1. Welcome, Call to Order: James Postma, Chair

2. Approval of the Agenda (Action Item) – approved except for the possible addition of an LDTP item.

3. Approval of the Minutes from the Meetings of December 7, 2007 (Action Item)

4. Chair’s report: Jim Postma
   4.1 Revisions to EO 595: General Education: The Chair updated the committee on the progress of the request for feedback from campuses.

5. Administrative Reports
   5.1 Keith O. Boyum – Academic Affairs has been seeking information on campus policies on textbook issues. Publishers realize things need to be changed. They are interested in the Digital Marketplace. Provosts were asked to vote on the best courses to be the focus for Transforming Course Design. The winners were Developmental Mathematics and General Chemistry. The effort is now underway to recruit faculty members to participate in this effort. This effort fits well with the recommendations coming to the Board on Remediation. Board Academic Policy Committee members are very interested in Early Start programs. There is a recommendation on a change in the proficiencies needed for entrance. There is concern to get fresh discussion on remediation and proficiency efforts.

   The Access to Excellence process moves forward. A new document is out to the Steering Committee. After input from this group the document will be shared more broadly. It is believed that the process is moving to a final report. There will be an effort to bring this to the Legislators to get input and to receive information. It will get a reading at the March Board of Trustees meeting with a final action in May. The Goals section is divided into Goals that CSU can commit alone and a section of Goals that will need the assistance of the state and other partners.

   The results of a survey of MBA students have been shared with Barry Pasternack. This sought responses from 440 MBA students from 10 campuses. Two focus groups were also held at San Bernardino and San Diego. It is believed that a proposal will go to the March Board meeting. CSSA also has a resolution on this. The draft opposes the fee.
The Chancellor’s Office is interested in a pilot program that has a CSU campus and a Community College proposing an AA degree that would include LTDP programs. The new emphasis in the CC is on having a major.

The CSU is going forward with the Nursing Doctorate. Senator Scott will sponsor a bill giving CSU authority to do this. There has been a commitment from the UC that they will support this. We will seek authority with the intent for later implementation when the budget situation has changed.

5.2 Christine Hanson – Work is being done on legislation for the Nursing Doctorate. She attended CPEC meeting. They wanted to establish a group representing the various educational institutions to further explore doctorates in education. This will delay our efforts. They also want to set up an assessment effort to measure successes of doctorate programs.

Academic Plans are being updated on campuses. The Board committee on Honorary Doctorates will meet next week.

Jim asked about the rumored General Education Conference. Actually there will be a meeting with an administrator and faculty representative who are centrally involved in General Education. They intend to encourage this group to meet twice a year. A GE conference is projected as a future event, given the budget situation.

A response to WASC proposed new policies is also being developed.

6. Liaison Reports
6.1 Academic Technology Advisory Committee (ATAC): Jackie Kegley – Jackie reported that the committee spent much of its time on the Transforming Course Design project including establishing a priority for courses to be funded. There is a question about the money designated in the Compact for Academic Technology. Is this still in the budget?
6.2 Admission Advisory Council: Jacinta Amaral, Vince Buck, Hank Reichman, Steven Stepanek – There has not been a meeting.
6.3 California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP): Jacinta Amaral – There will be a meeting in May.
6.4 CSU Ed.D. Faculty Consultation Group: Andrea Boyle, Michael Perkins, Tapie Rohm, Darlene Yee-Melichar – There has not been a meeting; final appointments have been made.
6.5 Disabilities Advisory Committee: Ken Nishita – Campuses have been asked to establish their top priorities for meeting accessibility needs. This will be followed up with campus visits.
6.6 Early Assessment Program Advisory Committee (EAP): Ken Nishita – Ken understands that this committee will meet only after the assessments have been completed.
6.7 Entry Level Mathematics (ELM) Development Committee: Jim Postma – The committee met to work on test development and will meet in February to work on the EAP exam.
6.8 Commission on Extended University: Michael Gorman – No report.
6.9 General Education Advisory Committee: Maria Viera, Jim Postma – No report.
6.11 **International Programs**: Jacinta Amaral – No report- the resolution from Faculty Affairs will be back on the agenda this time. - No report. The meetings have conflicted with our meetings.

6.12 **Lower Division Transfer Program Advisory Committee**: Jim Postma – A Draft procedure for articulation of CSU Courses with LDTP Descriptors was circulated. Christine reported that the Executive Committee had concerns about the political and other implications of having a different process than that of the Community Colleges. There was concern about a process that seems to tread on the curriculum authority of campuses. Articulation between CSU campuses is not necessarily smooth. The ruling procedure has been presumed reciprocity. The proposed process does allow Department Chairs not to participate if they so choose. The decision was to approve this proposal by cautious consensus.

6.14 Other – Liaison from Executive Committee- Darlene Yee – Darlene reports that a resolution on By-Laws changes will be proposed. For example the name of TEKR will be changed to Pre-collegiate Education and Transfer Committee. She reported on the Access to Excellence process. The Executive Committee has developed a new Power Point presentation for new Senate committee chairs. A system-wide policy is being developed on Security for Information Technology.

7. **Old Business**

7.1 **Drops, Withdrawals, Incompletes, and Repeat Policies**: AS–2817–07/AA – The committee reviewed this and made a few minor corrections.

7.2 **Distinctive Universities: The Protection of the Autonomy of Individual Institutions as a Board Responsibility in the Governance of a Multiversity**: AS–2820–07/FGA/AA – There were no recommended corrections.

7.3 **Resolution in Support of CSU Doctorate of Nursing Practice Degree** – The committee received Draft #3. The question was raised whether the resolution to pursue funding is appropriate in light of the strategy to seek authorization and not implementation at this time. The resolution was modified to indicate that funding should be secured sufficient for implementation.

7.4 **Response to the Board of Trustees (BOT) September 2007 Agenda Item CSU Remediation Policies and Practices: Overview and Prospects**, AS-2824-07/TEKR No changes were made.

7.5 **Resolution on The Collegiate Learning Assessment** A new draft of this resolution including a Rationale was reviewed.

8. **New Items of Business**

8.1 **Language Other than English (LOTE) proficiency requirements for CSU Students** – A question was raised concerning the impact on transfers- how would it affect the pool of student? Monterey Bay is facing this problem now. It includes having resources including people to do various language assessments. This requirement would also create new barriers to students in high unit majors. This would make it difficult to move transfer students through to graduation.
This leads to “bad press” for a campus. We discussed this suggestion thoroughly and, given the many problems, the committee regretfully does not see a way to proceed to resolve the issue.

8.2 Resolution Regarding EO 595 Recommendations of the General Education Advisory Committee – This resolution is merely a set of directions to our committee and not a resolution.

8.3 Statement on Core Values Concerning Patents and Technology Transfer – This draft was discussed. Jim explained that this document represents a hybrid – Individual Campus/Centralized approach to technology transfer infrastructure scenarios. There were no suggested modifications to this document.

8.4 Roles and Responsibilities of the Academic Affairs Committee – The new bylaws resolution was reviewed and there were no suggested modification.

9. Other – None.

10. Adjournment – At 3:30 p.m.