Members present: Amaral, Anagnoson, Cates, Kaiser, Kellner, Nishita, Snyder, Tarjan, Thompson, Wheeler

Visitors: Gary Hammerstrom, Les Pincu, Cristy Jensen, Bob Cichowski (OoC), Ray Boddy, Alison Jones

1. Approval of Agenda – agenda approved as presented.

2. Approval of Minutes of 3-05/06-03 – Approved subject to modification in language of 6.1 discussion items to reflect staggered terms for G.E. Advisory Course Review Committee discipline specific membership.

3. Announcements: none

4. Time(s) Certain: 11:00 Joint session with TEKR; 1:00 – 6.1

5. Items of Business:

5.1 – Campus Enrollment (physical capacity) Ceilings- ref. Documents – (1) AS-2603-03/AA (2) AS-2604-03 (3) Guidelines for Master Plan Revision

Discussions focused on refining language of the two resolutions and attempts to clarify further the mechanisms related to modification of campus physical enrollment capacity and the relationship physical enrollment capacity bears to campus FTES. The Committee wrestled with how to ensure that faculty consultation and “shared decision making” be recognized as a “requirement” for all campus growth decisions… physical capacity, or otherwise. Statutory provisions provide for “joint decision making and consultation (Cal. Gov. Code Sec. 3561).”

5.2 – First –Term Foreign Language Classes - Ref. Documents – (1) Draft Letter to CSU and CCC Foreign Language Councils (2) Draft Letter to Executive Committee

Discussions led to minor modifications in the language of the DRAFT Letters to CSU and CCC Foreign Language Councils and to the Executive Committee. Letters, as modified, will be circulated for final input and approval.

5.3 – Electronic Applications – ref. Documents (1) E-mail from Gary Hammerstrom (2) Draft Resolution

DRAFT Resolution (Required use of Electronic Applications for CSU Admission) focuses on requiring students to submit applications for CSU admission, electronically. Discussions focused on phase-in and the degree to which paper applications will/should...
be allowed in the future.

5.4 - SB 81 – Ref. Documents – (1) DRAFT Bill (2) DRAFT Report

11:00 pm Time Certain – Joint meeting of TEKR and AA, dedicated to discussion of SB 81 – re: Teacher Preparation Programs including blended programs and integrated programs within the CSU. TEKR Chair Cook provided a brief, yet thorough, overview of the issues raised by SB 81. Far ranging discussions ensued. Committees agreed to the following responses: By vote of the joint committee, a resolution, to be directed to the CSU Board of Trustees, will be drafted, urging modifications to Title V of the California Code of Regulations. The language of this resolution will be crafted using the report of the ASCSU Task Force on Teacher Preparation at the Undergraduate Level. Other options discussed included crafting a resolution commending the work of the Task Force and taking steps required to allow representatives of the OoC and the ASCSU to request a face-to-face meeting with State Senator Dede Alpert. The joint committee voted unanimously to recommend that the Chairs of both AA and TEKR be included in the group who will seek an audience with Senator Alpert.

6. Items of Discussion

6.1 – 39-Unit G.E. requirement for upper-division transfer – basic notion would be that students would be required to complete the 39 unit lower-division G.E. package prior to upper-division transfer. Alternative transfer options would not be superseded by this proposed modification to CSU policy (e.g. TAG agreements between CSU’s and CCC’s in the various disciplines). Both face-to-face and high quality electronic counseling would be necessary for the 39-Unit policy option to be viable.

7. Liaison Reports – to be appended