1. Approval of Agenda

2. Approval of Minutes for Sept. 6, 2001

3. Announcements

4. Items of Business

   i. Remediation
      1. Report and available data. Kathy asked the committee to look into a report on remediation. Below is the relevant part of Kathy's e-mail and one of the reports of the Education Policies Committee of the BOT. They report each January on remediation statistics. Are these data sufficient for what we want to know about remediation? Do we want to suggest that other data be collected? Should this take the form of a resolution or a letter?

         e-mail from Kathy Kaiser
         Educational Policies Committee Report to the BOT for January 2000

      2. Remediation oversight. Let's spend some more time discussing this. The issue comes from Marshall's concern that non-academic units will begin to have oversight of remediation courses. What are the particular issues and do they system-wide implications? We might also think generally about non-academic oversight of courses. Is this a problem? It would help if folks could check on their campuses to find out the extend of this, the course approval process and faculty concerns about the practice.

      3. Course review process for remediation courses. This is a concern Les had. I will have him come in to talk to us.

   ii. Ed.D guidelines. TEKR is currently working on broad guidelines for an Ed.D. We need to have a discussion about what input we want into this process. We need someone to be a liaison to TEKR and what message the committee wants the liaison to carry. (TEKR will join us at 1:00 for this discussion.)

         CSU: Authority to Offer the Ed.D
         Applied Education Doctorates; Creating Leaders
         TEKR Discussion Draft: Selected Topics for Considering the Ed.D.

   iii. Guidelines for joint doctoral programs. We have been discussing this via e-mail. These guidelines provide guidance to campuses being approached by UC campuses wanting to set up joint doc. programs.
5. Liaison Reports
   1. Dual Admissions. The Dual Admissions Implementation Committee is working on guidelines for this program. Rochelle can bring us up to date on what is happening and what input the committee might have into this process.
   2. Joint Use Facilities. Reg will update us on what is going on here. I believe this is coming out of the Off-Campus Centers Study Group.
   3. English Language Competency statement. Mark can give us an update on what is happening with this intersegmental group.
   4. Update on the Master Plan Task Force. What issues, if any, should we pursue?
   5. Intersegmental Test Alignment Policy Committee. Update by Mark.
   6. SWAT update by Mark.
   7. ICIP report. Reg, Susan and Brent
   8. CAN Lower-Division Core, Kathy
   9. ATEC. Sam
   10. Others? Let me know which committees met and who has a report.