Members Expected Present:
- Amaral, Arroyo, Buck, Kellner, Nishita, Pasternack, Rohm, Sowby, Van Selst, Yee.

Members Known Absent:
- Thompson, Edelman, Swerkes

Guests Present:
- Christine Hanson (CO Academic Affairs)

1. **Meeting called to order at 10:15 a.m.**

2. Agenda approved as revised.

3. Minutes of March meeting to be approved.

4. Announcements
   - Good of the Order.
   - CO/AA memo on discontinuation of Ed.D. programs

5. Liaison reports.
   a. CSSA
   b. GE Advisory Committee
   c. Admissions Advisory
   d. ATAC
   e. LDTP
   f. Other


6.1 Review of 2nd reading items for the next plenary
- AS-2739-06/AA Availability of Paper Copy of CSU Catalog
- AS-2741-06/AA/FA Opposition to Morrow’s Purported FA/FGA “Student Bill of Rights” (current iteration SB1412) and a Reaffirmation of Academic Freedom
- AS-2740-06/AA Creation of a Statewide Database of CSU Extension Enrollees.
- AS-2746-06/AA/TEKR Title 5 Language to Accommodate the Independent Doctorate of Education within the CSU.
6.2 time certain: at 11 we'll break for the 21C report work; after lunch we will reconvene at 1PM for an update on 21C progress to date and work on any cross-area items that have come up in your report updating/writing...

6.3 time-permitting we may address the follow first reading/ potential / return to committee items

6.3.1 CSU fee structure. Response to resend the referral on the CSU fee structure to the ASCSU Executive Committee, Fiscal and Government Affairs Committee, and Faculty Affairs Committee. This item was to be discussed at a 3PM time-certain.

*Supporting a Student Fee Policy that betters Educational Outcomes.*

*Resolved, that the Academic Senate of the CSU (ASCSU) continues to support initiatives focused on facilitating graduation; and be it further

*Resolved, that the ASCSU requests that the Chancellor’s office undertake a study of CSU Student Fee Policy to determine if changes in this policy would serve to facilitate graduation efforts.*

*Rationale: How do we change the CSU tuition/fee structure to maximize efficiency? “Front-Loading” of fees versus a flat (two-step) function for most campuses. An alternative model is provided by CSU Monterey Bay. It is believed that such structures encourage students from enrolling in courses that do not contribute to meeting graduation requirements.*

*NOTE: LAO report suggests a cap on fees at some unit count to encourage taking additional courses. Also suggests option of lower summer fees and/or increased access to impacted campuses for summer entry.*

This item was not forwarded for consideration during the March Plenary

6.3.2 Doctorate of Audiology.

A memo on speech communications/audiology has been sent to the campus presidents.

6.3.3 CSU Entrance Science Requirement.

Mark spoke with the Chair of the SJSU Biology Department / CSU Biology Council. There is no opposition to aligning the CSU entrance science requirement with the UC’s.: not require one life science and one physical science, but instead allow students to choose two courses from Biology, Chemistry, and Physics. Kellner will explore possible opposition from other constituencies to the above-proposed changes, such as the high schools.

6.3.4 LDTP related policies.

*Note: The resolution: AS-2738-06/AA Policies Governing Transfer-CSU Course Descriptor Development and Adoption for use with the Lower-Division Transfer Patterns was referred back to committee during the plenary (too detail oriented, not focused on the underlying policy questions)*