1. Call to Order
2. Approval of Agenda
3. Approval of Minutes of 05.07-08.03
4. Announcements
5. Times Certain: David Spence: TBA; TEKR visit 1:30: discussion of 6a
6. Items of Business
   a. AS2622: Framework for Integrated Teacher Preparation Programs Leading to a Recommendation for a Baccalaureate Degree & a Level 1 Multiple Subject Teaching Credential,
      See: Interim Report of the Task Force,
   b. AS: 2623: Articulation Agreements for Multiple Subject Integrated Teacher Preparation Programs: Principles for Formation of Regions,
      http://rhet.csustan.edu/aa/resolutions/ITPRegions.pdf
      See: Interim Report of the Task Force: Regions,
      See: 3-Year Transfer Data spreadsheet
      http://rhet.csustan.edu/aa/3YearTransferData.xls
   c. AS2621: Opposition to Classification by Race, Ethnicity, Color, or National Origin Initiative (The Connerly Initiative)
      http://rhet.csustan.edu/aa/resolutions/Connerly.pdf
   d. NSF research problem (Rushall):
      http://rhet.csustan.edu/aa/docs/NSFresearch_problem.pdf
7. Reports
   a. Academic Council (Provosts): 04.23.03 Minutes; 08.22.03 Agenda
8. Other
9. Adjournment

Present – J. Amaral (FR), T. Anagnoson (LA), V. Buck (FU), R. Kellner (PO), L. Mathy (ERFA), A. Morgan (PO), K. Nishita (MB), T. Rohm (SB), L. Roth (CO), B. Rushall (SD), J. Tarjan (BA), M. Thompson (ST), L. Timmer (SA)
Guests: M. Donohue (SJ), G. Hammerstrom (CO), P. Persons (CH), M. Platin (Student), L. Roth (CO), D. Spence (CO)

1. Call to order at 10 a.m. by M. Thompson, Chair.

2. Approval of Agenda. Agenda approved as amended to delete item 6d.

3. Approval of Minutes of 5/7/03. Item postponed as no minutes were available to approve.

4. Announcements and questions.

   Question regarding the number of students not returning because of fee increases?
   Responses from the Senators in the room:
   
   • Chico – down this fall.
   • SJSU – also experienced lower enrollment.
   • Sacramento – right on target.
   • Fresno – no information.
   • San Bernardino – running over, perhaps by as many as 1,000 students.
   • Monterey bay – below target, admissions still open as of this date.
   • Los Angeles – on quarters, not started.
   • Santa Cruz -- refunds to 1500 students from community colleges who have applied for Winter/Spring quarters and for whom there is no room.
   • Bakersfield – not started as yet.
   • Stanislaus – 4 week winter term – winter term admission closed earlier to cut enrollment for that term.

   In addition, Bakersfield has capped loads at 17 quarter units; students can take more units only with a high GPA. LB recruits from a 30 mile radius, but has an impacted frosh class, now has plan for how to admit frosh. A high priority is for students within a certain radius.

   Discussion: The existing policy on redirection provides that if an application goes to a campus where admissions are closed, then that campus is supposed to send it to a second campus that the applicant has designated on his or her application. Starting in Fall 2004, all applications will be online. Every campus will need to accommodate students who cannot file an online application. At that point, the system will provide automatic redirection to another campus. Also, it is important to reach out to poorer students. One question that arose was whether we have data on this.

Announcements from the Chair:

A. Welcome.
B. Welcome to Lori, welcome back as liaison from AA.
C. Our ASCSU Executive Committee liaison is Lynne Cooke.
D. Our staff person is Margaret Price. Please be aware of the workload for office staff and take care not to overburden them.
E. Vice Chairs are John Tarjan and Vince Buck. John is to chair the meetings when Mark is absent and may go to agenda setting. Vince has agreed to help us be aware of legislative matters. All committees now have a legislative vice chair to try to keep more on top of legislation and those vice chairs will meet with F&GA.
F. Executive Vice Chancellor Spence will update AA on Executive Council matters.
5. Items of Business.

