend.
  - March 21 Meeting at CI—Tarjan to attend.
  - May 9 Meeting at Fresno—Tarjan to attend.

- **ICAS**
  - The agenda was discussed.
  - We will consider bylaws.
  - The IGETC Review Committee has been appointed.
  - ICAS Legislative Efforts
    - April 12 dinner / orientation meeting
    - Sacramento April 13 (Easter Monday – note date change)
  - June 5 Meeting Dates (note date changes)

- **COLD Representative Substitute for February (Tarjan)**
  - Jackie Kegley will attend.

- **ERFA—April 18 at LAX—Pasternack will attend.**

5.10. Newsletter

- Format
- Publication Schedule
  - As soon as possible after each plenary

- What is the function?
  - Distribution of ideas.

- Readership outreach is a concern.
  - What role should it play?
  - Who is the target audience?

5.11. Trustee Nominee Selection Process (Van Selst)
• The Executive Committee would like the trustee nomination recommendation process revisited.

5.12. Remaining Plenary & Interim Meetings
• Remaining plenary and interim sessions will be on regular schedule (at the current time with the current budget)
• Speakers/Guests for March Plenary
  o A list of potential BOT invitees was generated.
  o Mark Wade Lieu (ASCCC President) & Mary Croughan (UC Senate Chair) have both been invited.

5.13. Summer Senate

5.14. March Plenary

5.15. Budget for 09/10
• Discussion of how a restricted budget may impact senate operations.

5.16. Adjournment