1. Welcome and Call to Order: Jim Postma (Chair) called the committee to order at 11:00 a.m.

2. Approval of the Agenda: Agenda approved by all committee members with the following changes:
   A. Conference call at 11:30 a.m. with Beth Hartung to discuss the Voting Rights for Academic Council on International Programs International Program (IP) Coordinator Liaisons Resolution
   B. Review of the proposed Commendation for Ann Peacock
   C. Darlene Yee-Melichar will present the Executive Committee Liaison report at 1:00 p.m.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes: Meeting minutes from the December 2008 meeting were unanimously approved as amended.

4. Chair’s Report: Jim Postma presented the chair’s report, noting:
   A. There will be a regularly scheduled 3-day Senate meeting on March 18-March 20, 2009.
   B. The February AA meeting can be held in Long Beach or can be teleconferenced if committee members would like to consider that as a meeting option.

5. Administrative Reports
   5.1 Christine Hanson reported on the following items:
      A. DNP Legislation
         1. The California Hospital Association is interested in discussing and possibly supporting the DNP Legislation
         2. The California Hospital Association would like to have Clinical Lab Sciences programs supported. The AVPs have been notified of this request although there is not need for legislation at this time
      B. Third Wave Ed.D.s
         1. Delay is acceptable for these programs including:
            a. Bakersfield (delay until 2010).
            b. San Jose (delay until the Fall 2009).
            c. Dominguez Hills (delay until 2010).
            d. Los Angeles (delay until the Fall 2009)
      C. The new vice-chancellor will officially begin on 2/1/09.
D. March 1, 2009 marks the close of applications at all campuses.

E. Jim Postma noted concern about funding for DNP Programs. Christine Hanson noted that UC Irvine will be launching a DNP Program soon and that many of the presidents are interested in starting DNP Programs on their campuses.

F. CSU Ed.D. Programs in a Fifth Wave have projected start dates of 2010 and beyond:
   1. Sonoma
   2. Monterey Bay
   3. San Marcos
   4. Pomona
   5. Channel Islands
   6. Chico
   7. Humboldt
   8. San Luis Obispo

5.2 Darlene Yee-Melichar reported on the following items:
   A. The February committee meetings are scheduled to be on-site.
   B. The March meeting will be a regularly scheduled 3-day meeting.
   C. Thank you cards and social for Ann Peacock are completed.
   D. Budget questions - The ASCSU Budget for 2009-2010 is unknown at this time; EXCOM had a nice discussion with Gary Reichard about the budget. It is important to note that assigned time comprises about 75% of the budget and meeting travel comprises about 25% of the budget.

6. Liaison Reports:
   6.1 Academic Technology Advisory Committee (ATAC): Tapie Rohm reported that notes will be forthcoming and will include information on ATI implementation
   6.2 California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP): No AA member is present on this committee.
   6.3 Chancellor’s Doctoral Incentive Policy Advisory Committee: Vince Buck
      No report
   6.4 CSU Ed.D Advisory Committee: Tapie Rohm, Jim Postma
      No meeting held since December
   6.5 Disabilities Advisory Committee: Ken Nishita
      Ken Nishita reported that he sent meeting minutes and notes to committee members.
   6.6 English Council: No AA member present on this committee.
   6.7 Committee on the Extended University: Tapie Rohm
      Tapie Rohm indicated that he will send notes from this meeting to committee members.
   6.8 General Education Advisory Committee: Caroline Bordinaro, Jim Postma
      The next committee meeting is scheduled for 2/19/09.
   6.9 Institute for Teaching and Learning: Paul O’Brien
      The next meeting is scheduled for February 2009.
   6.10 International Programs: No report.
   6.11 Library Directors, Council of (COLD): No report.
   6.12 Student Health Services Advisory Committee: Andrea Boyle
      Andrea Boyle will provide information from the meeting minutes when available in 2009.
   6.13 Sustainability Advisory Subcommittee Education and Research: James Lo Casio, Paul O’Brien
No news to report.

6.14 Other- No news to report

7. Old Business:

7.1 Acknowledgement of Faculty Involvement in the Access to Excellence Accountability Plan
   A. No changes were proposed to the resolution.
   B. The resolution ready for second reading at the 1/23/09 Plenary Session.