A. Framework for Integrated Teacher Preparation Programs Leading to a Recommendation for a Baccalaureate Degree and a Level I Multiple Subject Teaching Credential.

This resolution is from our work and previous resolution last May. The resolution finally approved included system level review and a system wide task force to set up a framework for the formation of regions and for collaboration among CSU and community colleges within regions. All of this started from SB 81 and the assumption that it is better to change Title V to correspond with the goals of the legislation rather than having the provisions of the legislation imposed upon us.

This year’s bill was amended 9/3. All the changes we wanted were made in the Assembly, which took out the specifications of maximum units per degree. At present the legislation states that the units for integrated teacher preparation programs, including blended programs, should be between 120-135 semester units, with exceptions approved by the Chancellor based on a process determined in consultation with the faculty.

The committee reviewed the changes in SB 81. One question was whether the word “consultation” was strong enough. It may be the best we can get given legislative time constraints.

Anagnoson conveyed objections from LA: Questions: Where will the cuts be? Is there a suggested mix of cuts or will individual campuses do that? Question of what will happen. This resolution sets forth ranges, and, after that, it is up to the campuses to decide.

There is something of a rush on approving this resolution because both the education and subject matter faculty will need time to work on curriculum changes.

The legislation does not specifically state that the process will be determined in consultation with the ASCSU; instead it states “consultation” with “faculty members.” In reality, however, the Chancellor’s Office will interpret “faculty members” as the ASCSU. The Chancellor’s Office will try to have this change made in the legislation, but with the rush to adjourn, there may not be time for the change to be made. Thompson is to communicate our desire for this change through the Executive Committee. An alternative would be a written statement from the CO that consultation with the faculty means consultation with the ASCSU.

M/s/p to approve the resolution. One abstention.

B. Resolution of commendation for Gary Hammerstrom. This was moved, seconded and approved unanimously and read into the minutes.

Whereas, Gary Hammerstrom, Associate Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs of the California State University, is a distinguished member of the faculty, serving for over two decades, and,

Whereas, Gary Hammerstrom has served as an Academic Senator in the San Francisco State University senate, as a Statewide Academic Senator, and as Chair of the Academic Affairs Committee of the CSU, and,

Whereas, Gary Hammerstrom has served as Chancellor's Office Liaison to the Academic Affairs Committee, providing an astounding depth and breadth of knowledge and expertise which he shared to provide sage counsel to the Committee; therefore, be it

Resolved: That the Academic Affairs Committee of the California State University express its sincerest appreciation to Associate Vice-Chancellor Hammerstrom, and be it, further
Resolved: That the Committee wish Gary all the best in his retirement, and be it, further

Resolved: That the Academic Affairs Committee of the California State University bestow upon Gary Hammerstrom the title:

HONORIS LIAISON PERPETUUM

C. Articulation Agreements for Multiple Subject Integrated Teacher Preparation Programs: Principles for Formation of Regions.

This is a first reading item. It is difficult to classify the campuses into regions. The community colleges are divided as well. Some of them might have to articulate with two of our regions, or at least have articulation information for both regions available.

The resolution states that the principles defining the regions should be data driven, not historical from some other project. The current regions are based on actual data on who transfers where.

One question arose concerning quarter and semester campuses. Region seven has two CSUs on quarters, two CSUs on semesters, and community colleges on semesters. This is potentially also a problem with Region 6, with NO and DH on semesters, and LA on quarters. Perhaps the quarter/semester division could be a criterion for regions as well. Executive Vice Chancellor Spence agreed with AA that the Integrated Teacher Preparation task force needs to consider this issue at their next meeting.

Another question that arose was how well the pattern would hold up if we have cuts and closed admissions on some campuses for spring semester? San Diego State University found that impaction makes regions even more important.

