Academic Senate CSU Academic Affairs Committee

Wednesday 3 May 2006 10:15-4:30
Thursday 4 May 2006, 8:30-10:00

Anticipated Members Present:
Arroyo, Nishita, Pasternack, Van Selst, Yee, Silvis, Hood, Amaral, Thompson,
Edelman, Swerkes, Rohm, Sowby

Guests:
Chancellor’s office representative, Keith Boyum
Janet Eyring (Fullerton), Karen Russinkoff (UCSB), Jan Frodesen (Pomona)
presenting on the ICAS ESL report

Times Certain:
3PM Wednesday; Eyring, Karen Russinkoff, Jan Frodesen presenting on the
ICAS ESL report

1. Meeting called to order.
2. Approval of the Agenda
3. Approval of April Minutes
4. Announcements
   a. Comments for the good of the order
5. Liaison reports
   a. CSSA
   b. GE Advisory Committee
   c. Admissions Advisory
   d. ATAC
   e. LDTP
      i. LDTP policy-making issue was referred back from Executive
         Committee to AA to write a policy generation statement (sense of
         senate telling ourselves what to do)
      ii. CAN identifiers and descriptors
   f. IMPAC
      i. Delay report till after conference call 10-11AM wed by Van Selst
         (vice-chair Yee will run meeting during this time)
   g. ESL Task Force (Time Certain, 3PM wed)
6. Chancellor’s Office Report
7. Items of business.

7.1 review of 2nd reading items for the next plenary
7.1.1 AS-2739-06/AA Availability of Paper Copy of CSU Catalog.
       o current version as in appendix

7.1.2 AS-2741-06/AA/ Opposition to Morrow’s Purported FA/FGA “Student Bill
       of Rights” (current iteration SB1412) and a Reaffirmation of Academic Freedom
7.1.3 AS-2740-06/AA Creation of a Statewide Database of CSU Extension Enrollees.
   O Changes as noted in appendix

7.1.4 AS-2744-06/AA/FA Opposition to AB 2168 (Liu): A Single, Common General Education Curriculum.
   o Additional work on the resolution was held back till the May AA meeting before the since the bill itself is in flux.
   o The revised resolution (appended) is a preliminary pass at language. Additional suggestions included accommodating language to the nature of “if UC could be forced to ...” but there was controversy in the committee since many felt that the UC autonomy was a highly desirable thing (which is, arguably, why it was put into the constitution as an independent organization).
   o Check for currency and if the bill is still alive.
   o If the Bill is still alive this is a very high priority item for the May AA meeting.

7.1.5 AS-2746-06/AA/TEKR Title 5 Language to Accommodate the Independent Doctorate of Education within the CSU.
   o The provided Title 5 language was the “old” version and does not (yet) reflect more recent feedback. Service will provide AA with a more updated version of the language.
   o Title V, Five, etc. changed to “5” throughout

7.2 old items

7.2.1 Twenty-first Century report
7.2.2 CSU fee structure.
7.2.3 CSU Entrance Science Requirement.

7.3 new items
7.3.3 Sense of the senate: Senate Oversight of Advisory Committees (was “LDTP related policies”). The initial version of the new resolution is to be written by Van Selst for disposition by the executive committee during agenda setting in May. The resolution is to address the senate’s responsibility for setting policy that has substantive impact on the curriculum.

Note that the current versions of the resolutions are in the April Minutes