7.2 Support of the Give Students a Compass Project Resolution
   A. Committee members reviewed the resolution and made a number of editorial changes
   B. Resolution ready for second reading at the 1/23 Plenary Session following completion of editorial changes

7.3 Quality Assurance in On-Line/Distance Learning/Technology Mediated Course Offerings Resolution
   A. Committee members reviewed the resolution and made both content changes and editorial changes to the resolution.
   B. Following lengthy committee discussion, committee members removed Resolve Clause #6 from the resolution.
   C. The revised resolution will be submitted for discussion at the 1/23/09 Plenary Session.

7.4 Voting Membership for International Program Coordinators on ACIP
   A. Conference Call with Beth Hartung (Chair of the IP Committee) who noted the following:
      1. A vote was taken 2 years ago to have the International Program (IP) Coordinators vote at meetings.
      2. The Academic Council on International Programs (ACIP) is advisory.
      3. In 1972, students joined the ACIP in an advisory capacity and were granted voting rights.
      4. In 1995, IP Coordinators petitioned to serve as observer participants and the issue of voting rights for these individuals was again raised in 2007.
      5. Arguments against voting rights for IP Coordinators have focused around faculty governance. (This argument was not supported.)
      6. It was also noted that each campus sends a faculty member to the ACIP.
      7. Each of the 4 ACIP Sub-Committees has one IP Coordinator (N=4), one student representative (N=4), and 5-6 faculty members (N=23)
      8. Arguments for voting rights for IP Coordinators include the concept of inclusion as well as the recognition that the IP Coordinators are sometimes more knowledgeable than the faculty representatives because of their long-term service.
      9. The question was raised about why students were given voting rights and it was noted that students only serve on the council for one-year terms while faculty serve for 3-year terms.
   B. Members of the committee then had a lengthy discussion about the resolution. A straw poll revealed that committee members were in favor of sending the resolution forward. It was also noted that the only way to change voting rights for IP Coordinators is through changes in Title 5 Legislation and the CSU BOT would not approve changes to Title 5 without ASCSU approval.
   C. Members of the committee then proposed changes to the resolution including:
      1. Title Change to read: Support for Voting Rights for Academic Council on International Programs International Program (IP) Coordinator Liaisons.
2. Change to the resolved clause to read: Be it resolved that the Academic Senate CSU (ASCSU) endorse the voting membership of the Academic Council on International Programs (ACIP) to include not more than four International Program Coordinators.
3. Caroline Bordinaoro will also edit and provide additional information to the rationale for the resolution.
4. The resolution will be ready for first reading at the 1/23 Plenary Session.

7.5 Votes of No Confidence
A. Committee members reviewed the resolution and made editorial changes to the resolution entitled: The Right of the Faculty to Have a Vote of No Confidence.  
B. The resolution will be presented for first reading at the 1/23/09 Plenary Session.
C. Chair Postma will discuss the resolution with the Chair, Faculty Affairs Committee to see if there is interest in co-sponsorship of the resolution.

7.6 Other
A. Resolution: Faculty Support for Energy Conservation  
   1. Committee members discussed the proposed resolution written by Tapie Rohm.
   2. Chair Postma will discuss co-sponsorship of the resolution with the chairs of FGA and FA Committees.
   3. The resolution will be presented in first reading at the 1/23 Plenary Session.
B. Resolution: Academic Senate Support for Faculty Classroom Management  
   1. Committee members discussed the proposed resolution and the need for a policy in this area was included in the discussion.
   2. Committee members decided that the resolution will not move forward.
C. Resolution: Keeping Recognition for Faculty Creators and/or Founders for Centers and Institutes Developed Within the CSU System  
   1. Committee members discussed the proposed resolution written by Tapie Rohm.
   2. Editorial changes to the resolution were proposed by committee members who approved the resolution.
   3. The resolution will be presented in first reading at the 1/23/09 Plenary Session.

8. New Items of Business:

8.1 Recent Executive Orders in AA’s Areas of Responsibility  
A.EO 1036  
1. DWR Policy needs to be reviewed.
2. The committee will also need to look for any problems that may be occurring with the implementation of the EO.

8.2 Other  
A. Committee members reviewed and unanimously approved the Commendation for Ann Peacock. The commendation will be presented to Ann at the 1/22/09 Plenary Session.
B. Committee members voted to schedule the February Academic Affairs meeting at the Chancellor’s Office (instead of a teleconferenced meeting).

9 Other - No other items for discussion at this time

10. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned by Chair Postma at 3:00 p.m.
Meeting minutes submitted by: Andrea Boyle