Resolution approved to go to the Senate.

Vice Chancellor Spence’s Briefing.

SB 81. The Chancellor’s Office has talked to the sponsor of the bill, who was surprised that the Statewide Senate was not listed as the consultative faculty body. The Chancellor’s Office will attempt to have the change made in the legislation, but if this is not possible, Vice Chancellor Spence assured the committee that they will ask Senator Alpert to write a letter stating that the term “faculty” in the consultation paragraph means the Academic Senate of the CSU.

The Executive Council met last week. A major topic was enrollment management. In the correspondence they sent to the Presidents, the emphasis was on absolutely adhering to enrollment targets. For the first time under Chancellor Reed, campuses will not keep the student fees if they go over their targets. Several campuses have been persistently overenrolled. In the past we have compensated campuses for their over enrollment, but we cannot continue to educate students on “fees only” – some 30% of marginal cost funding. We should not provide a signal to Sacramento that we can educate students on 30 cents on the dollar.

Associate Vice Chancellor Gary Hammerstrom spent significant time presenting the academic technology plan to the Presidents. The Presidents seem very comfortable with the Academic Affairs area of the Chancellor’s Office taking the lead in this area. The question of how we compensate faculty for research was also discussed. Chancellor Reed stated that we want the faculty who do research in addition to teaching to be appropriately compensated for it. The SDSU audit pointed up some aspects of research funding that we have not addressed clearly. The committee noted that while faculty are compensated for grants, there is no explicit place in the faculty workload at present for research.

The Chancellor’s Office human resource office demonstrated a new web site for faculty hiring, to allow people interested in faculty positions to identify the region they would like to work in and the
discipline and obtain the available positions on all campuses. This will supplement what is already done and is in preparation for the time when ACR 73 goes into effect and there will be more searches.

The Executive Council discussed the “facilitating graduation” initiative. The recommendations in the report were, in his view, simple and straightforward. Each campus is to submit a plan by Nov 14th, with the recommendations on roadmaps to the major and degree audits required. The conference on facilitating graduation is scheduled for the first week of December, with Lori Roth and Ray Boddy as co-chairs. The conference is designed to showcase the best practices from the campuses in improving transfers and graduation rates. One thread will be on advising. There will be teams of 12 from each campus, and the Statewide Academic Senate is invited.

Vice Chancellor Spence was asked whether the system would be taking a position on Proposition 53. The answer was that it was not feasible for the Board of Trustees to consider because of time constraints.

D. Opposition to Proposition 54, “Classification by Race, Ethnicity, Color, or National Origin Initiative”

The Faculty Affairs Committee submitted a revised version of the resolution. It was noted that due to federal requirements, we would still continue to collect data. Hammerstrom explained that every 5 years, we are obligated to do an eligibility study – we survey the high school graduating class, evaluate transcripts, and see if we are admitting with the current index the upper 1/3rd of the HS graduating class. If not, we adjust the matrix and cutoffs. Typically we do these studies and get sufficient transcripts to track admission by region, gender, and ethnicity. We could not do this by ethnicity and gender if Proposition 54 passes because the requirement is mandated by the state only, not by the federal government.

On the side of the initiative, members noted that many analyses of race and ethnicity are simple minded (bivariate analyses) or flawed. But the same members did not advocate “throwing out the baby with the bath water.”

The community colleges and the University of California have come out against the initiative as well.

m/s/pass to use the original resolved clauses and drop lines 21-35 on second page while adding line 21 to original: “It would deprive faculty and students of data compiled by the state that is used for scholarly research.”

We will still co-sponsor if the Faculty Affairs Committee wants to go with the revised SFSU statement in the rationale section.

MEETING WITH THE TEACHER EDUCATION AND K-12 RELATIONS COMMITTEE

The groups discussed and came to agreement concerning several changes made to the resolution by TEKR.

Minutes submitted by Ted Anagnoson, LA.